

EIR Daily Alert Service

P.O. BOX 17390, WASHINGTON, DC 20041-0390

- Winning the Ongoing Election
- Sen. Doug Mastriano Leads Efforts for Pennsylvania Legislature to Directly Appoint Electors
- Sidney Powell Files Lawsuits in Michigan and Georgia
- Highlights from Gettysburg Vote Fraud Hearing
- This Week in Bans: Movies, Elected Officials, Networks, and Activists
- United Airlines Begins Positioning Pfizer Vaccine
- Iran's Leading Nuclear Scientist Is Assassinated
- Suicide and Euthanasia Revived by German Courts and Movies

EDITORIAL

Winning the Ongoing Election

Nov. 27 (EIRNS)—The U.S. Presidential election is not over. The most explosive development is the Friday announcement that Senators and Representatives in the states of Pennsylvania are preparing to move legislation on Monday, Nov. 30, to decertify the election and to reclaim the Constitutional authority given to the state legislatures to directly appoint the state's electors to the Electoral College. This news comes just two days after stunning hearings held in Gettysburg, Pennsylvania, during which hours of testimony revealed the manifold levels of fraud in the election. Senator Mastriano, who is leading the effort, asked citizens to help by calling on their state representatives to join the effort.

Also on Nov. 25, attorney Sidney Powell and her colleagues announced and published legal filings for Georgia and Michigan detailing a wide array of election fraud and demanding powerful remedies from the court: decertification of the election, throwing out mail-in ballots, not sending electors, or even ordering the governor to appoint Trump electors.

The thought police are on hand to prevent the news from getting out. Twitter blocked the account of Mastriano and prevented anyone from tweeting the link to Sidney Powell's webpage containing the two legal challenges she issued on Wednesday. The "newspapers of record" have studiously avoided making any mention of these developments, while trumpeting a Pennsylvania appeals court decision not in Trump's favor.

These delusion-shattering developments come after more than four years of non-stop assaults on Donald Trump's presidency. Why? Because he stands against the imposition of a green nightmare, and could oppose the descent into a bankers' "regime change," whereby central banks act to shape not only monetary, but also fiscal policy, and direct funds, through green mandates, into less productive or even entirely unproductive investments, starving the world of truly needed physical investment of higher energy density and scientific productivity.

For the world to escape the economic devastation inherent in a Greening of the economy requires the economic outlook Lyndon LaRouche long championed, of the proper sovereignty of governments rather than financial institutions, of government-accelerated investment into new frontiers of science and technology—most especially space and nuclear fusion, and of enormous investment into qualitatively superior infrastructure platforms (including social infrastructure) to serve as a substrate for increased physical productivity.

This outlook must succeed, and defeating the flagrant assault on democracy in the United States is a key factor in effecting it.

The Nov. 28 [International Investigative Commission on Truth in Elections](#) will present the fraud of the 2020 election, how the fraud was

enabled, and what must now urgently be done. Register for it at the [Schiller Institute](#) website.

UNITED STATES POLITICAL AND ECONOMIC

Sen. Doug Mastriano Leads Efforts for Pennsylvania Legislature to Directly Appoint Electors

Nov. 27 (EIRNS)—Appearing on Sloppy Steve Bannon’s “War Room” program Friday morning, and Newsmax Friday evening, Pennsylvania State Sen. Doug Mastriano announced that he’s moving forward with his colleagues on passing a joint resolution of the Pennsylvania Senate and House of Representatives in order to reclaim the legislature’s authority, under the U.S. Constitution, to directly appoint electors to the Electoral College.

On his Nov. 27 Newsmax appearance, Senator Mastriano reported that a Joint Resolution to award Pennsylvania’s Electors to Donald Trump had been introduced into both the state House and Senate, that additional Republican cosponsors were being organized to sign on over the weekend, and that they plan to move the resolution through both houses on Monday, Nov. 30. On the question of the election having already been certified, Mastriano said that the Joint Resolution would be the legislature re-taking its authority to decide the means of choosing the state’s electors. “We put a man on the Moon 50 years ago, but we can’t run a fair election today in Pennsylvania. Even Stevie Wonder can see that we have a problem here.”

