

EIR Daily Alert Service

P.O. BOX 17390, WASHINGTON, DC 20041-0390

- Nuclear War Is Not an Option—Mobilize for the May 8 Schiller Institute Conference
- *New York Times* Opinion Writer: Biden's 'Reckless' Taiwan Policy Threatens 'Catastrophic War'
- Caitlin Johnstone Takes on the Media Warmongers
- China and the United States Both Need More People!
- India, the EU, and Big Pharma Respond to U.S. Call for Relaxing Patent Rights on Vaccines
- Royal Navy Chief Talking Up 'Global Britain' in Washington
- Scott Ritter Dubs Defender-Europe Exercise 'Just Another Demonstration of Military Impotence'
- Russia Convenes UN Security Council To Discuss Ukraine's Nazi Problem
- Russia Vows To Infuriate West by Telling Truth About Ukraine
- Ukrainian Foreign Minister Misses Nuland's Cookies
- Last Section of Nord Stream 2 Construction Gets OK
- Hearing on 'Equity Finance' May 6; SEC Discovers Derivatives Plague Which Is Also 'Green'
- Azerbaijan President Destroys BBC 'Free Press' Hypocrisy by Asking About Assange

EDITORIAL

Nuclear War Is Not an Option—Mobilize for the May 8 Schiller Institute Conference

May 6 (EIRNS)—In organizing for the Schiller Institute Conference “The Moral Collapse of the Trans-Atlantic World Cries Out for a New Paradigm,” this Saturday, May 8, organizers from the LaRouche movement internationally have increasingly found that the veil of obscurity imposed on the populations of the trans-Atlantic nations, by governments and by the whorish media, is beginning to shred. The insidious evil of the Malthusian Green New Deal is sinking in—in part due to the mass circulation of the pamphlet *The Great Leap Backward—LaRouche Crushes the Green New Deal Fraud*. The rush for military confrontation with Russia and China—for even less reason than the illegal and immoral wars which destroyed Iraq, Libya, and Syria—are based on similar lies, and those lies are increasingly evident to serious people. Even the war-mongering *New York Times* ran an opinion piece calling the Biden Administration’s provocations of China over Taiwan “reckless,” risking a “catastrophic war.” And most important, the writer asks the war hawks how many American lives they are willing to risk, noting that senior American statesmen (naming Stapleton Roy and Chas Freeman) have now warned that a war with China could be nuclear.

Nor is the media getting a free ride. Caitlin Johnston, who last month issued an article titled “The rising threat of nuclear war is the most urgent matter in the world,” on Wednesday reported on the disgusting interview of Secretary of State Tony Blinken by Norah O’Donnell on CBS’s 60 Minutes, on May 2, in which it was impossible to tell whether the interviewer or the interviewee was the more disgusting. Johnstone indicts O’Donnell in her own words, simply by listing the questions, which included: “Have you ever seen China be so assertive or aggressive militarily?” And, “Describe what you see is happening in Xinjiang that maybe the rest of the world doesn’t.” And, “The Chinese have stolen hundreds of billions, if not trillions, of dollars of trade secrets and

intellectual property from the United States. That sounds like the actions of an enemy.” Consider the hypocrisy when the Chinese and Russian press are accused of being subservient to their governments.

But the war danger is palpable. Blinken is in Ukraine, discussing the process of bringing Ukraine into NATO, placing the war machine directly on Russia’s border. Russia called a meeting of the UN Security Council May 5 to present evidence and witnesses of the atrocities by murderous Nazi gangs in Ukraine since the 2014 Maidan color revolution. First Deputy Permanent Representative Dmitry Polyanskiy called them “hideous events that cannot leave any normal person indifferent.” The response of the U.S. and British was a joint statement: “We regret this deliberate attempt to divert the attention of the international community from Russia’s ongoing destabilizing activities against Ukraine over the last seven years.”

