

EIR Daily Alert Service

P.O. Box 17390, WASHINGTON, DC 20041-0390

- COP27 Is FLOP27, but Leadership Drive Strengthens for a New Economic and Peace Framework
- Rosatom Chief Warns of Risk of Nuclear Disaster at Zaporozhye NPP
- Tensions Escalate between Serbia and Kosovo, in British Empire's Latest Provocation
- Halifax International Security Summit: 'Democracy' More Important than Peace
- Coordinated Protest Motorcades Expanding in Germany, Plan Nov. 24 Action
- Can Stanford, Silicon Valley, and Bahamas Put FTX Back Together Again?
- No More 'Endless Cash' to Ukraine, as New Resolution in Congress To Vet, Slow U.S. Funds to Kiev
- Global South Turned the Tables in COP27 Summit
- Last-Minute Agreement at COP27 Covers Defeat for Malthusians
- Artemis Moon-Mars Mission Underway

EDITORIAL

COP27 Is FLOP27, but Leadership Drive Strengthens for a New Economic and Peace Framework

Nov. 21 (EIRNS)—COP27 concluded Nov. 20 as FLOP27. Formally, the release of its joint statement may have saved some pretense of continuation of the 30-year-long dirty operation (started at the UN Rio Earth Summit in 1992), but that's all it is. The air is hissing out of the green balloon.

The assertion by many nations of the Global South, of their rights to sovereignty and care for their populations, along with moves by the BRICS, EAEU, China, and others, overrode the core fake science COP series narratives, and bullying by the imperial green networks. As one African spokesman said of the green dictates and dictators, “They drink wine, they tell us to drink water.” No more.

The COP27 joint statement, instead of customary deadlines and commitments to cut greenhouse gas emissions, and bring on depopulation, had significantly less bite and blather. This was bemoaned yesterday by the chairman of last year’s COP26 Glasgow session, British Tory MP Alok Sharma who asked, where is the “Clear follow-through on the phase-down of coal. Not in this text. Clear commitment to phase out all fossil fuels. Not in this text.” And so on.

Moreover, what the COP27 ratified instead was the concept of setting up an international fund to help poorer nations defray “Loss and Damages” and help mitigate and adapt to climate change. (At its best, “adaption” can mean building a dam if you live in a flood zone!)

This was opposed vehemently by London, Washington, and Brussels, the home of rules-based green orders and Global NATO, too. But the tide has turned against them. For example, on Nov. 15, the BRICS nations climate envoys issued a joint statement favoring the “Loss and Damages” fund concept. They called for “substantial progress towards the establishment of a financial mechanism for Loss and Damage....”

In the end, the U.S. caved in, in the face of this leadership action, and stopped opposing the “Loss and Damages” act. Perhaps it was an omen that top U.S. Climate Action envoy John Kerry contracted COVID-19 at COP27. As for financing the fund, sure, no one presumes the commitment will be honored. That’s not the point.

What this COP27 episode reflects is another sign of the growing leadership shift in the world toward exerting sanity. Uganda President Yoweri Museveni tweeted at one point in the process, “it is morally bankrupt for Europeans to expect to take Africa’s fossil fuels for their own

energy production, but refuse to countenance African use of those same fuels for theirs.”

There is no time to lose. The danger of sparking a nuclear war continues at high tension. Over the weekend, Ukraine was again shelling the Zaporozhye nuclear power plant. That’s bad enough, but consider the insanity of the two statements issued yesterday by Raphael Grossi, the Director General of the IAEA. The first one stated, strictly according to the rules-based narrative that Ukraine can do no wrong: “Golly, I wonder who could have done the shelling, and do they not know the risks?” The second Grossi statement said, “Don’t worry. The shelling has not hit anything to release any radioactivity.” Not this time!