Mastriano referred to 1994, when a Pennsylvania state Senate race included so much cheating that a federal district judge threw out the election and the Democrat, William G. Stinson, who supposedly won the election, ordering that his Republican opponent, Bruce Marks, instead be seated in the state Senate. “This is extraordinary relief,” the judge wrote. “However, it is appropriate because extraordinary conduct by the Stinson campaign and the board tainted the entirety of the absentee ballots.”

On his earlier appearance on Bannon’s show, Mastriano reflected on the immense quantity of evidence put forward at the vote fraud hearings in

Gettysburg on Wednesday, Nov. 25, and blasted the media as political hacks failing to cover the shocking news. “And that [testimony] was only the tip of the iceberg.”

What’s next? “Obviously a joint resolution saying that we are going to probably grab back our constitutional authority in Pennsylvania.” After recounting the history that in 1938 the Pennsylvania legislature handed over the choice of electors to the Secretary of State, who would award them based on a popular election. “This makes sense if the election’s fair, but if it’s not fair, it’s handing the power of selecting the delegates [electors] to that same person who cheated.”

“So thank God we have the Federal Constitution, which says we’re going to exercise our Constitutional power here. So we’re going to do a resolution between the House and Senate, hopefully today. I’ve spent two hours online trying to coordinate this with my colleagues. And there’s a lot of good people working this here. The resolution is going to say that we’re going to take our power back. We’re gonna seat the electors. Now obviously we’re gonna need the support of the leadership of the House and Senate, we’re getting there on that.”

Bannon interrupted for clarification, that Senator Mastriano was indeed calling for the legislature to directly appoint the electors. “That is exactly what we’re going to do,” he added, saying that it will “obviously be a struggle. We’re gonna hear the palpitations and you know the outcries of our Governor [Tom] Wolf and Secretary [of State Kathy] Boockvar, whose resignation should have happened months ago and she shouldn’t have ever been confirmed, but you know what, we have that power, and we’re going to take that power back because there’s so much evidence of shenanigans and fraud, we can’t stand aside and just watch this unfold around us.”

He explained that he doesn’t want to disenfranchise anyone, but “If there’s extensive shenanigans out there it’s up to the General Assembly to step in. So we have a fight on our hands and we’re gonna fight. We’re gonna take the fight all the way to the Supreme Court if we have to.”

Asked about the reception of this proposal from legislative leaders, the senator reported that he has lined up support in both the House and the Senate, and that the support is growing. He felt that at least 16 or 17 of the 29 Republican-caucusing senators out of a total of 50 supported the proposal, and at least half of the House, as well. “They are getting motivated. Sometimes it feels like we’re pushing from behind, but doing the right thing eventually catches on.”

Bannon inquired whether, since the 1938 legislation was a bill signed into law by the governor, would they require the governor’s signature, or could a joint resolution of the legislative houses itself be sufficient. Senator Mastriano responded that they were pursuing a joint resolution, which would not require the governor’s signature.

Senator Mastriano called for Pennsylvanians to call their elected officials to get on board the joint resolution to appoint the electors.

Mastriano thanked Twitter for the attention drawn to him by their decision to temporarily block his account, which boosted his followers tenfold.

Quoting Benjamin Franklin, he urged Americans to “hang together.”

[Mastriano’s co-sponsorship memorandum](#), announcing the joint resolution and listing the four Senate cosponsors, as is the [House version](#), listing two dozen cosponsors (as of this writing) are available online.

Sidney Powell Files Lawsuits in Michigan and Georgia

Nov. 27 (EIRNS)—Late Wednesday night, Nov. 25, attorney Sidney Powell and her colleagues presented lawsuits to be filed in U.S. district courts in Georgia and Michigan. On Thursday, Twitter blocked tweets to her website containing the documents, and as of Friday evening, the *New York Times* and *Washington Post* had refrained from even mentioning them.

