

EIR Daily Alert Service

P.O. BOX 17390, WASHINGTON, DC 20041-0390

- Stop War, Feed the World—Discover How Much an Idea Weighs
- Neo-Nazis in War-Propaganda Mode Attack Schiller Institute Conference
- Pope Francis Prays on Pentecost, ‘Let True Negotiations Take Place’
- Atlantic Council’s Kempe Issues Furious ‘Ukraine Must Win’ Op-ed
- Anglos and Nazis Shout, ‘Slap Down’ Macron for Daring To Say ‘Mediation’!
- Sanctions Ground Lavrov’s Plane, Prevent Serbia’s Vučić from Meeting with Russian Foreign Minister
- Dr. Jaishankar ‘Eurocentrism’ Is Dead, India’s Foreign Policy Is Based on Its Own ‘Values and Interests’
- Daughter of Chief Prosecutor at Nuremberg Charges U.S. Guilty of War of Aggression vs. Russia
- Mexico’s López Obrador Excoriates U.S. Exclusion of Venezuela, Cuba and Nicaragua from Summit of the Americas

EDITORIAL

Stop War, Feed the World—Discover How Much an Idea Weighs

June 6 (EIRNS)—Do ideas actually change the world?

Lyndon LaRouche used to ask, how much does an idea weigh? While fools might rush in, thinking that ideas are hereby being discounted as not real, or as some sort of epiphenomenon, LaRouche was putting on the table the seeming paradox, that something that did, indeed, change the world—and change the world as it had to be changed, and could not be changed any

other way—might be more real than all the things, stuff and matter that we too often take as primary.

The May 26 Schiller Institute conference, “[The Insanity of Politicians Threatens Nuclear War](#),” addressed an ugly reality without flinching, and did so beautifully. It thereby serves as a rather unique model for any caring soul, that they have the power to work through this strategic crisis—and therefore can organize others. How else could it be done?

Certainly, the howlings of the “disinformation” thought-controllers testify to the reality and potency of ideas. Kyiv’s National Security and Defense Council—the particularly nasty part of Ukraine’s government, running “extrajudicial” procedures, closing down opposition political parties, etc.—reacted to the main presentations at the conference as if a cross were thrust before them. The president of the Atlantic Council produced a paranoid argument, desperate to batten down the hatches against any talk of peace. The “powers that be” in NATO/EU circles spent their time and muscle to stop one plane flight of Russia’s Foreign Minister. Something is bothering them.

But examine the modest elements of sanity bursting upon the scene: India’s Minister of External Affairs, after a couple of months of rebutting silly geopolitical questions, boxed Europe on the ears. Why respect a Europe that insists their problems are the world’s problems, when Europe can’t hold the world’s problems—hunger, starvation, disease, etc.—in their attention span for a microsecond. Mexico’s President took no prisoners, when the U.S. State Department played their oh-so-clever games, trying to split up Ibero-America on silly issues. The daughter of the famous Telford Taylor, Chief Prosecutor at Nuremberg, weighed in with the voice of history upon the real, present Nuremberg crime of NATO’s war of aggression.

So, life is exciting! Ideas are real. How they work, though perhaps wrapped in mystery, may always be a subject worth pursuing. Yet, Percy Bysshe Shelley’s poets are the true legislators—but if and only if they master the “profound and impassioned ideas respecting man and nature”

that are most succinctly bound up in Lyndon LaRouche's "Four Laws"—folding together human creativity and physical necessity. Not yet convinced? Consider the living example of one poet-legislator who actually delivered some of his poetry from the White House: "The mystic chords of memory, stretching from every battlefield and patriot grave to every living heart and hearthstone all over this broad land, will yet swell the chorus of the Union, when again touched, as surely they will be, by the better angels of our nature."

So, join the battle. How could a person not wrap their mind and soul around the next deliberately planned and necessary intervention of human ideas: The June 18-19 Schiller Institute Conference, "[There Can Be No Peace Without the Bankruptcy Reorganization of the Dying Trans-Atlantic Financial System.](#)" Your better angel is already there!

