

EIR Daily Alert Service

P.O. BOX 17390, WASHINGTON, DC 20041-0390

- Building a Road Out of the Desert
- ‘NATO Remains an Imperial Anachronism’ Writes Schiller Institute’s Stephan Ossenkopp in *China Daily*
- U.S. General Says Washington Is Waging Offensive Cyber Operations Against Moscow
- Russian General Questions If U.S. Rocket Launchers Are Really a ‘Game Changer’ in Ukraine
- U.S. Terrorists Getting Training in Ukraine
- Orbán Torpedoes Sixth EU Sanctions Set
- *New York Times* Opinion Writer Backs French Warning That West Is ‘Sleepwalking’ into War against Russia
- Jamie Dimon Tells Fellow-Banksters, ‘A Hurricane Is Right Out There Coming Our Way’
- GOP Senators Combine Hatred of China and Iran into a Single Bill
- FBI General Counsel May Have Sabotaged Durham’s Case Against Sussmann
- Blinken Sticks Nose Up Queen’s Ass
- Summit of the Americas Agenda: Stick to ‘Rules-Based Order’ Amid Global Financial Breakdown

EDITORIAL

Building a Road Out of the Desert

June 2 (EIRNS)—The most recent manifestation of empire has taken the form, since World War II, of an Anglo-American “special relationship” dedicated, especially since the fall of the Soviet Union, to ruling a unipolar world under its financial, cultural, and military control.

That intention has brought the world today to the brink of World War III, a nuclear Armageddon whose most obvious trigger—although not the only possible one—is found in Ukraine, which is being flooded with increasingly sophisticated (although not always very successful) weapons.

Two of the latest escalations toward a war from which civilization might never recover, are the provision to Ukraine of missile systems better able to strike inside Russian territory, and the admission by [Gen. Paul Nakasone](#)—the Commander of the U.S. Cyber Command and Director, National Security Agency/Chief, Central Security Service—that “We’ve conducted a series of operations [against Russia] across the full spectrum: offensive, defensive, information operations.”

How many U.S. publications and politicians declared that Russia had essentially engaged in acts of war, as they spread hoax stories that internet meddling by Putin led to Donald Trump’s 2016 electoral victory over Hillary Clinton? “Russia’s Cyberattacks Aren’t Meddling—They’re Acts of War” blared a Daily Beast headline from 2018. “When you attack a country, it’s an act of war,” Sen. John McCain said in 2016 on Ukrainian TV, according to CNN. “So we have to make sure that there is a price to pay so that we can perhaps persuade Russians to stop this kind of attack on our very fundamentals of democracy.”

If the Russian hack of the Democratic National Committee (DNC)—a hack that never happened—is “an act of war,” what should Russia make of “offensive” cyber-operations made against it in the current situation?

What will occur if the new missile systems Ukraine is receiving—currently few in number, but not likely to be the last that are sent—are used to launch strikes in Russian territory? There will be “absolutely undesirable and rather unpleasant scenarios,” remarked Kremlin spokesman Dmitry Peskov.

With the growing danger have come increasing calls for sanity.

“Technically we are at war,” said Italian Gen. Fabio Mini, former chief of staff of NATO Southern Europe Command, who opposes the NATO-EU policy of arming Ukraine. “We should have dismantled NATO at the end of the Cold War.” If anyone is being provocative, he says, it is NATO, and in particular the U.K., which is pushing for a more expansive international role. Former French Secretary of State for Foreign Trade (2011-2012) [Pierre Lellouche](#) wrote an article in *Marianne* on May 28 warning against NATO directing European policy, including warmongering against Russia. Former Greek Prime Minister Kostas Karamanlis gave a shocking speech yesterday, stating that “the U.S. has no motive for peace in Europe” and that the new Cold War “will not be a revival of the old. It will be much worse and much more unpredictable.”

Mankind’s survival indeed depends on the dismantling of NATO and the establishment of a global security architecture that meets the security and development interests of all countries of the world. And this can only occur through addressing the underlying cause of the mounting conflict: the collapse of the trans-Atlantic financial system, a collapse that Lyndon LaRouche forecast decades ago, based on his understanding of physical economics.

In the present situation, when hyperinflation drives people to think more deeply about economic policy, when the lies of the media become yet more apparent, there is a receptiveness to new and profound ideas.