The lengthy complaints—75 pages in Michigan and 104 in Georgia—detail fact witness accounts of election fraud at numerous levels in the chain of the election, present expert testimony to the effect that the number

of votes counted improperly or questionably is sufficient to shift the outcome of the election or to place it in doubt, raise numerous red flags about the computerized voting systems used, and ask for bold relief.

The requests to the courts include the following: impounding voting machines for inspection, ordering security footage of the main vote counting facilities to be provided, decertifying the election results, declaring that the results are fraudulent, not sending electors to the Electoral College, or even ordering the respective governors to send the votes of Trump electors to the Electoral College. The filings point to the ease of changing votes through the use of Dominion and Smartmatic equipment and raise the potential for internet hacks, which one of their experts claims could have been performed by China and Iran.

The standing is clear: Plaintiffs in the suits include electors for Donald Trump, who were personally directly on the ballot (rather than Donald Trump himself). Named as defendants are the governors and secretaries of state of Georgia and Michigan, as well as various election officials.

As the two documents are quite similar, this overview will largely apply to both:

They open sloppily, with discussion of Dominion and Smartmatic that essentially treats them as the same company, and refers to bugaboo Hugo Chavez as the driving force behind their creation. But then they move on:

The U.S. Constitution provides that the “Times, Places and Manner of holding Elections for Senators and Representatives, shall be prescribed in each State by the Legislature thereof.” Since the defendants are quite plainly officers of the executive, rather than legislative branch, have “no authority to promulgate a regulation that is directly contrary to an unambiguous statute.” Therefore, changes to the manner of holding the election made by the secretaries of state are “plainly and indisputably unlawful.”

In Georgia, the law makes clear demands that absentee ballots have their time of receipt marked, the name and address verified, and the signature

compared to that on file with their voting registration. But the Secretary of State gave new guidance that essentially eliminated signature verification, thus violating the law written by the legislature. The state election board also implemented a rule authorizing the outer envelope of accepted absentee ballots to be opened as of “the third Monday prior to Election Day,” while the law unambiguously states that this can only occur “After the opening of the polls on the day of the primary, election, or runoff.”

In Michigan, the law states that an “election challenger shall be provided a space within a polling place where they can observe the election procedure and each person applying to vote,” to “inspect poll books,” to “remain present during the canvass of votes and until the statement of returns is duly signed and made.” The legislature made clear the importance they attach to the ability to hold a fair election: “It is a felony punishable by up to two years in state prison for any person to prevent the presence of a challenger exercising their rights or to fail to provide a challenger with ‘conveniences for the performance of the[ir] duties.’ ” But this is precisely what happened in numerous incidents, according to “more than one hundred credentialed election challengers” who have “provided sworn affidavits.”

- **Fact Witnesses:** In both states, fact witnesses affirm that “there had been no meaningful way to review or audit any activity” of recounts (Georgia), that “they were physically pushed away from counting tables by election officials to a distance that was too far to observe the counting” (Michigan), and were generally prevented from freely performing their roles as election challengers to ensure that ballots were properly processed and votes properly counted. Their challenges were ignored, their access restricted.

As for the ballots themselves, a Georgia witness explains that she received a batch of “utterly pristine ballots,” explaining: “In my 20 years of experience of handling ballots, I observed that the markings for the candidates on these ballots [in a particular batch] were unusually uniform, perhaps even with a ballot-marking device. By my estimate in observing

these ballots, approximately 98% constituted votes for Joe Biden.” In Michigan, a poll challenger observed “tens of thousands of new ballots” brought into the counting room, which “unlike the other ballots ... were brought in from the rear of the room.” Thousands of ballots arrived for which the name of the voter did not appear in the voter registration system. In both states, machines and ballot boxes arrived without proper seals. Backdating was reported in Michigan, and potentially fake ballots in Georgia: “Many batches went 100% for Biden. I also observed that the watermarks on at least 3 ballots were solid gray instead of transparent, leading me to believe the ballot was counterfeit.” A witness has also affirmed having seen “countless workers rescanning the batches without discarding them first,” thereby counting the same paper ballots multiple times.

In Wayne County, Michigan, many credentialed Republican election observers and challengers were not allowed into the facility. In Atlanta, Georgia, reports of a broken water pipe forced the evacuation of the counting facility for several hours, except for 6 and then 3 people, who continued to work on the computers.