- Watch [Harley's Updates](#) with Harley Schlanger on Tuesday June 7, 2022: Every weekday morning on [The LaRouche Organization](#), Schlanger will give you the strategic briefing you need to start your day.

LAROUCHE

Neo-Nazis in War-Propaganda Mode Attack Schiller Institute Conference

June 6 (EIRNS)—Given the massive agricultural, industrial and scientific prospects for the revival of Ukraine that are a part of the longstanding Schiller Institute published economic solutions, one has to wonder as to what is on the mind of Ukraine's "Center for Countering Disinformation" (CCD) and its recent [hysterical reactions](#) to all the speakers at the May 26 Schiller conference, "The Insanity of Politicians Threatens Nuclear War." It may not be Ukraine's well-being.

The CCD is a wholly-owned operation of the Ukraine's National Security and Defense Council (NSDC). It was conceived on March 11, 2021 with the NSDC's "On the creation of the Center for Countering

Disinformation,” and it was birthed on March 19, 2021 with President Zelenskyy’s Presidential Decree #106, explicitly to deal with threats from the “information sphere.” And, yes, that is “Newspeak” for what used to be mocked as a “Ministry of Truth.”

Of relevance, the NSDC has just moved, in February 2021, to eliminate political discourse in Ukraine, under claims of “national security” and emergency powers. In short order, 11 political parties were eliminated and opposition newspapers, radio and television stations were seized and shut down. “Extrajudicial powers” were invoked to circumvent legal procedures and courtrooms. Opponents were arrested, and in the case of Viktor Medvedchuk, the head of the largest opposition parliamentary faction (Opposition Platform-For Life), charged with treason. (Charges of treason were based upon making arrangements to purchase coal from the Donbass for use in the rest of Ukraine.) When a leading jurist pointed out that these actions were unconstitutional, Zelenskyy simply fired him.

The very day that Zelenskyy’s decree established the CCD was also the day that Ukraine—via Foreign Minister Dmytro Kuleba—adopted the new policy for settling the Crimea and Donbass matters: No negotiation, nothing but a military solution. So, the CCD is rightly viewed as a wartime “Ministry of Truth.”

The first two of eleven “main objectives” were “conducting analysis and monitoring events and phenomena in the information space of Ukraine, the state of information security and its presence in the global information space” and “identifying and researching current and projected threats to the information security of Ukraine, the factors influencing their formation, predicting and assessing the consequences for the security of Ukraine’s national interests....” Truth is noticeably left out.

The NSDC that conceived and runs the CCD was an early seat of power for the neo-Nazi faction of the Maidan demonstrators. On Feb. 27, 2014, five days after seizing power in Kyiv, Andriy Parubiy—in 1991, the cofounder of the Social-National Party (1991, changed to “Svoboda” for PR purposes) and by 2014 a commander on the Maidan—assumed the

leadership of the NSDC. Oleksandr Turchynov, who, unelected, had assumed the Presidency, would become the next head of NSDC in December 2014, after Parubiy, running the show there for the next four and a half years. When Zelenskyy was inaugurated in 2019, Oleksiy Danilov took over, presiding over the February/March 2021 coup against the constitution.

Prior to the CCD, Ukraine's war against "disinformation" was managed by "StopFake," set up in 2014 by Evgeny Fedchenko, an open supporter of the neo-Nazi "C14" gang of thugs, and financed by the British Embassy in Kyiv, along with the U.S. National Endowment of Democracy. Their lead "researcher," Marko Suprun is a hard-core follower of Nazi Stepan Bandera. Suprun had served in his native Winnipeg, on the board of the Organization for the Defense of Four Freedoms of Ukraine, an OUN-B front group. And Suprun is a literal "blood brother" of both Andriy Sereda ("Ukraine is the motherland of the Aryan race") and Arseniy Bilodub, the lead "singer" of a "hate-core band," advertised as a Ukrainian "white power rock" group. (Bilodub, né Klimachev, made his money, however, marketing apparel with the Nazi "Black Sun" insignia.) Of note, Nina Jankowicz, the dumped head of the U.S. Department of Homeland Security's defunct Disinformation Governance Board, cut her teeth in the "disinformation" business in Kyiv with Suprun at StopFake.