The dialogue for policies and action to end this evil and stupidity is now taking off, furthered so uniquely over the past few years at the policy conferences by the Schiller Institute, commissioned by its founder Helga Zepp-LaRouche, and especially through her concept of the Committee for the Coincidence of Opposites, going back to Nicholas Cusanus. Look at the coalescence of groupings coming in motion together. For example, in Germany on Nov. 24, there will be simultaneous motorcade protests in 18 cities, coordinated by the Entrepreneurs’ Revolt, with connections going back and forth between German and French cities. In turn, these connections extend, via farmers in motion, into the Americas, north and south, and into India, and so on. The Nov. 24 protest German “issues” are fundamental: settle the Ukraine conflict; stop the energy and food crisis; fight price inflation, end the sanctions, and so on. They condemn lawmakers’ practicing “politics out of touch with reality.”

Leading the charge are those with the LaRouche movement’s Schiller Institute conference Tuesday, Nov. 22, “[Stop the Danger of Nuclear War Now](#).” (9:30 a.m. to 5 p.m. EST) This is the “Third Seminar of the Political and Social Leaders of the World.” Attend. Follow up. Join the mobilization.

- Watch [Harley’s Updates](#) with Harley Schlanger on Monday November 21, 2022: Every weekday morning on The LaRouche

Organization, Schlanger will give you the strategic briefing you need to start your day.

STRATEGIC SHOWDOWN

Rosatom Chief Warns of Risk of Nuclear Disaster at Zaporozhye NPP

Nov. 21 (EIRNS)—Alexey Likhachev, the Chief Executive Officer of Russia’s Rosatom nuclear agency, warned today that there’s a chance of a nuclear disaster at the Zaporozhye Nuclear Power Plant, which is under control of Russian forces, under the present circumstances. The Ukraine regime has resumed shelling the plant in recent days.

“We are informing the international community that the plant is at risk of a nuclear disaster and Kiev clearly believes that a small nuclear incident would be acceptable,” said Likhachev, reported TASS. “However, radiation won’t ask Kiev what kind of incident it wants. It will be a precedent that will change the course of history forever. So everything possible needs to be done to make sure that no one can even think of damaging the security of nuclear power plants.”

Likhachev’s warning followed a day in which the International Atomic Energy Agency issued not one, but two statements quoting Director General Rafael Grossi refusing to acknowledge that Ukraine is shelling the plant. In the first statement, the agency expressed extreme concern about the renewed shelling of the plant. “Whoever is behind this, it must stop immediately,” Grossi said. “As I have said many times before, you’re playing with fire!” The second statement reported that while there was no radiation leakage, shell impacts came very close to safety and security systems. “Even though there was no direct impact on key nuclear safety and security systems at the plant, the shelling came dangerously close to them. We are talking meters, not kilometers. Whoever is shelling at the Zaporozhye Nuclear Power Plant, is taking huge risks and gambling with many people’s lives,” he said. In both statements, Grossi reiterated his demand for a demilitarized zone around the plant.

Ambassador Mikhail Ulyanov, Russia's UN envoy to international organizations in Vienna, charged that the resolution passed by the IAEA's Board of Governors last week, actually has the effect of giving the Kiev regime *carte blanche* to keep shelling the plant. "Yesterday and today the Ukrainian armed forces undertook heavy shelling of the ZNPP. Looks like they perceived the recent misleading IAEA BoG resolution sponsored by Canada and Finland as a *carte blanche* for resumption of shelling the biggest nuclear power plant in Europe," he wrote on his Twitter account. The resolution, TASS notes, demands that Russia stop its activities at Ukrainian nuclear power plants.

Tensions Escalate between Serbia and Kosovo, in British Empire's Latest Provocation

Nov. 21 (EIRNS)—Look at the Balkans for the next stage for a British provocation. An effort by EU foreign policy chief and EU Commission vice president Josep Borrell to mediate between Serbia's President Aleksandar Vucic and Kosovo Prime Minister Albin Kurti failed today, apparently because the Kosovo side refused Borrell's compromise proposal.

