

EIR Daily Alert Service

P.O. BOX 17390, WASHINGTON, DC 20041-0390

- Pakistan's 'PTV World' Features Helga Zepp-LaRouche
- Bankruptcy, Blackouts, and Industrial Shutdowns Sweep European Energy Markets
- Why the West, and Not China, Fears Evergrande Default
- At CELAC, López Obrador Repeats Foolish Call for Ibero-America To Unite To Replace China in the U.S. Supply Chain
- Vereycken Briefs CGTN, AUKUS Shows Our Allies Have Understood Nothing after Their NATO Debacle in Afghanistan
- AUKUS Submarine Deal Fraught With Strategic Risks
- 'Fortress Australia' and Its Vulnerabilities
- UN Chief Warns, U.S.-China Rift Could Split Planet into Two Camps
- Global Warming Policy Foundation: Britain Must Suspend Climate Policies To Prevent Energy Disaster
- Boris Johnson in U.S. To Co-Host a UN Meeting on Climate Change
- A Depraved, Cruel U.S. Government Deports Thousands of Desperate Haitians by the Planeload
- Co-Conspirator Adm. Mike Mullen Defends Gen. Mark Milley
- Trump Lets Loose Another Un-American Diatribe Against Migrants
- Rolls-Royce Eyes Mining on the Moon

EDITORIAL

Pakistan's 'PTV World' Features Helga Zepp-LaRouche

Sept. 20 (EIRNS)—On Pakistan's "PTV World" broadcast, Faisal Rehman hosted Helga Zepp-LaRouche of the Schiller Institute and Pakistan's Ambassador to Italy Jauhar Saleem. Rehman began by welcoming "Our guest, Ms. Helga!" with an opening question as whether the world had entered into a clash of civilizations. Zepp-LaRouche answered that she had read Samuel Huntington's *Clash of Civilizations*, and, first, it must be said that he knew very little about the civilizations that he wrote about.

Further, the world is not about "geopolitics but geo-economics"—employing the distinction recently made by Pakistani Prime Minister Imran Khan. AUKUS is not the spirit of the time. The AUKUS attempt may even provoke something like de Gaulle's response to NATO, as in 1958. This move has destroyed trust in Biden. He had just said, in pulling troops out of Afghanistan, that this was the end of an era; the end of endless wars. Was he serious? Or was it just to concentrate forces against China? This is not good for Biden, as trust in his word is undermined.

Rather, the New Silk Road is the pathway—and the Schiller Institute, by the way, has been on this pathway since 1991. So, does Australia want to be an aircraft carrier for this new military alliance? Or does it want an economic future for its own people? There is a decaying neo-liberal system, and it has been refusing to respond to offers from China and Russia.

After a question and some discussion with Ambassador Saleem, Rehman turned back to Zepp-LaRouche, and asked: How would the U.S. and China, given the present conflicting positions, move ahead? Zepp-LaRouche set out that, objectively, neither China nor Russia represents a threat. There have been many offers on demilitarization from Putin—including to Germany in 2001, when he spoke, in German, to the Bundestag. And China has lifted 850 million of their people out of poverty.

The BRI is not a threat. They are offering to developing countries to conquer poverty.

We need to take a step back. It is a nuclear-armed world, and there is the threat of war by accident, war by miscalculation. China's *Global Times* clearly warned that China will fight and win certain conflicts, such as over Taiwan. Therefore, we must stop geopolitics. In Afghanistan, David Beasley, director of the World Food Program, made clear that 90% are hungry. Afghanistan's Health Minister Wahid Majrooh explained that 90% have recently been denied health care. The recent move to use the Extended Troika (of China, Pakistan, Russia and the United States) involves reaching out and collaborating to develop Afghanistan. It can be integrated into the BRI—and there is the offer to Europe and the U.S. to join in. Then director of the UN Office of Drugs and Crime Pino Arlacchi, for example, was able to conclude an agreement in 2000 with the Taliban to end opium growing.

There are presently 2 billion people in the world without access to clean water. We need a modern health sector in every country. Not doing so simply means that there will be more mutations, new variants and the defeat of the last round of vaccines. Clearly, this crisis requires a new paradigm in our thinking. Afghanistan can be the new building block. The human species is the only one endowed with creative reason. We can find cures for a pandemic, for overcoming poverty, even colonizing Mars. You know, in February, the United Arab Emirates, China and the United States all had Mars missions at the same time. It is time to become an adult species.