Suprun has exposed, over the years, the "disinformation" of both Lyndon and Helga LaRouche, long before the CCD joined the game. However, the CCD was created for the hotter phase of war and with much tighter coordination with NATO. Evidently, the most recent Schiller conference constituted a threat to their "information space."

UKRAINE

Pope Francis Prays on Pentecost, 'Let True Negotiations Take Place'

June 6 (EIRNS)—[Pope Francis](#) gave a heart-felt message following his praying the Regina Caeli for Pentecost from the Vatican balcony:

“On Pentecost, God’s dream for humanity becomes reality; fifty days after Easter, peoples who speak different languages encounter and understand one another. But now, one hundred days after the beginning of the armed aggression against Ukraine, the nightmare of war, which is the negation of God’s dream, has once again befallen humanity.... And while the fury of destruction and death rampages and the conflicts rage on, fueling an escalation that is increasingly dangerous for all, I renew my appeal to the leaders of nations: Do not lead humanity into ruin, please! Let true negotiations take place, real talks for a ceasefire and for a sustainable solution. Let the desperate cry of the suffering people be heard—we see this every day in the media—have respect for human life and stop the macabre destruction of cities and villages in the east of Ukraine. Let us continue, please, to pray and to strive tirelessly for peace.”

COLLAPSING WESTERN SYSTEM

Atlantic Council’s Kempe Issues Furious ‘Ukraine Must Win’ Op-ed

June 6 (EIRNS)—Atlantic Council President Frederick Kempe’s “We Must Stop Putin in Ukraine” op-ed for CNBC on June 5 sounded like he feared the coming of D-Day for NATO’s imperial policy of crushing the world for London and Wall Street. In content and tone the column displayed complete hysteria and war madness. It may possibly be taken as well as an attack on statements by French President Macron June 4, that “Russia must not be humiliated” and that there must be an “exit ramp” from the Ukraine war. Kempe attacks that phrase directly. The column, which was reprinted on the Atlantic Council’s site June 5, was entitled, “It’s Time To Show Putin a Dead End in Ukraine, Not an Off-Ramp.” (“[We Must Stop Putin in Ukraine Before the Rule-of-Law Is Replaced by the Rule-of-the-Jungle](#)”)

Kempe began with an order to the reader: “Ukraine must win. Russia must lose.... So, let’s first stipulate that you agree with that end goal, as has everyone from U.S. President Joe Biden and House Speaker Nancy Pelosi to German Chancellor Olaf Scholz and European Commission President Ursula von der Leyen.” These four don’t define much of a spectrum, but,

regardless, “you” had better get on it, Kempe says: “To embrace anything less would be immoral, set a historical precedent with catastrophic costs, and unravel what remains of our fraying international order of rules and institutions....

“The aim should not be to ensure a stalemate, which has allowed Putin to take 20% of Ukrainian territory, nor pressure Ukraine into a self-defeating peace agreement, but rather to give Ukraine the means to retake territory through a counteroffensive—perhaps most importantly at the strategic southern Ukrainian city of Kherson—which would ensure access to Odessa and to the Black Sea now and in any eventual peace agreement.” And a final shot: “With that in mind, it’s flat wrong for the U.S. or any arms supplier to limit Ukrainian fire to hitting only Russian targets on Ukrainian soil.... Ukraine and the West need to show Putin a dead end and not an off-ramp.”

Anglos and Nazis Shout, ‘Slap Down’ Macron for Daring To Say ‘Mediation’!

June 6 (EIRNS)—French President Emmanuel Macron drew fury from London and Kyiv for suggesting a possible French “mediating role” and an “exit ramp” for Russia out of the war in Ukraine. Macron gave an interview to French regional newspapers on June 4, from which Reuters on June 5 quoted him as follows: “ ‘We must not humiliate Russia, so that the day when the fighting stops we can build an exit ramp through diplomatic means,’ Macron said in an interview to regional newspapers published on Saturday. ‘I am convinced that it is France’s role to be a mediating power.’ ”

The tone of Ukraine’s Foreign Minister Dmytro Kuleba’s response on Twitter was very British indeed: “Calls to avoid humiliation of Russia can only humiliate France and every other country that would call for it. Because it is Russia that humiliates itself. We all better focus on how to put Russia in its place.” The London “*Torygraph*” amplified this with a headline, “Emmanuel Macron Slapped Down over ‘Humiliating’ Comments on Russia.” Atlantic Council President Frederick Kempe’s

hysterical column published June 6 in CNBC (see separate report) may also have been in response to Macron. It appears Macron may have hit a sensitive nerve.