The dispute, which threatens to explode as "Hell on Earth," as Vucic has warned, is around the issuance of license plates. On April 1, 2023, all automobiles of Serbian residents in Kosovo must display Kosovo license plates or face fines. So far, Serbian license plates have been accepted. On Nov. 1, Kosovo authorities started to issue warnings. After April 21, 2023, when the transition period is over, the Kosovo police will be authorized to seize cars with Serbian plates.

In response, Kosovo and Metohija Serbs quit all Kosovo government institutions. Kosovo Serb leader Goran Rakic resigned from the Kosovo government, along with Serb lawmakers, judges and municipality heads. Later, the Serb police officers removed Kosovo uniforms and epaulettes right at a meeting.

The Quinta countries (U.S., U.K., France, Germany and Italy) tried to force Pristina to postpone the decision on the license plates by 10 months,

but the authorities of the republic, not recognized by all countries, ignored all appeals, according to TASS.

The situation in Kosovo could turn into “Hell on Earth” if the Kosovo authorities do not cancel their decision to ban Serbian plates, Vucic had said on Nov. 20 according to RT. “We will make everything possible to prevent war, but it does not depend on us,” Vucic said to TV Prva. “In that case, Serbia will stay with its people and the Serbians will defend their homes.”

Certainly a sovereign country cannot accept that resident citizens own cars with a foreign license plate. However, to escalate the dispute, knowing how your opponent will react, in the context of a world on the edge to nuclear war, is insane and stinks of British manipulation.

After the meeting in Brussels today, Borrell typically stated that Vucic and Kurti “bear full responsibility for the failure of today’s negotiations and for any escalation and violence that could occur on the field. We have presented a proposal that has been accepted by Vucic but not by Kurti.”

A NATO peace-keeping contingent of little more than 3,000 military police is present at the Kosovo-Serbia border, under Italian command. Italy has the largest contingent, with 600 Carabinieri. The contingent has been able to protect a few sites which are sacred for either side, but if a war breaks out, the contingent is impotent.

Enlarging the NATO force would be the worst thing to do. In Kosovo, NATO would fight Serbia, which means Russia.

Halifax International Security Summit: ‘Democracy’ More Important than Peace

Nov. 21 (EIRNS)—The Halifax International Security Conference in Halifax, Canada, over Nov. 18-20, just concluded as a real gathering of war buzzards. The *Politico* coverage on Nov. 20 reports that the participants all agreed that “democracy” is more important than peace. “The way to protect global democracy right now is with weapons and support for Ukraine’s battle against Russia, not talks,” was the message of the conference,

Politico opened by quoting one of the Ukrainians present in person. “The support for that fight flew in the face of a growing narrative around the need for peace negotiations between Ukraine and Russia. ‘Democracy without weapons is blah blah blah,’ said Hanna Hopko, identified as a Ukrainian democracy activist and former parliamentarian, on Sunday, summing up the atmosphere at the three-day conference.”

The Dutch Defense Minister Kajsa Ollengren said, “We cannot let Russia and Putin get away with this kind of aggression,” speaking on the sidelines of the meeting. “The Ukrainians are fighting this war, but it’s also our war,” she said. “If Russia would win, it would have a direct impact on our security.”

The main message from the conference, *Politico* reports further, was to double down on supporting Ukraine. And Ukrainian officials who traveled thousands of miles in the midst of war to Halifax said they felt buoyed by the support. “I feel a strong bond, especially being here,” Ukrainian Deputy Prime Minister Olga Stefanishyna told reporters during a pull-aside roundtable.

This is apparently existential for NATO, not just against Russia but also against China. “If we lose in Ukraine, we lose Belarus, we lose credibility,” around the world, Poland’s Defense Minister Gen. Rajmund Andrzejczak told *Politico*. China is watching the conflict closely, Andrzejczak claimed, and since Beijing is a more serious longer-term threat, success in Ukraine will have effects in the Indo-Pacific as well. “It’s a matter of synchronizing our efforts,” he said. “If we do not fulfill the mission first in Ukraine, we will not be ready for mission number two, which is China.”