- Watch [Harley's Updates](#) with Harley Schlanger on Tuesday, September 21, 2021: Every weekday morning on [The LaRouche Organization](#) website, Harley will give you the strategic briefing you need to start your day.

COLLAPSING WESTERN FINANCIAL SYSTEM

Bankruptcy, Blackouts, and Industrial Shutdowns Sweep European Energy Markets

Sept. 20 (EIRNS)—In continental Europe and the United Kingdom, a hyperinflationary rise in energy prices over the course of 2021, and resulting bankruptcies and shutdowns of critical industrial production, has now unleashed a chain reaction of breakdowns of the physical economy of strategic proportions—not unlike what happened with the orchestrated 1973 oil price shock, which permanently reorganized the world economy under the financial dictatorship of the City of London’s speculative eurodollar.

Europeans are now staring with growing alarm at the prospect of a cold, hungry winter. Goldman Sachs warned in a recent report about potential widespread power blackouts in Europe in the coming winter.

The price of natural gas has tripled this year in Germany and the U.K., and prices for coal, oil and electricity are all zooming out of reach. Sharp shortfalls in electricity generated by idiotic, dysfunctional wind-power parks during the first half of the year, are part of the cause for the increases; so, too, is financial speculation, since energy prices are determined at the speculative spot markets for gas (TTF) in Amsterdam and for electricity in Leipzig. The dramatic rise in energy prices is bankrupting industries, consumers and energy companies alike, and is leading to the first shutdowns of energy-intensive industries.

The British government called an emergency cabinet meeting today to address the crisis. Kwasi Kwarteng, U.K. Secretary for Business, Energy and Industrial Strategy, held talks today with energy suppliers about an expected wave that smaller providers will go bust in the weeks ahead, while putting on a stiff upper lip for the public by announcing that the government remains “confident that electricity security can be maintained under a very wide range of scenarios.” He dismissed rumors of impending rolling blackouts and a three-day work week.

Prime Minister Boris Johnson stated: “We’ve got to try and fix it as fast as we can, make sure we have the supplies we want, make sure we don’t allow the companies we rely on to go under. We’ll have to do everything we can.” The largest energy suppliers are asking the government for a bailout of some sort, possibly placing defaulting customers into some sort of a “bad bank” as smaller suppliers go belly-up.

Zero Hedge reported that five British suppliers have gone bankrupt since Aug. 1, and only 6-10 companies out of 55 are expected to survive the carnage. “Bulb Energy is one power supplier on the verge of financial turmoil as it fails to cope with record-high wholesale prices. The startup has 1.7 million customers and has been advised by financial advisory and asset management firm Lazard to explore ‘options.’ ”

Zero Hedge went on: “Millions of Britons brace for natural gas and electricity inflation, soaring food prices, and possibly electricity shortages this winter. The broader implications could cripple the Eurozone economy.”

The same is happening in related industries across Europe. Fertilizer producer CF Industries suspended production at two British plants. Yara International, a Norwegian chemical producer which is the world’s largest fertilizer company and which, along with Cargill, practically controls all world nitrogenous (and other) fertilizer, which is made from natural gas, announced cuts in production of ammonia by 40%. Also Germany’s leading chemical producer BASF and the aluminum producer Aurubis are considering production cuts.

Why the West, and Not China, Fears Evergrande Default

Sept. 20 (EIRNS)—The following item is appearing in the n. 38 of the *EIR Strategic Alert Service*.

The largest Chinese real estate company, Evergrande, is a few days away from a default, but Beijing is not worried. Instead, Western financial circles are fearing a chain-reaction and are scrambling to obtain a bailout of sorts. However, the Chinese authorities have already announced that no

bailout is coming. *Global Times* Editor-in-Chief Hu Xijin said on his WeChat social media account on Sept. 16 that Evergrande should turn to the market for salvation, not the government. The irony is that whereas neo-liberal systems in the West have abused state interventionism to bail out banks and hedge funds in the last decade, an ostensibly communist regime lets “market mechanisms” decide on Evergrande’s destiny.