The push for military confrontation with nuclear-armed powers, together with the Green New Deal, represent the concerted effort to restore the British Empire to its previous bloody glory, now with the U.S. as the “dumb giant” providing the brawn for the British warped brain. Malthusian depopulation is still the stated aim of the British Royal Family and its minions, whether by war, by pestilence, by famine, or by forced economic destruction through colonial looting or its modern form, Green New Deal.

The crisis is so enormous that people are beginning to shed their delusions that somehow “they,” the powers that be, will not let such a disaster happen. Creating the required density of citizens, internationally, who break those chains of delusion, and activate their creative powers on behalf of humanity and our posterity, requires the activation of the ideas generated by Lyndon LaRouche over these past fifty years. Bring everyone you can to the Schiller Conference on Saturday, where these ideas will be deliberated by leaders from around the world.

- Watch [Harley’s Updates](#) with Harley Schlanger on Friday, May 7, 2021: Every weekday morning on [The LaRouche Organization](#) website,

Harley will give you the strategic briefing on what you need to know to start your day.

UNITED STATES POLITICAL AND ECONOMIC

***New York Times* Opinion Writer: Biden's 'Reckless' Taiwan Policy Threatens 'Catastrophic War'**

May 6 (EIRNS)—Under the title “[Biden's Taiwan Policy Is Truly, Deeply Reckless](#),” *New York Times* Opinion writer Peter Beinart warned on May 5 that the administration's policy is bringing us very close to war, and quotes experts that the war would become nuclear. He also quotes military experts that the U.S. could not win such a war—simply driving home that such a war would likely become nuclear by a desperate and crazed U.S. leadership.

Beinart writes: “Like the Trump administration before it, the Biden team is now progressively chipping away” at the One China policy, which has prevented war over these past decades.

He explains: “Last summer, Democrats removed the phrase ‘one China’ from their platform. In January, Mr. Biden became the first American President since 1978 to host Taiwan's envoy at his inauguration. In April, his administration announced it was easing decades-old limitations on official U.S. contacts with the Taiwanese government. These policies are increasing the odds of a catastrophic war. The more the United States and Taiwan formally close the door on reunification, the more likely Beijing is to seek reunification by force.”

Beinart quotes political scientist Graham Allison: “No Chinese national security official I have ever met, and no U.S. official who has examined the situation, doubts that China would choose war over losing territory it considers vital to its national interest.” And also CNN's Fareed Zakaria that “The Pentagon has reportedly enacted 18 war games against China over Taiwan, and China has prevailed in every one,” before going on to reason that: “Within 500 miles of the island, mainland China boasts 39 air bases. The United States possesses two. To come to Taiwan's aid, U.S. forces

would need to cover huge distances, and China has built an arsenal of advanced anti-ship missiles, sometimes called ‘carrier-killers,’ which are designed to make such a rescue mission hideously costly.”

In other words, the U.S. cannot win such a conventional war. Beinart cites “Some of America’s most experienced China experts—including former ambassador to Beijing J. Stapleton Roy and Chas Freeman, who served as Richard Nixon’s interpreter on his 1972 trip to China—believe such a conflict would risk nuclear war.”

And that, according to the Chicago Council on Global Affairs, 85% of Republican leaders support defending Taiwan militarily, but “only 43% of Republicans among the public agree.”

Beinart concludes: “What’s crucial is that the Taiwanese people preserve their individual freedom and the planet does not endure a third world war. The best way for the United States to pursue those goals is by maintaining America’s military support for Taiwan while also maintaining the ‘one China’ framework that for more than four decades has helped keep the peace in one of the most dangerous places on Earth. Hawks will call this appeasement. So be it. Ask them how many American lives they’re willing to risk so the United States can have official diplomatic relations with Taiwan.”