The Kiev regime, besides feeling the “warmth” of solidarity from Halifax, was also fantasizing about reconquering Crimea. Both top adviser to President Zelenskyy Andrei Yermak and Stefanishyna, the deputy prime minister, alluded to a coming military campaign to retake control of Crimea, which they charged that Russia illegally annexed in 2014. Neither official would talk about timelines, though Ukraine’s deputy defense minister told Sky News that Ukrainian troops would be in Crimea by the

end of December. Although not mentioned, one of the other Ukrainian speakers attending was former President Petro Poroshenko.

Interestingly, Yermak walked back Zelenskyy's 4-minute statement to the conference on Nov. 18 that Moscow had asked for a "truce" or a "pause" in the fighting. "We have not [had] any official application from the Russian side about some conversations, some negotiations," he said, *Politico* reported separately.

Viktor Bondarev, the Chairman of the Russian Federation Council's Committee on Defense and Security (and former Russia air force chief), dismissed any notion that the Kiev regime could even undertake a campaign to capture Crimea. "Ukraine has neither the resources, nor the military potential, nor the support of the Crimeans for its promised offensive on the peninsula. Also, the West will not take any real steps to help Ukraine in this adventure. The Kiev authorities will not go beyond the level of rhetoric," he wrote on his Telegram channel, reported TASS.

NEW WORLD PARADIGM

Coordinated Protest Motorcades Expanding in Germany, Plan Nov. 24 Action

Nov. 21 (EIRNS)—Whereas separate motorcades protesting against the German government's policy regarding Ukraine, and Berlin's inaction against inflation have occurred in several regions of eastern Germany in the past weeks, "The Entrepreneurs Revolt" has planned coordinated actions in five states on Thursday, Nov. 24. The initiative "Entrepreneurs' Revolt" has organized for motorcades to take place in altogether 18 cities, with Bremen also the first such action in a western German city.

Pointing to the fight against energy price inflation, "politics out of touch with reality," and to the urgency of a peace solution in Ukraine, the activists demand an end to sanctions against Russia and no deliveries of weapons to Ukraine, a change of policy to make energy affordable again, the protection of enterprises against bankruptcies, protection of jobs, and "New elections NOW!"

The 18 cities holding coordinated motorcades in the afternoon of Nov. 24 are: in

Mecklenburg-Western Pomerania: state capital Schwerin, Neubrandenburg, Rostock, Greifswald, Güstrow, Stralsund, Parchim, Wismar, Waren (Müritz); in Thuringia: Saalfeld-Rudolstadt, Pößneck, Gera; in the city state of Bremen:

Bremerhaven; in Saxony: Görlitz, Löbau, Zittau, Bautzen; in Brandenburg: Oranienburg.

COLLAPSING WESTERN SYSTEM

Can Stanford, Silicon Valley, and Bahamas Put FTX Back Together Again?

Nov. 21 (EIRNS)—The Biden Administration isn't likely to dare help out this Humpty Dumpty financial fraud/political slush fund at this point. But FTX's creator Sam Bankman-Fried is trying to raise several billions in "venture capital" of the sort which originates around Silicon Valley, to "save" his Ponzi scheme from liquidation in bankruptcy court in Delaware, and perhaps keep himself out of prison thereby. Some venture capital investors spoke about his calls to CNBC, which published this news today.

Bernie Madoff, despite his influential positions on Wall Street stock exchanges, had defrauded too many wealthy financiers to have a shot at this, and Bankman-Fried's attempt isn't likely to succeed either. Any source which comes up with "takeover funds" for him at this point will have to attempt complete and permanent anonymity. But Bankman-Fried, resident in the Bahamas, does apparently have open leeway from the bankruptcy administrators of the Bahamas Securities Commission, which has entered into a jurisdictional battle with Delaware and has apparently allowed a withdrawal of nearly \$500 million worth of crypto deposits despite the bankruptcy.