The reason is simple: Financial bubbles can grow and burst in China, like this one in the real estate sector, but the commercial banking system is insulated from speculation, thanks to a bank separation regime. Evergrande is basically an offshore operation; its holding is based in the Cayman islands and it has 16% of the entire Chinese bond exposure, at junk-interest rates that go up to 14%. Its default hits mostly foreign creditors, including the Gotha of Western investment funds, which turned to Chinese junk bonds as interest rates on the U.S. junk market have gone negative, reflecting the Fed QE policy. The four largest creditors are the Ashmore Group (U.K.) with \$430 million exposure; BlackRock with almost \$400 million; UBS with \$280 million and HSBC with little more than \$200 million.

That is why, the same day as Joe Biden announced the new geopolitical alliance with the U.K. and Australia, the Wall Street elite were having a closed-door meeting with the vice-chairman of the China Securities Regulatory Commission Fang Xinghai. The managers of Goldman Sachs, Citadel and other Wall Street bigs had a three-hour discussion with Fang, to understand how far the government wants to go with the state “correction” of the Chinese economy, Reuters reports.

The Evergrande case is a litmus test whether China follows the corrupt Western policy of “too big to fail” or if they will put their money where their mouth is, and let the rotten apple fall. Indications are that Evergrande will default on the \$83.5 million interest due on Sept. 23 and on the \$47.5 million due on Sept. 29. At the same time, the Chinese central bank has injected CNY¥90 billion between Sept. 16-17 to protect the overnight repo

market and is offering small Chinese investors compensations from bond losses in the form of flats and other facilities.

At CELAC, López Obrador Repeats Foolish Call for Ibero-America To Unite To Replace China in the U.S. Supply Chain

Sept. 20 (EIRNS)—The recently concluded summit in Mexico City of the Community of Latin American and Caribbean States (CELAC) benefitted from a speech delivered remotely by Chinese President Xi Jinping, which recalled earlier CELAC-China cooperation to achieve regional development. But the keynote address delivered by host Mexican President Andrés Manuel López Obrador back-pedaled from that earlier approach and instead proposed that “together with the United States and Canada, we reach an agreement and sign a treaty to strengthen the internal market in our hemisphere, which is currently in the red in relation to Europe and, especially, with regard to Asia.”

López Obrador argued that the Americas are a bigger consumer market than Asia, and can also replace those nations in the U.S.’s supply chain: “Let’s bear in mind that the Western Hemisphere has only 24% of the population of Asia. Nevertheless, we consume 20% more than that continent; that is, per-capita consumption in the Americas is \$23,347 a year, while in Asia it is \$4,716.... The proposal is simple. It is a matter of reactivating the economy of our hemisphere in order to produce what we consume in the Americas.”

There was not a single mention of China in López Obrador’s speech—even though the Belt and Road Initiative is the key to Ibero-America’s economic development, especially if it is carried out in conjunction with the U.S. Rather, he made a pitch “to build in the Western Hemisphere something similar to what was the economic community that gave rise to the current European Union”—which has proven to be an utter failure.

Whether he knows it or not, López Obrador’s pragmatic pitch was actually concocted by Establishment think tanks that are out to prevent the real development of the developing sector, including China. For instance, an article published on Sept. 8 in *Americas Quarterly*, a publication of the

Rockefeller-linked Americas Society/ Council of the Americas, and written by two authors hailing from the anti-Chinese Atlantic Council, argued that “LAC [Latin America and the Caribbean] should closely monitor U.S. policy actions and guidance, both explicitly and implicitly related to China ... [which are] aimed to strengthen hemispheric supply chain integration and thus reduce dependency on China. This and other U.S.-led initiatives could draw greater attention to potential reshoring, nearshoring, ‘ally-shoring’ or regional integration opportunities in LAC, a sentiment recently echoed by Mexico’s President Andrés Manuel López Obrador.”

STRATEGIC WAR DANGER

Vereycken Briefs CGTN, AUKUS Shows Our Allies Have Understood Nothing after Their NATO Debacle in Afghanistan

PARIS, Sept. 20 (EIRNS)—Yesterday, CGTN’s program Zoom In asked Karel Vereycken, vice-president of Solidarité & Progrès political party in France, to comment on the AUKUS strategic partnership, and Australia’s ditching the submarine contract with France.