Caitlin Johnstone Takes on the Media Warmongers

May 6 (EIRNS)—Caitlin Johnstone posted a column on *Caitlin’s Newsletter*, “[The Rising Threat of Nuclear War Is the Most Urgent Matter in the World](#),” responding to the Strategic Command’s Adm. Charles Richard’s April 20 outrageous statement that “The spectrum of conflict today is neither linear nor predictable. We must account for the possibility of conflict leading to conditions which could very rapidly drive an adversary to consider nuclear use as their least bad option.” Yesterday, she contributed an op-ed for RT, titled, “[We’re Told U.S.’ Only Option Is To Escalate Aggression Against Russia & China. It’s a LIE, Détente IS Possible](#),” in which Johnstone powerfully demonstrates the thorough subservience of the media to the war party.

Johnstone looks at the CBS News interview of Secretary of State Tony Blinken on “60 Minutes.” Blinken, who is doing his best to live up to the Satanic standards of Mike Pompeo, was interviewed by Norah O’Donnell, who Johnstone demonstrates, was a “perfect illustration of the fact that modern mainstream reporters are only allowed to ask confrontational foreign policy questions of U.S. officials when demanding to know why they aren’t being more hawkish and aggressive.”

Blinken had offered his normal lie that the Biden policy was not to stop China’s rise or to put China down, but only to defend the (undefined) “rules-based order.” Johnstone makes her case, quoting O’Donnell who demanded the following questions of Blinken:

“I know you say the goal is not to contain China, but have you ever seen China be so assertive or aggressive militarily?”

“Do you think we’re heading toward some sort of military confrontation with China?”

“Let’s talk about human rights. Describe what you see is happening in Xinjiang that maybe the rest of the world doesn’t.”

“If Xinjiang isn’t a red line with China, then what is?”

“The Chinese have stolen hundreds of billions, if not trillions, of dollars of trade secrets and intellectual property from the United States. That sounds like the actions of an enemy.”

“And so did President Biden tell President Xi to cut it out?”

“China thinks long term, strategically, decades in advance. Is America just caught up on the latest fires here and there, and we’re not thinking long term, strategically, and as a result, China will surpass us?”

“What is the administration going to do about Hong Kong?”

“Then why not boycott the 2022 Olympics in Beijing?”

Johnstone maintains that “The U.S. is whipping up fear of China because Washington simply cannot contemplate a world it does not dominate. We are meant to take it as a given that the only option available

is to continue increasing aggressions with these two nuclear powers. This is a lie, and it is insane.”

She concludes: “I am often accused of having sinister loyalties to the Kremlin or to the Communist Party of China.... The reality, though, is that I simply do not consent to having my life, the life of everyone I love, and the life of everyone I share this planet with, gambled on some idiotic American supremacist value that serves nobody but the powerful. There is no good reason we can’t lay down our arms and collaborate with other nations in friendship, and anyone who says otherwise is lying.”

China and the United States Both Need More People!

May 6 (EIRNS)—Despite vastly different population densities, both China and the United States are suffering imminent negative population growth, although for very different reasons.

As we reported in this space yesterday, the number of births in the United States fell by 4% in 2020 to 3.61 million, the lowest number since 1979 and continuing a seven-year fall, according to CDC’s [National Center for Health Statistics](#) report for May 2021. This will be above the number of deaths but by only 200,000 or less. Fertility rates fell for women in every age group from 15 to 54 years. The drop in births ranged from 3% for Hispanic women to 8% for non-Hispanic Asian women.

There is one survey by Ovia Health, a women’s health technology firm, which estimates that anxiety about the lack of employment and lack of economic wherewithal to raise children, and fears of mother and/or baby contracting COVID during pregnancy or childbirth in a hospital, were major factors in the birth decline—but again, it has been underway nearly a decade, and merely accelerated in 2020. Clearly the economic conditions for family formation were already collapsing before the pandemic.

There are some reports that China’s population actually declined in 2020, although they come from sources of very hostile publications such as London’s *Financial Times*. But already in 2019 the number of births fell by 580,000 to 14.65 million—still three and one-half times the number in the

United States. China's census data completed in December 2020 have not yet been released, so the idea of an absolute population decline can't be confirmed.