RT on Nov. 18 published a long piece on FTX by one Felix Livshitz, focused on Bankman-Fried's "fundraising" for Ukraine. The sums are at

least \$60 million worth of cryptocurrency, supposedly raised by him in a week through something called Aid for Ukraine, which was launched in mid-March with Ukraine's Ministry of Digital Transformation. The crypto, which FTX had converted into cash, was spent on weapons. It is possible significantly more was "raised" on the FTX exchange, which was actually being bled of customer reserves to support the Alameda Research investment fund, the Democratic and Republican Parties, and so forth.

During this period in March, Livshitz wrote, both Sam Bankman-Fried and his brother Gabriel had repeated meetings in the White House, together with a Democratic strategist named Jenna Narayanan, who had worked for the Democratic Alliance (billionaires' club organized by Soros). It is certainly likely that this slush-fund form of aid for Ukraine was being discussed with White House officials during those meetings. Sam Bankman-Fried was also lobbying at that time, however, for cryptocurrency "regulation" legislation he had partly designed—and which now, with typical Congressional hypocrisy, has a good chance of passage in the aftermath of FTX's collapse and exposure!

U.S. POLITICAL & ECONOMIC

No More 'Endless Cash' to Ukraine, as New Resolution in Congress To Vet, Slow U.S. Funds to Kiev

Nov. 21 (EIRNS)—Five Republican Congressmen held a press conference Nov. 17 to publicize their cosponsorship of a bill calling for auditing U.S. funding going to Ukraine, and several spoke out against sending any more money to Ukraine. They are continuing to publicize their demands, including no more funding to Ukraine. The resolution is led by Rep. Marjorie Taylor Greene (R-GA). The co-sponsors appearing with her at the press conference were Matt Gaetz (FL), Barry Moore (AL), Andrew Clude (GA) and Thomas Massie (KY). They were joined by Representative-elect Cory Mills (R-FL).

Gaetz said, "I'm here as a member of the House Armed Services Committee to say that the days of endless cash and military materiel to

Ukraine are numbered. I won't vote for one more dollar to Ukraine. We are far too entangled in this conflict. We have extended this conflict. I wish the American people could see the extent to which our laws have been violated....” The night before, on Fox News Tucker Carlson program, Rep. Massie said, “We shouldn't be sending another penny to Ukraine....”

The bill has been introduced as a privileged resolution, which means it will be referred to the relevant committee, whose members then have 14 business days to act on it—either reject it or approve it for a vote on the House floor. If the committee does not so act within the time frame, Greene, the lead sponsor, has the option of forcing a floor vote in the House, without the procedure of committee action.

Before the new Congress is sworn in, in January 2023, it is likely that the resolution, if a vote occurs, will lose in the House. Greene addressed that directly at the press conference: “I'll introduce this resolution again [in 2023], but I'll also be calling for a full audit. We voted ‘no’ to send money over there, but we're also going to audit what's happening in Ukraine.”

Already, the U.S. has approved \$43 billion to Ukraine, and President Biden last week called for another \$37.7 billion of additional funding to be approved by Congress. The breakdown of the \$43 billion is: \$20 billion in military assistance, \$10 billion in humanitarian aid, and about \$13 billion in economic assistance.

GREEN RESET

Global South Turned the Tables in COP27 Summit

Nov. 21 (EIRNS)—This year's UN Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) was “FLOP27,” but in a different way. The developing nations and particularly the BRICS appear to have turned the tables on the World Economic Forum's “Green Deal.” The bitter disappointment expressed this year by COP26 President, British Tory MP Alok Sharma, who wept while closing COP26 last year in Glasgow which he chaired, was that of an oligarchical agent who'd gotten whipped.