The most powerful upcoming forum for those ideas is the June 18-19 conference hosted by the Schiller Institute, “[There Can Be No Peace Without the Bankruptcy Reorganization of the Dying Trans-Atlantic Financial System](#)”: Register for it, attend it, organize for it.

- Watch [Harley's Updates](#) with Harley Schlanger on Friday June 3, 2022: Every weekday morning on [The LaRouche Organization](#), Schlanger will give you the strategic briefing you need to start your day.

STRATEGIC WAR DANGER

'NATO Remains an Imperial Anachronism' Writes Schiller Institute's Stephan Ossenkopp in *China Daily*

June 2 (EIRNS)—*China Daily* published an op-ed by Schiller Institute analyst Stephan Ossenkopp today. His column, "[NATO Remains an Imperial Anachronism](#)," points to the applications of Sweden and Finland to join NATO as being "yet another staged provocation against Russia." Ossenkopp quotes U.S. President Joe Biden as saying that the renewed NATO would have the clarity and conviction to lead the world. "But where is NATO supposed to lead the world?"

Ostensibly a defensive alliance, NATO was created six years before the Warsaw Pact, but was supposed to defend against it. It was created a few years after Winston Churchill's March 5, 1946 "Iron Curtain" speech in Fulton, Missouri, where the British leader called for militarizing the United Nations! "The United Nations Organization must immediately begin to be equipped with an international armed force," to be placed under the control of a "world organization," doubtless to be dominated by "English-speaking Commonwealths ... added to that of the United States." "Neither the sure prevention of war, nor the continuous rise of world organization will be gained without what I have called the fraternal association of the English-speaking peoples. This means a special relationship between the British Commonwealth and Empire and the United States."

The UN was not militarized as Churchill wished, but NATO was created in 1949. With the accession of West Germany in 1955, the Soviet Union formed the Warsaw Pact.

“The subsequent NATO expansion in six waves, if you count the bids of Finland and Sweden, and the aggressive and reckless pursuit of NATO’s ultimate purpose, Anglo-American dominance over the world, have brought us to the brink of World War III today,” writes Ossenkopp. “Ukraine’s aspired NATO membership, sought since 2008, and the long-running arming of radical anti-Russian Ukrainian militias is just one of the many red lines NATO has crossed....

“NATO ... is an imperial anachronism whose ‘divide and rule’ methods pose life-threatening dangers to humankind. A complete annulment of NATO and the establishment of a global security architecture, that meets the security and development interests of all countries of the world, has become a matter of humankind’s survival,” he concludes.

U.S. General Says Washington Is Waging Offensive Cyber Operations Against Moscow

June 2 (EIRNS)—The U.S. is directly involved in the cyber domain in the war against Russia. Gen. Paul Nakasone, Commander of U.S. Cyber Command and Director, National Security Agency/Chief, Central Security Service, admitted as much in an exclusive interview with [Sky News](#), the agency revealed on June 1. Nakasone confirmed for the first time that the U.S. was conducting offensive hacking operations in support of Ukraine in response to the Russian military operation. He told Sky News: “We’ve conducted a series of operations across the full spectrum; offensive, defensive, [and] information operations.” Nakasone did not detail the activities, but explained how they were (allegedly) lawful, conducted with complete civilian oversight of the military and through policy decided at the Department of Defense.

Nakasone was in Tallin, Estonia, where he delivered a keynote speech at CyCon, an international conference on cyber conflict, hosted by NATO’s Cooperative Cyber Defense Centre of Excellence. Sky News reports it will “will publish a full feature from our exclusive interview in the coming days.”

Russian General Questions If U.S. Rocket Launchers Are Really a ‘Game Changer’ in Ukraine

June 2 (EIRNS)—The transfer of HIMARS rocket launchers to Ukraine is being described as “game changer” in Ukraine’s war against Russia, just as so many of the earlier weapons sent to Kyiv were, but the Ukrainian armed forces already have rocket launchers, of the Soviet-era Grad and Uragan types, and they have been using them heavily. The HIMARS will add greater range and better precision, but it otherwise seems that they are in principle the same capability. Proponents of the HIMARS argue that they’ll outrange Russian artillery, but the Russians have more than one way to strike a target, including air-launched cruise and ground-launched Iskander missiles, both of which can be launched from much greater ranges than the HIMARS can shoot.