- **Voting Machines:** A computer security expert who read the Dominion manuals concluded that it is possible to configure the scanner in such a way that a significant number of ballots would be marked as unreadable, thereby allowing a human adjudicator to “clarify” the scanned ballot, or even—if an administrator—to delete it altogether.

Democratic officials are cited over the years—going back to 2006—raising concerns about using electronic voting systems, including Senators Warren, Klobuchar, and Wyden, and Representative Pocan writing in December 2019 about their “particularized concerns that secretive and trouble plagued companies have long skimmed on security in favor of convenience.”

Voting computers are not actually separated from the internet.

Additional claims about ties to the Venezuelan government (but not Lord Malloch-Brown) are raised. Former Dominion executive Eric Coomer

is quoted as having been recorded as saying “Don’t worry. Trump won’t win the election, we fixed that.”

- **Expert Witnesses:** One expert witness used a phone survey to estimate the total number of mail-in ballots that were not counted, the number of mail-in ballots that had never been requested, and the number requested that had never been received to arrive at totals that exceeded the number of votes that exceeded the margin of votes by which Biden was reported to have bested Trump.

Change of address databases and new registrations in other states similarly revealed tens of thousands of voters who should not have been able to cast a vote by virtue of having moved to another state.

One significant weakness is citing Russell Ramsland, who has included numerous claims in affidavits that are readily identified as false. Ramsland is relied on in the Georgia case for the largest number of improperly counted ballots: 96,600. He is also the source for a claim that there were nearly 300,000 ballots purportedly processed in four Michigan counties than there was physical capability to process. His mindbogglingly amateur mistake of reporting calculated vote numbers based on *New York Times* election data, accurate only to three significant figures, as though the products were exact, leads him to the wholly unsupported claim to have found fractional votes counted in Michigan.

- **Relief:** Considering the multiple avenues of fraud, both witnessed directly and imputed through indirect evidence assessed by expert witnesses, the filings request bold relief: decertifying election results, preventing the current election results from being transmitted to the Electoral College, orders to the governors to declare Trump the victor, impounding all voting machines for inspection, a judgment that not verifying signatures violated the law, a judgment that a full recount is required, the production of surveillance footage from the TCF Center and the State Farm Arena, and “other relief as is just and proper.”

Highlights from Gettysburg Vote Fraud Hearing

Nov. 27 (EIRNS)—The lengthy hearing in Gettysburg deserves its own report. Below are excerpts from Sen. Doug Mastriano and Rudy Giuliani, which give a sense of the historic nature of the fight to maintain the republic:

Senator Mastriano: “And welcome everybody to this most historic occasion and this most historic town and what happened here in 1863. I think today we’re going to see a turning of the tide because we have not really heard the truth of the arguments made on the other side and what happened and what we’re dealing with, a government and leadership in Harrisburg that wants to close their ears to what’s happened during this election, and sadly, many in the media that are complicit and want to write off what happened. For me, on this battlefield and remembering what happened 137 years ago, and especially 157 years ago last week, where Abraham Lincoln gave his most famous address....And I think his final sentence captures why we’re here today. He said, ‘That this nation, under God, shall have a new birth of freedom, and that government of the people, by the people, for the people, shall not perish from the earth.’ And everything is at stake in what happened during this election cycle, everything, the Republic is at stake. This is no game for us. And for any veteran in this room here, who wore the uniform ... put their lives on the line here fighting for our country, and to see that there is a group in this state and country willing to throw away our valuable and precious freedoms here for power.” [He blasts the governor, and AG for willfully ignoring evidence of fraud, refusal to even consider it.] “What is going on here? The place where this all started in 1776 and we can’t get an election right? You got to be kidding me, here.