The problem in China, despite strong physical-economic growth and—until 2020—plentiful jobs growth, is the long-term effect of the one-child, then two-child limit on children per couple over a period of decades, clearly being lifted now as child-bearing is being encouraged and incentives created. Because of the previous limits, the number of women of child-bearing age is artificially low.

Both nations' labor forces are growing at a slower and slower rate, with China's being near zero growth and the United States at about 0.5% per year, according to the United Nations. A separate report by People's Bank of China estimated China's GDP growth could drop to 5.1% per year by 2025 from this cause.

COVID-19 AND FAMINE

India, the EU, and Big Pharma Respond to U.S. Call for Relaxing Patent Rights on Vaccines

May 6 (EIRNS)—Last October, [South Africa and India](#) called upon the World Trade Organization (WTO), in light of the pandemic, to loosen patent rights on Covid vaccines. Now India has welcomed the U.S. decision this week to support their call, after several weeks of meetings among diplomats from India and South Africa with U.S. lawmakers and officials, reported Press Trust of India. India's Ambassador to the U.S. Taranjit Singh Sandhu told PTI, yesterday, "We appreciate the U.S. administration's announcement today of its support for waiver of IPR [intellectual property rights] for COVID-19 vaccines." The European Union announced that they would support the U.S.'s move.

The [International Federation of Pharmaceutical Manufacturers & Associations](#), representing AstraZeneca, Bayer, Eli Lilly, Roche, GlaxoSmithKline, Johnson & Johnson, Merck, and Pfizer, objected to the U.S. change of heart, claiming that patent waivers "will not increase

production nor provide practical solutions needed to battle this global health crisis. On the contrary, it is likely to lead to disruption.” While they claim that the better path is to eliminate trade barriers, address supply-chain bottlenecks, and get “rich countries to start sharing doses with poor countries,” South Africa’s WTO claim cites specific cases in which Big Pharma, over the years, has halted production of vaccines in India, South Korea, and elsewhere, over claims of patent infringement.

The reality is that the world needs a lot more production capacity of medicines and vaccines, and property rights of private companies are not well equipped to deal with a pandemic. Governments can wage a war against the novel coronavirus, and still make sure that private companies do not suffer in the wake of the mobilization. Russia’s Sputnik V model emphasizes the building up of vaccine production in other countries, with the necessary technology transfer. Some version of this is more likely now at the upcoming WTO negotiations.

STRATEGIC WAR DANGER

Royal Navy Chief Talking Up ‘Global Britain’ in Washington

May 6 (EIRNS)—Britain’s First Sea Lord Adm. Tony Radakin was in Washington, yesterday, where he was talking up “Global Britain” and aiming it at China. “I think we’ve been very clear in our integrated review that through a security lens, we see Russia as being a distinct threat and we see China as being a challenge and a competitor,” Radakin told reporters today at the U.S. Navy Museum, reported U.S. Naval Institute News. “And I think when we talk about a tilt to the Indo-Pacific, it’s about recognizing the economic weight of the Indo-Pacific. By 2040 to 2050, 40% of the world’s GDP is going to be harbored in that region. So we’re an outward-facing maritime trading nation that has interests all around the globe. And therefore we’re reaching out and following those interests. And that to me—it’s incredibly normal. It’s part of our nation’s history and trading traditions. And that’s what’s happening with the Indo-Pacific.” (Obviously

referring to the British East India Company's the 19th-century opium wars.)

Radakin hastened to add that focussing on the Indo-Pacific doesn't mean abandoning the Atlantic. "Absolutely there will be an increased naval presence in the Indo-Pacific, but we will continue to be strong in the Euro-Atlantic. We'll continue with our responsibilities in the Falklands [sic—Malvinas], in the Mediterranean, in the Caribbean, and in the Gulf. But as our integrated review said, the U.K. is tilting further to the Indo-Pacific," he said.