The Russians are already warning, in fact, that the Kyiv regime has plans to use the HIMARS in a provocation against Russia. “According to verified information, obtained from intercepted radio communications, the Kyiv regime plans another inhuman provocation in the city of Shostka, Sumy Region,” [Gen. Mikhail Mizintsev](#), the director of the National Defense Control Center, said yesterday, reported TASS. “In the near future, a shipment of U.S.-made long-range rocket systems is expected at Shostka, which Ukrainian forces plan, under orders from the Security Service of Ukraine (SBU), to fire at the territory of the Russian Federation directly from the city’s residential areas. By doing so, Ukrainian neo-Nazis plan to provoke return fire from Russian Armed Forces in order to accuse them of indiscriminate fire at civilian infrastructure and elimination of civilian population.”

U.S. Terrorists Getting Training in Ukraine

June 2 (EIRNS)—It may not be politically correct to do so, but someone in the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) actually posed the question, whether the “racially-motivated white extremists” working with Ukraine’s neo-Nazi militia are learning new methods and techniques to be brought home to the U.S. The Grayzone published a May 31 story by [Alexander](#)

Rubinstein, based upon a DHS document obtained via a FOIA request by “Property of the People.” The DHS categorizes them as “RMVE-WS,” or “racially-motivated violent extremists—white supremacy.” The details sound like a crazy movie script.

First, Rubinstein notes that “Property of the People” shared the DHS document with *Politico*, but the latter downplayed and/or discredited the material, including their preface that “critics say” the DHS material “echoes one of the Kremlin’s top propaganda points.” That is, it might be true, but it is one of those truths that civilized people have learned to ignore.

One learns that there are about 30 Americans attached to a Ukrainian militia group entitled the “Georgian National Legion (GNL), assumedly due to the role of Georgian warlord Mamuka Mamulashvili in heading the unit. They are a not insignificant component in the weapons pipeline into non-standard military units in Ukraine. One figure, Paul Gray, who spent two months with GNL, had served several tours in Iraq with the 101st Airborne Division. He’s been a member of various violent racist groups—the “American Vanguard,” “Atomwaffen Division,” and “Patriot Front.” The last was founded by Thomas Rousseau, after his previous group, “Vanguard,” was involved in violence at Charlottesville, Virginia in 2017. (The car that plowed into the protesters, killing one, was driven by a Vanguard member.) Gray followed Rousseau into first one, then the other of his groups. In early 2021, Gray opened a gym in Kyiv, attracting martial arts enthusiasts, and trained Ukrainian volunteers in U.S. military techniques. Later, Gray and his associate Manus McCaffery “worked together as a team targeting Russian tanks and vehicles with U.S.-made Javelin anti-tank missiles.” Gray appeared on several Fox broadcasts as a nameless patriotic American, volunteering to confront the Russkis, until his identity was made known.

Rubinstein describes how three different members of Atomwaffen—arguably the most overtly Nazi of the groups here—have been involved in various murders. He claims that GNL’s founder, Mamulashvili, was sent to Ukraine reportedly by the perennial Western agent, former Georgian

President Mikheil Saakashvili. Of particular note, his GNL legion executed Russian POWs just five miles from Bucha on March 30. That is, if true, 48 hours before the Bucha bodies are “discovered” to the world, the wild GNL gang was in the area at the time, and possibly responsible for some executions. Recall that Russian POWs had been executed near Kharkiv by an Azov-related group just a couple of days earlier.

Another GNL member is Craig Lang, a veteran of Iraq and Afghanistan. (He is known to have been unstable, having—among other things—attempted to kill his wife, by surrounding her condominium with land mines.) He joined Ukraine’s Right Sector militia in 2015, and reportedly recruited other Westerners. Lang’s Right Sector work included allegedly taking noncombatants as prisoners, then variously beating, kicking, stoning, and/or drowning them. One video shows Lang beating and drowning a girl, as part of his Right Sector activities. Lang has been indicted by the Department of Justice in the murder of a Florida couple, but somehow it has not impeded his work in Ukraine.