“What’s going on here? Thousands of people from across the Commonwealth have reached out to us, tens of thousands, asking and demanding action, they deserve it. And as a result of the inability of our Executive Branch to do their job, we’re stepping in here. We’re co-equal members and we’re going to do our job....The forgotten men and women of

our great state feel betrayed by their government and I'm with them, I feel the betrayal as well. We undertake these proceedings today to find out what happened and then hopefully to come up with an approach where that never happens again.... And the very Republic very much is at stake ..., as Benjamin Franklin is leaving Constitutional Hall in 1787, he was approached by Mrs. Powell. And Mrs. Powell ran up to him, we surmised she knew him personally, and she said, 'Well, Mr. Franklin, what do we have, a monarchy or a Republic?' And he said, 'A Republic, if you can keep it.' This is our time to keep this Republic. Thank you and God bless you..”

Rudy Giuliani: In his introductory remarks, stated: “I can’t help but note that we’re doing it here in Gettysburg. Over 156 years ago, the fate of our country hung in the balance, right here on this hallowed ground for three relentless days of bloody, close combat between two armies that lost over 50,000 casualties. The fate of our Republic was really decided that we’d be one nation, one union, one government sharing values that are enormously important to us. And I don’t want to overstate it, but I do believe that those values are at stake, not only in this election, but in the way this election was conducted, and what we’re going to do about it. Because if we allow elections in the future to be conducted the way this election was conducted, we will have lost our democracy, our representative democracy.... During the course of this election, we’ve come pretty close to losing our right to free speech. There’s been censorship that I’ve never seen before, of an incredible nature by big tech, big networks, big companies. They only allow one side to be heard and they refuse to allow the other side to be heard. It’s almost as if they’re afraid the American people, if they should learn these facts, will find out just who they are and what they’re about. This voter fraud that took place, which as you will see from the witnesses that we call, had several dimensions to it, several different ways in which it was done. The most dangerous thing is, it is very, very similar in at least six states that we’ve been able to study. In other words, what we’re going to describe to you with these witnesses, happened in roughly the same way in Michigan, Wisconsin, Nevada,

Arizona, and Georgia. Primary device, were the mail-in ballots. You know there was a fierce debate over whether we should have mail-in ballots in the first place.”

He went through details of the fight over mail-in votes, that’s been going on for years, and the many politicians—Jimmy Carter, James Baker, even the *New York Times*—who warned about the danger of this way of voting. He offered specific details of how the mail-in vote fraud was conducted, using the cases of Philadelphia and Allegheny (Pittsburgh) counties, where no Republican poll watcher was allowed to view the hundreds of thousands of mail-in or absentee ballots. He reported this striking anomaly for the state: “You sent out in the State of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, 1,823,148 absentee or mail-in ballots. You received back 1.4 million, approximately. However, in the count for President, you counted 2.5 million. I don’t know what accounts for that 700,000 difference between the number of ballots you sent out and the number of ballots that ended up in the count. That number, 2,589,242 was on your government website until yesterday. And yesterday, it was removed without explanation. I’m going to be very interested in hearing what the explanation is.”

This Week in Bans: Movies, Elected Officials, Networks, and Activists

Nov. 27 (EIRNS)—“Wow! Twitter bans highly respected Pennsylvania State Senator Doug Mastriano after he did a great job of leading a hearing on the 2020 Election fraud. They and the Fake News, working together, want to SILENCE THE TRUTH. Can’t let that happen. This is what Communist countries do!” So tweeted President Donald Trump this morning, after Twitter suspended the personal account of Pennsylvania State Senator Doug Mastriano, who spoke at the Nov. 25 press conference on vote fraud held in that state. (Mastriano’s account was reactivated midday today.)

On Nov. 26, Twitter suspended the account of the movie “The Plot Against the President,” which reviews the efforts by Congressman Devin

Nunes to uncover the conspiracy to destroy the Trump presidency. (It was reinstated around lunchtime today.)

Also on Thursday, Nov. 26, Twitter prevented users from tweeting links to Sidney Powell's webpage containing the links to download her cases in Michigan and Georgia. (This too was changed today.)

All of this activity by Twitter follows YouTube's Nov. 24 decision to implement a one-week upload ban on One America News Network, whose excellent reporting on the vote fraud case was tweeted out by Trump early in the week.