On Nov. 15 at COP27, the ministers responsible for “climate” of the five BRICS countries released a statement, quoted then in South Africa’s industry site, Engineering News, which began:

“[The] Ministers pledged their full support to the Egyptian COP27 Presidency for a successful conference, which should deliver an ambitious, equitable and balanced outcome, including substantial progress towards the establishment of a financial mechanism for Loss and Damage, the New Collective Quantified Finance Goal and operationalisation of the Global Goal on Adaptation, as well as completion of the Work Program on Mitigation. The introduction of a dedicated agenda item on a funding arrangement for Loss and Damage, at the initiative of developing countries, is a welcome development.”

That “welcome development” is, apparently, the gist of what came out of COP27. As Sharma fumed, “Emissions peaking before 2025 as the science tells us is necessary? Not in this text. Clear follow-through on the phase-down of coal? Not in this text. Clear commitment to phase out all fossil fuels? Not in this text. The energy text? Weakened in the final minutes.”

The Loss and Damage fund, whatever may become of its implementation given past performance of the “advanced” countries, was agreed upon due to a flip of the Biden Administration delegation during COP27 in Egypt, abandoning its previous opposition to a so-called unlimited liability of the industrial countries for costs of adaptation and “mitigation” by the developing countries. That flip was likely compelled by the tide of the Global South toward the BRICS, after decades of complete fossil fuel hypocrisy by the trans-Atlantic countries culminating in the destructive disgrace of their current war sanctions. And quite possibly, London and Washington intend using such Loss and Damage funding as there is, in their flailing attempts to counter China’s Belt and Road.

Ugandan President Yoweri Museveni had tweeted: “It is morally bankrupt for Europeans to expect to take Africa’s fossil fuels for their own energy production but refuse to countenance African use of those same

fuels for theirs.” The EU agreed at the summit to natural gas as a “transitional fuel” for Africa. EU Commission Vice President Frans Timmermans was quoted by Bloomberg News Nov. 16 at a press conference, “Renewable energy needs to play a key role, but I also believe that gas can play a transitional role. [African nations] see the reserves they have in hydrocarbons as part of that equation. This is not Europe trying to use Africa as a gas station.”

Global Times, in a Nov. 20 article, “COP27 Yields Historical Deal on Climate Loss and Damage,” ran a subhead doubting that Western nations would honor the new “mechanism,” but reported that “China, a strong supporter of establishing a loss and damage mechanism, has been actively helping developing countries tackle climate issues via the South-South cooperation.”

The Atlantic Council definitely did not like the outcome. “The global South won, but did the climate?” was the lead-in to their “experts” discussion. “Utter failure,” says one, “devastating to plans to keep global heating to no more than 1.5 ° Celsius.” The big emitters—China, India, Brazil and Indonesia—let off the hook, says another. It was Putin’s fault, of course; and the “non-state actor community” has to dominate next year.

Last-Minute Agreement at COP27 Covers Defeat for Malthusians

Nov. 21 (EIRNS)—As reported by Bloomberg Green, the global warming propaganda outfit set up in 2020 by Bloomberg Media, “attempts to have nations agree to peak global emissions by 2025 or phase down all unabated fossil fuels ... fell flat” at the UN’s COP27 in Sharm el-Sheik, Egypt over Nov. 6-18. That’s admitting something, considering that the founder of Bloomberg Media is Sir Michael “No Coal” Bloomberg, UN Secretary-General’s Special Envoy for Climate Ambition and Solutions.

In the same vein, the European Union climate and Green Deal chief Frans Timmermans, First Vice President of the European Commission, referred to the final COP27 text as a come-down.

“We were faced with a moral dilemma. We had to give up some of the things we wanted to help this process and its parties to find a way forward.”

The final COP27 statement text even allows a transition to “low-emission” sources, which refers to use of natural gas. There is no phase-down of coal. No big pledge to get rid of fossil fuels at all.