Some may dismiss the problem, repeating that “such material echoes one of the Kremlin’s top propaganda points.” In that case, one can only pray that Putin doesn’t endorse clean water and fresh air.

Orbán Torpedoes Sixth EU Sanctions Set

June 2 (EIRNS)—The EU Sixth Sanctions Set, finally approved by the EU heads of state and government on May 31, was torpedoed by Hungary on June 1. Hungarian Prime Minister Viktor Orbán’s representative at the Coreper, the body of permanent EU ambassadors that was supposed to formalize the decisions, vetoed the sanctions against Russian Orthodox Patriarch Kirill of Moscow and All Russia.

According to an account in the Italian daily *La Repubblica*, the Coreper, led by France, Italy, Germany, and Spain, is now thinking through how to approve the package anyway, de facto kicking out Hungary. “That is enough. Either they backtrack or this is the moment to approve sanctions with 26 [votes] and pull Hungary out,” an ambassador is quoted as saying.

Hungary's veto is seen as "a concession to Russia and a blackmail against the EU Commission," which is making "a miserable show." The French EU Presidency will try to repair things as the Coreper was supposed to meet again today, but "everyone suspects that Orbán is provoking a split." Another reading is that Orbán wants to obtain €7 billion in EU Recovery funds which are being blocked by Brussels on such bases as LGBT rights.

"Hungary will not support putting church leaders on a sanctions list. This affects the issue of religious freedom for communities in Hungary and this is sacrosanct," said Orbán.

***New York Times* Opinion Writer Backs French Warning That West Is 'Sleepwalking' into War against Russia**

June 2 (EIRNS)—Christopher Caldwell, an opinion writer for the *New York Times*, reports in "[The War in Ukraine May Be Impossible To Stop. And the U.S. Deserves Much of the Blame](#)," posted on May 31, that Henri Guaino, a top adviser to French President Nicolas Sarkozy, warned on May 12 in *Le Figaro* that Europe's countries, under the shortsighted leadership of the United States, were "[sleepwalking into war with Russia](#)." "Naturally, Mr. Guaino understands that Russia is most directly to blame for the present conflict in Ukraine...." Caldwell writes. "But the United States has helped turn this tragic, local and ambiguous conflict into a potential world conflagration. By misunderstanding the war's logic, Mr. Guaino argues, the West, led by the Biden administration, is giving the conflict a momentum that may be impossible to stop. He is right."

Caldwell has thus joined a growing legion of observers in the West who are having second thoughts about the Anglo-American-led escalation of the war against Russia. "Even if we don't accept Mr. Putin's claim that America's arming of Ukraine is the reason the war happened in the first place, it is certainly the reason the war has taken the kinetic, explosive, deadly form it has," Caldwell argues. "Our role in this is not passive or incidental. We have given Ukrainians cause to believe they can prevail in a war of escalation."

Though one might argue with Caldwell about how to end it, he clearly wants the U.S. to stop escalating, because further escalation will lead to a bigger war. “To make concessions to Russia would be submitting to aggression,” he quotes Guaino as warning. “To make none would be submitting to insanity.”

COLLAPSING WESTERN SYSTEM

Jamie Dimon Tells Fellow-Banksters, ‘A Hurricane Is Right Out There Coming Our Way’

June 2 (EIRNS)—JP Morgan Chase CEO Jamie Dimon said a “hurricane” is going to hit the world economy as a result of rising oil prices and tightening monetary policy. Dimon said he had to correct his previous estimates to the worse: “I said they’re storm clouds, they’re big storm clouds here. It’s a hurricane.” He was speaking at a May 31 Bernstein Strategic Decisions Conference hosted by AllianceBernstein.

Dimon’s warning could be seen as aimed at backing the “no tapering” faction in the Fed, led by Atlanta Federal Reserve Bank President Raphael Bostic, who wants to have a return to QE in September.

“Right now it's kind of sunny, things are doing fine, everyone thinks the Fed can handle this,” Dimon remarked. But “that hurricane is right out there down the road coming our way. We just don't know if it’s a minor one or Superstorm Sandy or—yes, Sandy or Andrew.... And you better brace yourself. So, JPMorgan is bracing ourselves, and we’re going to be very conservative in our balance sheet.”