On Thursday evening, Trump had tweeted: "For purposes of National Security, Section 230 must be immediately terminated!!!" Section 230 of the Communications Decency Act prevents websites that act as conduits for the speech of their users from being sued for the content they post. But if Twitter takes an active role in adding commentary and deciding what can and cannot be said, it is no longer functioning as a neutral conduit.

After taunting the information warfare account Prop or Not, activist José Vega saw his Twitter account temporarily restricted. Vega had tweeted a link to his excellent and entertaining YouTube video dismantling the Russiagate myth with the text "Hey @propornot I found some Russian disinformation, can you please vet it and make sure it's really Russian propaganda ???" Watch his [video](#) here.

COVID-19

United Airlines Begins Positioning Pfizer Vaccine

Nov. 27 (EIRNS)—To ensure rapid delivery of the life-saving (and normalcy-restoring) COVID vaccines developed by Pfizer/BioNTech, United Airlines has begun charter flights to position doses of the as-yet-unapproved vaccine for rapid distribution once the FDA authorizes its use, today's *Wall Street Journal* reports. The Federal Aviation Administration had to make a special approval for the flights, because of the 15,000 pounds of dry ice required per flight to keep the vaccines cold.

FedEx and DHL are introducing temperature monitoring systems for future shipments, and UPS and Lufthansa are building “freezer farms” at airport hubs to safely store vaccines in transit.

STRATEGIC WAR DANGER

Iran’s Leading Nuclear Scientist Is Assassinated

Nov. 27 (EIRNS)—Iran’s top nuclear scientist, Mohsen Fakhrizadeh, whom American and Israeli intelligence have long charged was behind secret programs to design an atomic warhead, was shot and killed on Friday, Nov. 27, as he was traveling in a vehicle in northern Iran, Iranian state media reported. Fakhrizadeh was shot as his car was driving through the countryside town of Absard, in the Damavand region. State media accounts said that he had been gravely wounded in the attack, and that doctors tried to save him in the hospital, but could not.

The assassination, whether by the U.S. or the Mossad, could be in retaliation for Iran’s increased efforts to use better centrifuges and its stock of uranium, which has grown significantly since the U.S. abandoned the 2015 JCPOA agreement. How this assassination would affect any attempt to revive the agreement is not clear. The Pentagon’s former top Middle East policy official, Michael P. Mulroy, said, according to the *New York Times*, that the death of Fakhrizadeh was “a setback to Iran’s nuclear program.” “He was their senior-most nuclear scientist and was believed to be responsible for Iran’s covert nuclear program,” Mulroy enthused in an email, and further stated, hopefully, “He was also a senior officer in the Islamic Revolutionary Guard Corps, and that will magnify Iran’s desire to respond by force.”

John Brennan, among others, urged Iran not to respond, tweeting “Iranian leaders would be wise to wait for the return of responsible American leadership on the global stage & to resist the urge to respond against perceived culprits.” A comedian might ask whether Judge Emmet Sullivan will oversee Brennan’s prosecution for violating the Logan Act by speaking to the Iranians this way.

COLLAPSING WESTERN FINANCIAL SYSTEM

Suicide and Euthanasia Revived by German Courts and Movies

Nov. 27 (EIRNS)—On Nov. 24, Germany’s Deutsche Welle news service reported on the resurgence of support for euthanasia in Germany. They say that a poll surveying responses to a film portraying a fictional treatment of a healthy widower’s request that he be provided with poison, having given up on life after the death of his wife, resulted in approximately 70% favoring and 29% opposing his request.

The article links to a report on a German high-court ruling that revoked a five-year criminal restriction on individuals assisting in arranging suicides as “a recurring pursuit.” A factor in the decision, they report, is that Germans found it inconvenient or expensive to travel to the Netherlands or Switzerland to legally obtain assistance in suicide or hire someone to perform euthanasia.

- Watch the [**Morning Briefing with Harley Schlanger**](#) on Monday, November 30: Every weekday morning Harley will brief you on what you need to know to start your day. Subscribe to the LaRouchePAC YouTube channel and hit the notifications button to be notified when Harley’s briefings are posted. Or you can listen to the audio file on [SoundCloud](#).