Scott Ritter Dubs Defender-Europe Exercise 'Just Another Demonstration of Military Impotence'

May 6 (EIRNS)—Former Marine and former UN weapons inspector Scott Ritter, in an op-ed—"[NATO's Latest Large-Scale European Exercise Will Only Reveal That It Is Not Up To the Task of Defending Itself](#)"—published in RT yesterday, argues that while the "message" of U.S. Army-led Defender Europe 21 exercise is "deterrence," in reality, "it is just another demonstration of military impotence."

"While one should not predict failure before it occurs, the reality is Steadfast Defender," a training event focused on trans-Atlantic reinforcement of NATO that is a component of Defender Europe, "will be fighting an uphill battle just to get forces to the designated training areas and sustain the planned operations," Ritter writes. The exercise is designed to operate on a "crawl-walk-run basis, where the participants learn their respective roles, and then gradually perfect them over time," but because of the "neophyte nature" of the NATO members involved in the western Balkans, "the participants will be lucky to get out of the 'crawl' phase unscathed."

"If Steadfast Defender were an actual deployment of NATO rapid-response forces in time of crisis, the result would be the total loss of combat effectiveness before there was any engagement with the enemy—the simple act of trying to project military force into the western Balkans under duress would overwhelm NATO," Ritter maintains.

He goes on that while “the exercises are being marketed as a visible display of NATO’s conventional deterrent, a signal to Russia that any aggression can and will be met with combat forces capable of defending NATO soil and interests,” NATO’s plan to deploy 28,000 troops pales in comparison to the 100,000 troops that Russia is said to have deployed into Crimea and Southern Russia near Ukraine’s border. “NATO simply does not have a viable response to such a capability,” Ritter concludes. “Moreover, exercises such as European Defender 21, far from providing a statement of deterrence designed to intimidate Russia, are but a reminder of NATO’s impotence in the face of Russia’s own impressive military capability. The bottom line is, if push came to shove, NATO is incapable of defending NATO.”

Ritter could have added that since Russia has no intention of attacking NATO, NATO will be the aggressor in any war between the two, which will likely start as the outcome of an unplanned “incident” or deliberate provocation initiated from the NATO side, and since Russia has demonstrated the capability to move large numbers of troops across its own territory, NATO is likely to get into trouble early, should such a conflict erupt, increasing the risk that the alliance could resort to the use of nuclear weapons.

Russia Convenes UN Security Council To Discuss Ukraine’s Nazi Problem

May 6 (EIRNS)—In New York yesterday, the Russian Federation Permanent Representative to the United Nations [Vassily Nebenzia](#) chaired a meeting of the UN Security Council convened under the “Arria Formula”—an informal meeting which must be convened by a member of the UNSC—to discuss Ukraine’s neo-Nazi problem. On this occasion, Nebenzia said the 2014 “Maidan coup triumphed after a very questionable bloodbath bringing to power a lot of extremists, criminals and revisionists, who from the very outset formulated their political agenda in a way that immediately put Russian-speakers to the fringes of the society demanding

from them to renounce their identity and historical mindset or to face prosecution, violence or even death.”

Nebenzia called particular attention to the May 2, 2014 incident in which neo-Nazi gangs set fire to the Trade Union Building in Odessa, killing at least 48 of the people trapped inside. He described that “For the residents of Odessa it was never a secret that the attackers were armed members and supporters of the ... ‘Maidan Self-Defense’ and ‘Pravyi Sektor’ [Right Sector] extremist groups. Nevertheless, for seven years the call of the UN Secretary-General for conclusive investigation remains unheard by Kiev and the perpetrators are yet to be brought to justice.”