The Fed already has to embark on quantitative tightening there’s too much liquidity in the system. “We've never had QT like this,” Dimon told his audience. Central banks “do not have a choice, because there’s so much liquidity in the system. They have to remove some of the liquidity to stop the speculation, to reduce home prices and stuff like that. And you’ve never been through QT,” he said.

The Fed suspending purchases of Treasuries means the latter yields will “balloon,” inducing “market volatility.” “That’s a huge change in the flow of funds around the world. I don’t know what the effect of that is. I’m prepared for, you talk about—minimum—huge volatility.”

Dimon neglected to say what effect monetary tightening will have on more than \$200 trillion in derivatives owned by the five largest U.S. banks, led by his JPMorgan Chase.

As this publication has repeatedly, and accurately, said, without bankruptcy reorganization, adjusting interest rates and providing QE does nothing that could possibly bring stability to the financial system: They simply postpone a larger collapse, driven by the disconnect between the financial system and the physical economy.

U.S. POLITICAL AND ECONOMIC

GOP Senators Combine Hatred of China and Iran into a Single Bill

June 2 (EIRNS)—Sen. Marsha Blackburn (R-TN), along with a half-dozen other Republican Senators, has introduced the Iran China Accountability Act to “call attention to Iran’s ties with Communist China.” The bill, also introduced into the House, would set as a precondition for any future Iran nuclear deal, that Iran terminate “all agreements involving the transfer of funds from China” and end security ties with the PLA.

Sen. Marco Rubio (FL) commented: “A renewed nuclear deal with Iran would not only benefit the regime in Tehran, it would similarly reward and enrich the Chinese Communist Party.” Sen. Rick Scott (FL) helpfully added: “We know that Iran and Communist China are two of our greatest adversaries and cannot be trusted.... Communist China will stop at nothing in its quest for world domination.”

FBI General Counsel May Have Sabotaged Durham’s Case Against Sussmann

June 2 (EIRNS)—Michael Sussmann did, indeed, lie to the FBI, in shopping to them a phony “Russia controls Trump” story in September

2016. However, the jury in the case brought by Special Counsel John Durham acquitted Sussmann on May 31—with what looks like a major assist from the FBI itself.

Sussmann had been indicted for lying to the FBI when he maintained that he was bringing the matter to them as a concerned private citizen, and not on behalf of Trump's political opponents. He met with the FBI General Jim Baker on Sept. 16, 2016, soliciting the FBI to investigate Presidential candidate Donald Trump in what Hillary Clinton trumpeted on Nov. 7, 2016, one day before the election, as the “Alfa Bank” scandal proving Russian controls over Trump. Sussmann's problem is that he had texted Baker the day before: “Jim—it's Michael Sussmann. I have something time-sensitive ... I need to discuss. Do you have availability for a short meeting tomorrow? I'm coming on my own—not on behalf of a client or company—want to help the Bureau. Thanks.” And Durham was able to produce more than enough evidence to show that Sussmann billed Clinton's campaign for his work, and that the work product was billed to Clinton's campaign. A slam dunk?

It turns out that Baker did not produce the text message for over six months, too late to be added to the indictment—and he only produced it when Durham probed him on any further evidence he might not yet have produced, that would have to be revealed to the defense. Baker contended that he simply didn't locate the text message over those six months; however, this was a rather high-profile case, and Baker is the general counsel of the FBI!

On May 26, Judge Christopher Cooper made the finding that the jury could not convict on the basis of the text. It could only be used as evidence to support that Baker's memory of the meeting, and his testimony based upon that memory, may probably be correct. However, a conviction could only be based upon what Sussmann actually said at the meeting with Baker.

The Washington jury decided that the government failed to prove its case. Afterwards, [the jury forewoman](#) said, according to National Public Radio, that she thought the government succeeded in proving some things,

and in others, they failed. But it didn't pan out in the government's favor, and that's on them.

A jury could have convicted based upon accounts of oral statements that Sussmann made to Baker or to other FBI officials that day, but without the text that the FBI's General Counsel found belatedly, there was no slam dunk. If NPR characterized the jury forewoman's language correctly, a slam dunk was needed.