CGTN: France on Friday recalled its ambassadors to the U.S.s and Australia in a ferocious row over the scrapping of a submarine contract. Will the tensions further escalate between France and its allies?

Vereycken: Well, the president of my party and my friend, former presidential candidate Jacques Cheminade says we should ... use the occasion “to get our act together.”

“If we do not react to an affront and seize the opportunity to revolt we condemn ourselves to an unworthy submission.” Cheminade also notes that our so-called allies seem to have understood nothing after their NATO debacle in Afghanistan, since a new cold war and a new arms race, which what this new security pact means, will only lead to more destruction and risk of monstrous destruction. The French people are fed up with these humiliations, but the French government, while making symbolic gesticulations, has not yet shown a clear will to break with NATO and the U.K. which they still consider a partner for some kind of European

autonomous security. And by focusing all the anger on Biden and not even recalling the French ambassador from London, the French government is covering up that it was mainly the U.K. and Global Britain which brokered the deal. With Cheminade, I say that the NATO alliance and the 2010 Franco-British Lancaster House treaties have lost any meaning. But France should also stop playing its own Indo-Pacific geopolitical game against China and Russia. Its policy should be a policy of non-alignment based on mutual development with all, and not against one another.

CGTN: What do nuclear-powered submarines mean for Australia and the Asia-Pacific region?

Vereycken: It is historic. The only precedent was 1958, when the U.S.A. decided to equip the British Navy with nuclear submarine technology, and with nuclear weapons. That policy caused French President Charles de Gaulle to decry the “Anglo-Saxon” nuclear cooperation and propelled France to develop its own nuclear capability. Technically speaking, nuclear-powered submarines allow very long and discreet missions.... It means that Australia becomes a stick for London and Washington, ready to strike China, which is considered a threat, in the South China Sea and in Taiwan. For the world, it means a violation of the Nuclear Non-Proliferation Treaty (NPT), at least in spirit....

CGTN: Do you think Australia’s nuclear submarine fleet would cause a nuclear arms race in the region?

Vereycken: Yes, it completely opens a nuclear Pandora’s box which can go in all directions. The immediate thing to watch will be North Korea, already going ahead with its nuclear program and pushing conservative South Koreans to call for the development of their own nuclear deterrent.

Japan, which is a member, with India, of the “Quad” over which Biden will preside next week, welcomed the AUKUS, which is not a good sign. However, several nations are very worried, especially Indonesia, Singapore, and also New Zealand. They don’t want the Indo-Pacific region to become the theater of some geopolitical showdown destructive to all.

AUKUS Submarine Deal Fraught With Strategic Risks

Sept. 20 (EIRNS) —The strategic risks unleashed by the Sept. 15 announcement of the Australia-U.K.-U.S. agreement, known as AUKUS, will continue to play out for some time. The one—and only—purpose of the AUKUS agreement, to include the submarine deal, is to surround China militarily as part of a containment strategy, which risks nuclear war.

BBC reported comments from an unidentified North Korean Foreign Ministry official, that “These are extremely undesirable and dangerous acts which will upset the strategic balance in the Asia-Pacific region and trigger off a chain of nuclear arms race.” The official further stated that the North was closely examining the deal and would proceed accordingly if it has “even a little adverse impact on the security of our country.”

Former UN weapons inspector [Scott Ritter](#), in a Sept. 18 op-ed in RT, called the submarine deal part of AUKUS “a story of geopolitically-driven military procurement gone mad.” Ritter attributed Australia’s pursuit to “domestic politics projected onto a theoretical geopolitical map of the U.S.’ making.”

“But the fact remains that the U.S. has no meaningful military counter to China, the U.K. is not capable of sustaining any credible military presence in the Pacific, and Australia cannot afford to acquire and operate a force of eight nuclear-powered attack submarines,” Ritter concludes. “The Australian nuclear submarine project is a dangerous joke that only further exacerbates the existing geopolitical crisis with China by injecting a military dimension which will never see the light of day.”