Also during the weekend [U.S. Ambassador to Russia John Sullivan](#), gave an interview to TASS, saying: “We are also never completely separating. We can’t just cut diplomatic relations really, and just not talk. At a minimum, we sit near each other at the UN Security Council in New York every day. No matter what, we are better talking to each other at the UN, at the Security Council. And we should have embassies. This is the bare minimum. This is basic.”

“We should have embassies in Moscow and in Washington, not just the Russian mission to the UN. I just think factually we’ll never be able to get to that level of complete separation because we are joined as permanent members of the [UN] Security Council. And having been joined in that way we do need to continue to engage with each other despite many contested issues that we have. We see each other, speak and present on a daily basis in New York. That’s a bare minimum,” Sullivan emphasized.

Sanctions Ground Lavrov’s Plane, Prevent Serbia’s Vučić from Meeting with Russian Foreign Minister

June 6 (EIRNS)—The spectacle of a Foreign Minister being prevented from flying to an arranged meeting with a head of state was on display yesterday. The airplane to bring Sergey Lavrov, Russia’s Foreign Minister, to Serbia to conclude an energy deal was denied travel through the airspace of North Macedonia, Montenegro and Bulgaria.

Earlier on June 5, Lavrov had explained on Serbian television that Serbian President Aleksandar Vučić and Russian President Vladimir Putin had reached an agreement in principle on gas supply arrangements. Further, Lavrov had said that he would discuss all matters of interest to Serbia with President Vučić, that Russia would like to hear Serbia’s concerns, and that it would be “very interesting to hear what they think about the EU’s mediating role.”

Evidently, the EU mediated. As Russian Foreign Ministry spokeswoman Maria Zakharova confirmed: “The countries bordering Serbia have closed the only air route to the aircraft of Sergey Lavrov who was to depart for Serbia. The Russian delegation was scheduled to hold talks in Belgrade, while the EU and NATO member-countries have closed their airspace.” She spoke late on June 5, in a broadcast of Italy’s La7 television channel.

Already on June 2, Serbian President Vučić expressed his concern over the pressures exerted upon his country, a candidate for EU membership: “It is getting complicated. I am not yet prepared to speak about it, but—yes, it is getting complicated ... the question is how he will arrive ... we shall see.”

Serbia is one of the few European countries, in or out of the EU, which has refused to go along with the sanctions. One can only assume that this move was in line with EU Commission airspace sanctions against Russian aircraft.

SPEAKING TRUTH TO POWER

Dr. Jaishankar ‘Eurocentrism’ Is Dead, India’s Foreign Policy Is Based on Its Own ‘Values and Interests’

June 6 (EIRNS)—Speaking June 3 at the GLOBESEC 2022 Bratislava Forum, as part of his four-day tour of NATO allies Slovakia and the Czech Republic, Indian External Affairs Minister [Dr. Subrahmanyam Jaishankar](#) spoke very bluntly about Indian foreign policy decisions and refuted the idea that his government is a “fence sitter” that must choose to ally with one or another “power axis”—Europe-U.S. on the one hand or Russia-China on the other. He told one questioner during the Q&A period, “Do not think it’s necessary for India to join any axis. India is entitled to its own choices which will be a balance of its values and interests. I’m not sitting on the fence just because I don’t agree with you. It means I am sitting on my ground.”

During his speech, entitled “Taking Friendship to the Next Level: Allies in the Indo-Pacific,” he put it this way: “I [India] am one-fifth of the world’s population. I am, what, today the 5th or 6th largest economy in the

world.... I feel I am entitled to have my own side. I am entitled to weigh my own interests and make my own choices. My choices will not be cynical and transactional. They will be a balance of my values and my interests. There is no country in the world which disregards its interests,” the *Economic Times* reported him as saying.