Blinken Sticks Nose Up Queen's Ass

June 2 (EIRNS)—“On behalf of the United States of America, I congratulate Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth II and the people of the United Kingdom on the occasion of Her Majesty's Platinum Jubilee and official birthday,” enthused Secretary of State Blinken.

He went on to state, ludicrously, “Her Majesty's unprecedented 70 years of service to the United Kingdom are an inspiration to Americans, who deeply admire the Queen and are grateful for her decades of friendship with the United States.”

Speak for yourself, you Tory!

John Quincy Adams, one of the U.S.'s most accomplished Secretaries of State, would be furious to hear what came next: “Through our special relationship, common history, and shared values, we work together to uphold democracy, human rights, and the rule of law worldwide.”

Summit of the Americas Agenda: Stick to 'Rules-Based Order' Amid Global Financial Breakdown

June 2 (EIRNS)—In a [special briefing for reporters](#) yesterday, U.S. National Security Council Senior Director for the Western Hemisphere Juan Gonzalez and Assistant Secretary of State for the Western Hemisphere Brian Nichols presented the agenda to be discussed at the June 6-10 Summit of the Americas in Los Angeles. Behind the briefers' excessive use of adjectives like “unprecedented” and “exciting,” they revealed an agenda that is nothing more than a laundry list of discrete issues, designed to keep nations locked into the “rules-based international order” with no proposals

for dealing with the global economic disintegration that has already taken a terrible toll on the nations of the Americas.

Gonzalez was quick to report on President Biden's "vision" of a region that is "secure, middle-class and democratic, that is fundamentally in the national security interest"—so don't think of letting those undemocratic nations like Russia and China into the region. He had the gall to say that protests that occurred in several countries last year against austerity and police repression (Colombia, Chile, Peru) involved people "really starting to question the value of democracy."

Amidst the issues that the administration is reportedly intensively discussing with its "partners"—democracy, good governance, food security, health, a "green future," digital innovation, etc.—two things stand out. On economics, which would be of interest to all nations still reeling from the effects of the pandemic and struggling to deal with hyperinflation and shortages of fuel and fertilizers, Gonzalez announced that Biden will use the summit "to align regional leaders, the private sector, and civil society behind a new and ambitious economic agenda." Investment in economic development? Infrastructure? No. One "that builds upon our existing free trade agreements in the hemisphere."

The second boondoggle presented is migration, an "unprecedented" declaration on which (probably already written by the administration) is to be presented at the summit's end. This is particularly duplicitous. Just about every nation has taken in refugees from Venezuela, Haiti, and elsewhere at great cost to itself, lacking the means or infrastructure to care for them. Now the administration has come up with a plan cloaked in the term "shared responsibility," which translates into "you keep them where they are and don't let them move." All manner of promises are made to provide countries with additional economic aid for additional legal aid and social services for migrants that will almost certainly never materialize. The U.S. is too busy authorizing multi-million-dollar arms packages for Ukraine! Is the plan to set up refugee camps?

As to who will attend the summit, this remains a joke. Argentine President Alberto Fernández announced yesterday that he will attend, after a 25-minute phone call with Joe Biden during which Biden invited him to travel to Washington for a July 25 bilateral meeting. Fernández has been subjected to intense U.S. cajoling and threats to get him to attend and to dissuade him from cooperating with China and Russia. There are several other countries that have yet to confirm.

Mexican President Andrés Manuel López Obrador (AMLO) is still waiting to hear from Biden directly as to whether Cuba, Venezuela, and Nicaragua are among the invitees. He hasn't publicly changed his view that he'll abstain from attending if those three aren't invited. Repeatedly pummeled by reporters, Gonzalez refused to reveal the names on the final list of invitees, insisting that "we still have some final considerations" on that issue and that the list will be made known shortly. He emphasized that the administration is engaged in ongoing dialogue with the Mexican government and that Biden "very personally wants the President of Mexico to be there."

Gonzalez danced around the issue of whether the pathetic Venezuelan "president" Juan Guaidó had been invited, explaining that there are "different views" on this and other subjects among the nations of the region and that the administration wants to make sure that all views are taken into account. A majority of Caribbean Community nations don't recognize Guaidó as Venezuela's president. Among them is the government of Saint Vincent and the Grenadines, whose Prime Minister Ralph Gonsalves announced yesterday that neither he nor any of his ministers would be attending the summit.