Regardless of Australia’s own capabilities, the agreement will bring U.S. military capabilities and personnel into Australia on a scale that China will perceive as a direct threat.

At the same time, NATO is trying to fend off even the appearance of any instability that may disrupt the alliance stemming from the French dispute with the three countries over the submarine deal. “There might be implications or consequences as a result of this agreement but I don’t foresee for the moment it will have an impact on the cohesion within

NATO,” Adm. Rob Bauer, chair of the NATO Military Committee, told reporters at the NATO defense chiefs meeting in Athens. “First of all, as far as I know, Australia is a partner but it’s not part of the NATO organization. There are many agreements among nations that might have an effect on NATO on the political side. But for now it will not have an effect as far as I can see on the military cooperation within NATO.”

Also indicative of the danger is the suggestion, put forward by Australian Defense Minister Peter Dutton, that Australia might try leasing nuclear-powered submarines in the interim until the delivery of those provided under the AUKUS agreement. “Already, I’ve met with a number of my counterparts here from the secretary down in terms of those that are making decisions and we will have further discussions with the Brits as well,” Dutton told Sky News Australia. While it’s not clear if the U.S. or the U.K. could provide submarines on a lease basis, were such a proposal to come to fruition, it would move up the strategic confrontation against China years earlier than would otherwise be the case.

‘Fortress Australia’ and Its Vulnerabilities

Sept. 20 (EIRNS)—A lengthy article in China’s Observer.com by Chen Feng, a columnist for the magazine, goes through the tortuous history of Australia’s attempt to develop a submarine force. The article also reflects some of the thinking going on in Chinese circles with regard to the military situation created by the new AUKUS security alliance.

Chen touches upon the flaws in a “Fortress Australia” in a possible conflict situation. Australia is an island and therefore has to protect a very long coastline, he says. By and large, it is no longer an industrial nation. Its major products are raw materials and agricultural products. Since World War II it lost a lot of industrial potential, becoming a largely service economy. It depends on imports for most of its industrial products. Presently, its main lines of maritime communication go through China, but in conflict that would no longer be possible. Its major strategic lines of communication would then either go to Great Britain or to the U.S. and these lines are very long and must also be defended.

He also reports on the lack of an industrial labor force required for a fleet of nuclear submarines. Australia's economy also heavily depends on exporting raw materials and agricultural products. And China is their primary market, which of course would be shut down in a conflict.

Chen also mentions the effects of the submarine decision on the nuclear proliferation regime. Australia is a signer on the Nuclear Non-Proliferation Treaty (NPT), as a non-nuclear weapons nation. While a nuclear-powered submarine does not violate the treaty per se, fuel for a nuclear subs requires a high-degree of enrichment, which approaches the level of enrichment necessary for a bomb, creating a possibility for greater proliferation. Iran is now under the gun to restrict the level of enrichment, and allowing a higher level for a non-nuclear weapons nation like Australia could throw a monkey wrench into the arguments used in that case. And there are also implications for North Korea as well.

UN Chief Warns, U.S.-China Rift Could Split Planet into Two Camps

Sept. 20 (EIRNS)—On the eve of the UN General Assembly Debate tomorrow, UN Secretary General [António Guterres](#) warned that the U.S.-China “completely dysfunctional relationship” could lead to the world becoming divided into two camps. Guterres told Associated Press in an interview Sept. 18 that the world's two major economic powers should be cooperating on climate and negotiating more robustly on trade and technology, even given persisting political fissures about human rights, economics, online security and sovereignty in the South China Sea.

“Unfortunately, today we only have confrontation. We need to re-establish a functional relationship between the two powers, essential to address the problems of vaccination, the problems of climate change and many other global challenges that cannot be solved without constructive relations within the international community and mainly among the superpowers. We need to avoid at all cost a cold war that would be different from the past one, and probably more dangerous and more difficult to manage.”

Both President Biden and President Xi will be addressing the United Nations General Assembly on Sept. 21, the first day of the Debate.