Nebenzia brought a number of witnesses to testify to the horrors of life under neo-Nazi dominance in Ukraine, among them a former police official from Odessa, two witnesses to that and other brutalities by neo-Nazis in Ukraine, a victim of the near daily shelling of residential areas on the Donbas, and a political activist forced to leave Ukraine by death threats to himself and his family. Nebenzia said it was necessary to bring forward this testimony, “because without knowledge of what this country is living through after the Maidan coup you will never be able to understand what really happened and why this crisis in Ukraine is far from over unless the West adopts a different approach towards Ukrainian nationalists and far right, the same approach, by the way, that it displays towards radicals and extremists in Europe and the U.S.”

The eyewitness testimony, unfortunately, had little effect on the British Empire’s geopolitical intentions. Belgium, Estonia, France, Germany, Ireland, and Norway joined Britain and the United States in a joint statement: “We regret this deliberate attempt to divert the attention of the international community from Russia’s ongoing destabilizing activities against Ukraine over the last seven years. We remain saddened by the tragic loss of lives in Odessa on the 2nd of May 2014. We urge Russia to stop politicizing human tragedies.” But the statement did not demand that Kiev launch an investigation into that “tragic loss of life” in Odessa, or even mention the actions of neo-Nazi, treating the “human tragedy” of

Odessa as if it were an accident by someone smoking in bed. Everything is either Russian propaganda or Russia's fault, as Nebenzia predicted at the outset would be the case.

“We urge Russia to immediately cease all of its aggressive and destabilizing activities and to de-escalate by fully reversing its troop buildup in temporarily occupied Crimea and along Ukraine's borders without delay and to end its temporary occupation of the territory of Ukraine without delay,” the Anglo-American statement went on.

Russia Vows To Infuriate West by Telling Truth About Ukraine

May 6 (EIRNS)—Russian First Deputy Permanent Representative to the United Nations Dmitry Polyanskiy vowed yesterday that Russia will continue to infuriate the West by telling the truth about the developments in Ukraine at the United Nations. In a Telegram social media posting after Russia convened a UN Security Council meeting on the neo-Nazi problem in Ukraine, Polyanskiy described that the Western members “did not even bother to try to establish dialogue and ask those who know better than others about the details of what is going on in Ukraine.” Instead, “In response to sincere, fact-based stories about those hideous events, that cannot leave any normal person indifferent, in response to personal recollections of what happened then, we heard the same old Western mantra about our destructive actions against Ukraine,” reported TASS.

“But the truth about the tragedy in Odessa, about what happened and what is happening in southeastern Ukraine is gradually reaching the United Nations,” he stated. “It is becoming part of the public domain, is reaching out to those who want to understand what is going on. And it annoys our Western colleagues, it was clear from their remarks. Well, we will continue to annoy them. We have no other way out. People say, constant dripping wears away a stone.”

This constant dripping of the truth was the same method by which Russia exposed the so-called Syria Civil Defense, better known as the White Helmets, as a group founded by British and U.S. intelligence agencies, which collaborates with al-Qaeda terrorist groups in Syria.

Ukrainian Foreign Minister Misses Nuland's Cookies

May 6 (EIRNS)—Upon greeting U.S. Secretary of State Antony Blinken and Undersecretary of State for Political Affairs Victoria Nuland in Kiev this morning, Ukrainian Foreign Minister Dmytro Kuleba congratulated Nuland on her appointment. “I’ve been through many things on Maidan, but the only big moment I missed was your cookies,” he told her. Then Assistant Secretary of State Nuland’s handing out cookies to neo-Nazi “protesters” on the Maidan has become a defining image of the Obama-Biden administration direction of the coup in 2014. along with the revelation that she insisted Arseniy Yatsenyuk be made Prime Minister.

SCIENCE AND INFRASTRUCTURE

Last Section of Nord Stream 2 Construction Gets OK

May 6 (EIRNS)—The German Federal Maritime and Hydrographic Agency (BSH) has stated that the pipe laying under the Baltic of Nord Stream 2, the pipeline bringing Russian natural gas to Europe via Germany, may be able to resume at the end of May. The pipeline has been some 95% completed so far. The German environmentalist group NABU had filed a lawsuit to prevent the last 16.5 km of pipe laying, ostensibly to “protect” nature and the bird species living there. “From the end of May, the construction of Nord Stream 2 will be allowed to continue in accordance with the current regulation. In March 2018, Nord Stream 2 received a permanent permit to lay the pipeline from the end of May through the end of September,” the BSH press service said, reported Sputnik.