Jaishankar was unsparing with Europe. “A lot is happening outside Europe. There are so many human and natural disasters in our part of the world, and many countries look to India for help. The world is changing and new players are coming in. The world can’t be Eurocentric anymore. Europe has to grow out of the mindset that its problems are the world’s problems, but the world’s problems aren’t Europe’s problems.” Why, he asked, are linkages being made between China and India and what is happening in Ukraine? “China and India happened way before Ukraine.... So, the Chinese don’t need a precedent somewhere else in the world on how to engage with us or not engage us, or be difficult with us or not be difficult with us. I don’t see this frankly as a very clever argument, but a very self-serving one.”

Yes, he said, the relationship with China is difficult, but “we are perfectly capable of managing it. If I get a global understanding and support, it will be of help.” But leave geopolitics out of it. “This idea that I do a transaction, I come in one conflict because it will help in another conflict, that is not how the world works.” So, he said, “a lot of our problems with China have nothing to do with Ukraine, have nothing to do with Russia, they are predated. And, if we are getting into who is silent on what issue at what point of time, I could point to a whole lot of issues on which, as I said, Europe has held its peace ... if I were to take Europe collectively, which has been singularly silent on many things which were happening, for example in Asia, you could ask why would anybody in Asia trust Europe on anything at all?”

In response to another question on whether India’s purchase of Russian oil is financing Putin’s war in Ukraine, he pointed to the West’s hypocrisy. “If countries from the West, Europe, U.S. are so concerned, why don’t they

allow Iranian oil to come to the market; why don't they allow Venezuelan oil to come to the market?" He also rejected claims that India has imposed an export ban on wheat, saying that India has been exporting wheat, but "then we saw a run on our wheat, in large part by international traders based in Singapore and the U.A.E." Low-income countries were being squeezed out. "Our good will was used for speculation. We will not give speculators open access to the Indian market like what we saw happening with vaccines. We don't want to see that for wheat." India, he said, has exported wheat to 23 countries this year.

Daughter of Chief Prosecutor at Nuremberg Charges U.S. Guilty of War of Aggression vs. Russia

June 6 (EIRNS)—Ellen Taylor, daughter of Brig. Gen. Telford Taylor, Chief Prosecutor during the second, 1946-49 phase of the post-war Nuremberg Trials, has published a lengthy, carefully-argued article in *CounterPunch* magazine in which she: 1) charges that the U.S. is guilty of the Nuremberg crime of war of aggression, by its policy of NATO expansion against Russia; 2) decries that many U.S. retired military, amongst others, oppose the warmongering policy to defend unipolar U.S. hegemony, but have been silenced; and 3) urges Putin's proposal for a new security architecture be given consideration as the only way out of the monstrous danger the world now faces.

Taylor is clear; the unipolar drive is the cause of this war, and of the one with China that the unipolar advocates are seeking. "Neither Russia or China is eager for the role of U.S. adversary, the 'near-peer' enemy.... They have had to be teased, baited and tortured, like reluctant bulls in a bullfight, into responding. The Ukraine catastrophe is part of the result." In the case of Russia, "the U.S. and NATO have been on the warpath for decades and were clearly not going to be deterred this time. Biden had stated that he will allow no breaches of U.S. supremacy: '[I]t is not going to happen on my watch.' China, of course, is the main enemy—in the words of Antony Blinken, 'the most serious challenge to the long-term, U.S.-led world order.' But Russia is a more proximate target."

Taylor writes from the authority of someone whose worldview was shaped by her father's role in prosecuting the doctors, judges, industrialists, et al., who participated in the crimes of Hitler's Nazi regime. She was a child when the family lived in Nuremberg, but one old enough to identify with the gravity of her father's mission. "I grew up convinced of the axiomatic importance, however difficult it might be to maintain universal accountability, of international law for human survival," she explains.