GREEN NEW DEAL

Global Warming Policy Foundation: Britain Must Suspend Climate Policies To Prevent Energy Disaster

Sept. 20 (EIRNS)—The Global Warming Policy Foundation (GWPF)—a climate group with a prestigious board of advisers including Will Happer, Dick Lindzen, and Nir Shaviv—stated yesterday that its past warnings about the results of Britain’s climate policies have now been vindicated. Energy prices are skyrocketing, fertilizer plants are shutting down, food supplies are in jeopardy. The decades of costly emissions reduction technologies, while neglecting consumer interests, security of supply and macro-economic impact, now require emergency measures, to protect consumers and the economy, and avoid disaster as winter approaches.

The GWPF calls on the government to:

1. Suspend all green levies on energy bills, funding subsidies temporarily out of taxation, but acting firmly to cancel these subsidies in the near term.
2. Cancel constraint payments, and compel wind and solar generators to pay for their own balancing costs, thus incentivizing them to self-dispatch only when economic.
3. Remove all fiscal and other disincentives to oil and gas exploration, including shale gas, to increase domestic production levels.
4. Suspend carbon taxation on coal and gas generation in order to provide consumer relief and ensure security of supply.
5. Re-open recently closed gas storage facilities and support new storage projects.
6. Suspend all further policy initiatives directed towards the Net Zero target, including the Carbon Budgets, the heat pump targets, and the

proposed ban on gasoline and diesel engines, until the U.K. energy sector has been stabilized.

7. Facilitate the acceleration of building and deploying Small Modular Reactors for both electricity and heat.

Boris Johnson in U.S. To Co-Host a UN Meeting on Climate Change

Sept. 20 (EIRNS)—British Prime Minister Boris Johnson arrived in New York City to co-chair a special United Nations meeting on climate change, along with UN Secretary General Antonio Guterres. BoJo and his entourage are especially focused on trying to cajole and strong-arm China into adopting their suicidal Green Reset agenda.

AP quoted BoJo in a statement: “This week, as world leaders arrive in New York for the biggest diplomatic event of the year, I will be pushing them to take concrete action on coal, climate, cars and trees so we can make a success of COP26 and keep our climate goals within reach.” AP further reported that “the British government says 100 world leaders have confirmed they will attend the conference.” But Cabinet official Alok Sharma, who is COP26 president, was not able to confirm Sunday whether Chinese President Xi Jinping has committed to attending. “ ‘On the issue of whether Xi Jinping is going to come, that is not yet confirmed. Normally these things come a bit closer to summits. I am very, very hopeful that we will have a delegation from China,’ Sharma told the BBC.... ‘The ball is in their court. We want them to come forward and make it a success together with the rest of the world,’ he said.”

UNITED STATES POLITICAL AND ECONOMIC

A Depraved, Cruel U.S. Government Deports Thousands of Desperate Haitians by the Planeload

Sept. 20 (EIRNS)—Arguing that it has the authority to deport migrants under Article 42 of the U.S. Code based on the threat of disease spread , the U.S. government has now begun the process of organizing multiple flights daily to deport more than 10,000 desperate Haitians, who have been

attempting to cross into the United States from Ciudad Acuña in Coahuila, Mexico, hoping to apply for asylum. While the flow of migrants toward the border has not stopped, more Border Patrol and Customs Agents as well as National Guards have been deployed to the area, amid fears that such a large number of desperate people could lead to riots. The Biden administration's "humane" plan is to remove the migrants as quickly as possible, load them onto planes and deport them. The border has now effectively been closed.

Scenes of cruelty and depravity abound. Many of the Haitian migrants have traveled for days on foot across dangerous parts of South and Central America with families and children, carrying little food or clothing, yet are treated like criminals. One video that went viral showed a Border Patrol agent on horseback using a lariat threatening to hit migrants who were crossing the Rio Grande. According to the AP, three flights left yesterday; six will leave on Sept. 21 and seven on Sept. 22. For the next three weeks, there will be six flights a day, aimed at deporting 12,000 people. Some of the deportees who were flown out yesterday reported U.S. immigration officials told them they were going to Florida. Others reported being manacled by the wrists, ankles and waists during the flight to Port-au-Prince. Upon landing, Haitian officials described many as "agitated," as they hadn't expected to be forced to return to the country they had fled, after the Jan. 12, 2010 earthquake, and where they now see no future.