COLLAPSING WESTERN FINANCIAL SYSTEM

Hearing on ‘Equity Finance’ May 6; SEC Discovers Derivatives Plague Which Is Also ‘Green’

May 6 (EIRNS)—The new Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) Commissioner Gary Gensler will testify May 6 before the House Financial Services Committee (a committee notoriously targetted by aggressive corruption efforts from Wall Street) about what is being called “equity

finance,” the use of derivatives on short-term stock moves. This was the practice, using huge amounts of leverage provided by the biggest European, Wall Street, and Japanese banks, which brought on the implosion and liquidation of the Archegos hedge fund in April, with bank losses in its train which may be in the vicinity of \$100 billion.

Gensler is reportedly going to promise the House that the SEC will introduce new measures to require large investors like such hedge funds to disclose their short positions and their use of such derivatives to the SEC.

One type of derivative Archegos used, called contracts for differences (CFD), is also used by banks, pension funds, and large investors under European Union schemes to force introduction of “renewable” (interruptible) energy sources, electric vehicles, “carbon farming,” etc.

CFDs in the Archegos case allowed it to place very large, entirely leveraged bets on the difference between opening and closing prices of stocks on given days, without any form of ownership of the stocks. But over a much longer period, say 10 years, contracts for differences are part of “carbon-offset market” schemes. They allow a large investor in, for example, an offshore wind farm, to buy derivatives contracts insuring the difference between the value of that investment today, and its projected or modeled value a decade from now—say, because of increased prices of electricity in the meantime. The purpose of these derivatives is to allow such “green finance” investments to be given a higher value than they actually have—just as is the case with “equity finance” on Wall Street.

Gensler’s promises to Congress could be trouble for Wall Street’s big banks.

THE NEW GLOBAL ECONOMIC ORDER

Azerbaijan President Destroys BBC ‘Free Press’ Hypocrisy by Asking About Assange

May 6 (EIRNS)—Back on Nov. 9, 2020, during the conflict between Azerbaijan and Armenia, the BBC sat down with Azeri President Ilham

Aliyev. Things went smoothly until the BBC journalist asked if Armenians living in Azerbaijan would have “the full range of human rights which people here in Azerbaijan do not have? Will they have a fully free media? Will they have an opposition that’s allowed to raise its voice? Will they be allowed to have the things that people here in Azerbaijan do not have?”

Aliyev struck back, wrote [Trend](#) news agency. First he simply asserted that Azeris have free speech, free media, and free political activity. “How can you say that we don’t have free media? This is a biased approach, an attempt to create a perception in the Western audiences about our country.” Then Aliyev went on the offensive: “But if you ask this question, can I ask you one? How can you explain the treatment of Mr. Assange?” Julian Assange has been held now for two years in HM Prison Belmarsh without being charged.

BBC: But we’re not here to discuss that.

Aliyev: Excuse me—saying that the Azeris don’t have free press, then let’s talk about Assange. How many years? And for what? For journalistic activity. You can’t keep that person hostage, actually killing him, morally and physically. You did it, not us. And now he is in prison. You have no moral right to talk about free media when you do these things.

BBC: Returning to the conflict...

Aliyev: Yes, returning to the conflict. Because this is not what you like. You like only to accuse and attack. But look in the mirror! Before coming and lecturing us, in your question, accusing me. It’s not a question, it’s an accusation. You talk like a prosecutor. Why? If you are so democratic and objective, why do you keep Assange in prison? For what? For journalistic activity.

BBC: I’m not keeping him in prison, President Aliyev.

Aliyev: You don’t like this, you are used to only attacking....