Taylor recounts highlights of the post-1991 hopes of first Gorbachev and then Putin, that a "Common European Home" including Russia could be established, and the unrelenting betrayal of those hopes, beginning with the imposition of Yeltsin and the subsequent "pillaging" of the Russian economy, through to NATO's de facto takeover of Ukraine. In recounting Russia's continuous efforts, despite betrayals, to seek a relationship of equals with the West, she includes the often-forgotten fact that Russia did not resist Ukraine's bid for independence in 1991, "nor did it interfere with the illegal coup of 2014, only taking the critically self-protective step of reclaiming its naval base in Sevastopol and liberating Khrushchev's gift to Ukraine, Russian Crimea."

From that perspective, she concludes:

"The Nuremberg-formulated crime, the crime of conspiracy to commit a war of aggression, however, has to be laid at the feet of NATO and the U.S.... In the present case, the often-repeated claim that Russia's aggression was unprovoked, is preposterous. The U.S. assertions of its rights to dominance are substantiated by an ample supply of statements....

"The oppressive presence of this bustling and officious dominance, deliberately provocative, around the world, and embodied in the menacing line of military bases and missiles along Russia's border, is a conspiracy, a threat, to commit the crime of aggressive war."

Let it not be forgotten, she notes, that the Nuremberg judgments also identified "the Nazi blows at liberty," the crushing of freedom of speech, as key to the ability to carry out the war of aggression. So, too, today, "many active and respected commentators, experts and former members of the

military have had their access to media outlets terminated, contracts broken, positions lost, because they have not jumped on the bandwagon of war....”

Mexico’s López Obrador Excoriates U.S. Exclusion of Venezuela, Cuba and Nicaragua from Summit of the Americas

June 6 (EIRNS)—The Summit of the Americas began today in Los Angeles with the unsurprising announcement by a senior administration official that, because of their “lack of democratic space and the human rights situations,” the U.S. had decided not to invite Cuba, Venezuela or Nicaragua. The Biden administration waited until the last possible moment to make this announcement, insisting until this morning that the matter was still under discussion, and that consultations were still being made with many governments. Should Venezuela’s phony “president” Juan Guaidó participate, it would likely take place virtually and as a low-key side event. Anything more prominent would enrage many participants.

In response, Mexican President Andres Manuel López Obrador (AMLO) announced at his morning press conference that he won’t be attending and, instead, will send his Foreign Secretary Marcelo Ebrard to represent him. “The summit may be a failure,” he warned, but that’s the fault of U.S., due to its “policy of exclusion instead of openness.... I’m not going to the summit because all the countries of the Americas aren’t invited. That would be a continuation of the old interventionist policy of lack of respect for nations and their people.” For good measure, he also attacked the 60-year-old blockade of Cuba, which he called a flagrant violation of human rights and, because it prevents food and medicine from reaching Cuba, a “form of genocide.”

The Mexican President made a point that he doesn’t hold Joe Biden responsible for the decision to exclude the three countries, instead blaming the Republican Party and the Cuban exile community in Florida for “pressuring” the President and trying to sabotage the summit. Describing Biden as a “good man,” he expressed his regret at not being able to meet

with him in Los Angeles, but said he looked forward to their scheduled meeting in July in Washington.

Argentine President Alberto Fernández will attend the heads of state/government meeting with Biden on the June 9-10 and says he will be there as the “voice of CELAC,” the Community of Latin American and Caribbean States, which includes all of Ibero-America and the Caribbean except Brazil; Argentina is President Pro Tempore. Fernández had called on the U.S. to invite all the nations of the Americas.

Those who have chosen not to attend include Bolivian President Luis Arce, Guatemalan President Alejandro Giammattei (protesting the fact that the U.S. has placed his Attorney General on the “Engel” sanctions list for “corruption”) and Honduran President Xiomara Castro, who announced on June 4 that she will send her Foreign Minister Eduardo Reina to represent her instead. Although El Salvador’s President Nayib Bukele was invited, he hasn’t said whether he will attend. Of the 15 nations of the Caribbean Community (Caricom), at least seven have said they will attend. The prime ministers of Grenada, Antigua and Barbuda and Saint Vincent and the Grenadines will not.