Haitian migration officials are imploring the U.S. not to send the refugees back because the government has no means to manage such an influx. The head of Haiti's national migration office, Jean Negot Bonheur Delva told the *Miami Herald*: "The Haitian state is not really able to receive these deportees. We are pleading for a humanitarian moratorium. The country is undergoing a difficult period; whether it's the insecurity, the lack of infrastructure—we just had a major earthquake on the Aug. 14th in the south. A lot of people lost everything they had; even schools in the region cannot reopen. To be repatriating people back to Haiti at this same moment, and with COVID-19, I think the U.S. should be trying to help Haiti at this moment with a humanitarian moratorium."

Racist President Thomas Jefferson made known that as soon as the warring Britain and France made peace, the U.S. would act in concert with them to “confine the [Negro] pest” to Haiti.

Co-Conspirator Adm. Mike Mullen Defends Gen. Mark Milley

Sept. 20 (EIRNS)—Former head of the Joint Chiefs of Staff Adm. Mike Mullen (ret.) told ABC’s “This Week” host Martha Raddatz on Sunday, that there was nothing abnormal about the reported conversations between Gen. Mark Milley and his counterparts in China. “I didn’t consider that abnormal at all,” Mullen stated about the current head of JCS. Mullen, like Milley, was active in the campaign against President Donald Trump.

The flurry of media coverage has focused almost exclusively on his two purported phone calls with Chinese officials. Lost in the hoopla are three more essential matters: 1) he was doing an end-run around the President, i.e., it was unconstitutional and a de facto coup; 2) the policy issue was his violent opposition to Trump’s efforts to pull out of Afghanistan and end the perennial wars; and 3) that Milley is now doing the exact same thing against Biden, publicly contradicting Biden’s stated policy on Afghanistan.

Trump Lets Loose Another Un-American Diatribe Against Migrants

Sept. 20 (EIRNS)—It reads more like something that the seedy Steve Bannon would have written, but the following item was posted by Donald Trump on his “[Save America PAC](#)” website yesterday:

“The largest number of illegal aliens in the history of our Country are pouring in by the millions. They are totally unchecked and unvetted, can do whatever they want, and go wherever they want. Our Country is rapidly becoming a cesspool of humanity. Murderers, drug dealers, and criminals of all shapes and sizes are a big part of this massive migration. Tens of thousands of people are coming from Haiti, and many now from countries in Africa, even more so now than South America. Nothing is done and the corrupt Mainstream Media is giving almost no attention to what will be perhaps the greatest Crisis in the history of our Country. This is not just a Border Crisis, this is a Crisis Crisis. God Bless America!”

SCIENCE AND INFRASTRUCTURE**Rolls-Royce Eyes Mining on the Moon**

Sep. 20 (EIRNS)—Rolls-Royce is developing a nuclear reactor that it hopes will be capable of powering mining operations on the Moon and even Mars, the *Mail on Sunday* revealed. Dave Gordon, head of Rolls' defense division, said it is studying how a micro-nuclear reactor propel rockets while in space at very high speeds. Rolls-Royce is investigating whether that technology could then be redeployed to provide energy for drilling, processing and storage for "Moon mining." Valuable resources on the Moon include water; and rare elements and metals used in energy production and electrical goods Earth. Probably the most important resource is include helium-3, abundant on the Moon that can fuel nuclear fusion, to power spacecraft into the Solar System and beyond.

Gordon remarked: "There's a huge shortage of rare-earth metals. We know they exist on other planets because they all formed from the same thing." Rolls-Royce expresses some of its real concern, which is that "currently 90% of the world's rare earth metal supply comes from China."

The *Mail* waxes bellicose against China, unfortunately, since no serious scientific space exploration can take place without being a global project: "China's involvement in the race to mine on the Moon should put Western nations 'on alert,' MPs and academics have said." Citing, however rare for a British publication, that the Chinese have landed the first rover on the far side of the Moon, it states, "The Communist state has also said it wants to build a human-friendly lunar base between 2036 and 2045, which could be used for mining." But then quotes Sino-phobe Tory MP Tobias Ellwood, "They're beginning to mine the dark side of the Moon and you cannot trust their intentions." And throwing in: "You do not want China to get a stranglehold on the Moon's assets. Western powers should be on alert," says Dr. Mark Hilborne, of the Space Security Research Group at King's College London.