Bloomberg reports that “major hydrocarbon producers such as Saudi Arabia blocked language that would have called for a plan to phase out oil and gas.”

“The influence of the fossil-fuel industry was found across the board,” said Laurence Tubiana, CEO at the European Climate Foundation and an architect of the 2015 Paris Agreement. “The Egyptian Presidency has produced a text that clearly protects oil and gas petrostates and the fossil-fuel industries. This trend cannot continue in the United Arab Emirates next year,” referring to the President of COP28, which will host the UNFCCC summit in U.A.E.

At the end, an agreement was reached thanks to the commitment to create a special fund to compensate poor countries for “loss and damage” through climate mitigation measures. Many believe that this commitment will remain on paper, though.

In his final statement, UN Secretary General Antonio Guterres chose to reiterate the need for participants to limit global temperature rise to below 1.5°C. Well-known Italian scientists today ridiculed the thinking involved in this has-been claim. Such statements are “kindergarten reasoning,” said Prof. Alberto Prestininzi, in a comment to Radio Radicale today. In the same interview, Prof. Franco Prodi said, “The idea that you have a switch to raise or lower global temperature is absurd, does not stand up.” Prodi and Prestininzi delivered a video address to the Nov. 12 Schiller Institute conference, “[The Physical Economy of the Noösphere: Reviving the Heritage of Vladimir Vernadsky.](#)”

As Bloomberg Green reports, “attempts to have nations agree to peak global emissions by 2025 or phase down all unabated fossil fuels ... fell flat.”

“We were faced with a moral dilemma,” said Frans Timmermans, EU climate chief. “We had to give up some of the things we wanted to help this process and its parties to find a way forward.”

British MP Alok Sharma, who acted as Britain’s COP26 president, complained that key points for which he had fought were now either missing or watered down. “Emissions peaking before 2025 as the science tells us is necessary? Not in this text. Clear follow-through on the phase-down of coal? Not in this text. Clear commitment to phase out all fossil fuels? Not in this text. The energy text? Weakened in the final minutes,” kvetched Sharma, visibly angry as the session came to an end.

The final text allows a transition to “low-emission” sources, which refers to use of natural gas.

Bloomberg reports that “major hydrocarbon producers such as Saudi Arabia blocked language that would have called for a plan to phase out oil and gas.”

At the end, an agreement was reached thanks to the commitment to create a special fund to compensate poor countries for “loss and damage” through climate mitigation measures. Many believe that this commitment will remain on paper, though.

SCIENCE & INFRASTRUCTURE

Artemis Moon-Mars Mission Underway

Nov. 21 (EIRNS)—Though more than a year behind its original schedule set under the Trump Administration, the Artemis Moon-Mars mission has gotten underway with the unmanned flight of the Orion space capsule around the Moon. This is Artemis I of the four planned Artemis flights of which the third intends to land astronauts for the first time since Apollo 17

in 1972, and the fourth is intended to make the initial, small start on creating an infrastructure for long-term human activity on the lunar surface.

The Artemis I “outbound flyby” of the Moon began this morning at about 7:44 a.m. EST. The Orion space capsule passed behind the Moon at about a 130 km (80-mile) altitude. It was then to swing around the Moon and go into a “distant retrograde orbit,” which is some 38,000 miles from the Moon, in the opposite direction of the lunar orbit (retrograde orbit), and pass behind the Moon a second time, at a higher altitude, or larger orbit, than any previous space flight. And then it will perform an “inbound flyby,” going around the Moon at low altitude one more time to leverage gravitational momentum for its return orbit to Earth. For Earth entry, the testing of the heat shields is crucial, as it will be coming into Earth’s atmosphere at the highest speed ever, generating a 5,000° temperature on the heat shields. The Artemis II crew will undergo the same entry.

In Germany LaRouche activist Madeleine Fellauer posted on Facebook a photo showing Orion at a great distance from Earth, and the slogan “Fly to Heaven! Not Nuclear Hell.”