

EIR Daily Alert Service

P.O. BOX 17390, WASHINGTON, DC 20041-0390

- What Vision, What Purpose, Will Animate the Revolutionary Transformation the World Is Undergoing?
- Jeffrey Sachs Hits at U.S. Likely Sabotage of Nord Stream Pipelines
- Switzerland Rejects Zelenskyy's Claim to Russian Assets
- London *Times* Makes Outlandish Claim that Russia Will Conduct a Nuclear Test on Ukraine's Border
- Nine Eastern European NATO Countries Demand Ukraine's Admission
- CCD Propaganda on How Ukraine Will Be Accepted into NATO
- Russian Federation Council Unanimously Approves Entry of Four New Territories into Russian Federation
- Biden Tweets Denial of New Russian Territories
- What Would Have Happened If Kennedy Hadn't Listened to Khrushchev?
- U.S. Government-Run Censorship Violates Constitution
- In *Rolling Stone* Interview, "Roger Waters Says He Is on 'a Ukrainian 'Kill List' "
- Tens of Thousands Demonstrate Across Britain Over Cost of Living
- Almost 90% of the World Are Not in Sync with U.S. on Russia

EDITORIAL

What Vision, What Purpose, Will Animate the Revolutionary Transformation the World Is Undergoing?

Oct. 4 (EIRNS)—“The world has entered a period of a fundamental, revolutionary transformation,” said [Vladimir Putin on Sept. 30](#), as he stood at the Kremlin with the leaders of Lugansk, Donetsk, Kherson, and Zaporozhye. “New centers of power are emerging,” he declared. “They represent the majority—the majority!—of the international community.”

Earlier, speaking at the [Shanghai Cooperation Organization](#) summit’s extended heads of state meeting in Samarkand on Sept. 16, Putin had asserted, “Global politics and economy are about to undergo fundamental and irreversible changes.”

In Beijing in their [Feb. 4 joint statement](#), Putin and Xi Jinping said, “Today, the world is going through momentous changes, and humanity is entering a new era of rapid development and profound transformation. ... there is increasing interrelation and interdependence between the States; a trend has emerged towards redistribution of power in the world; and the international community is showing a growing demand for the leadership aiming at peaceful and gradual development.”

What form will this now ongoing redistribution take?

Will the world be subjected to a multipolarity of feuding states, each seeking to expand its own empire and sphere of influence? Or can “multipolarity” of an entirely different sort altogether obtain in the coming world?

Where the blinkered slaves of the Anglo-American/NATO world order see only the imagined projections of their own purposeless pursuit of power, those living in a new paradigm see a community of sovereign nation-states, each committed to the actual well-being of its citizens and of the world community, recognizing that through peace comes security and through the improvement of others comes lasting happiness.

The LaRouche movement has for decades worked for such a vision, through an application of physical economic principles and through engaging in and fostering meaningful dialogue among leaders and citizens around the world.

Were the drive for war defeated, and its emotionally defective promoters provided different, more salubrious pursuits, the world stands on the edge of the greatest Renaissance it has ever seen, a humanity brimming with potential.

It is the power of that vision to move people, that leads the evil and blind old paradigm to attempt to crush its exponents.

Hence the attacks on those who seek peace, on those who promote cooperation along the World Land-Bridge. These attacks, directed from Anglo-American centers, are carried out—as are military operations against Russia—through Ukrainian footsoldiers, such as the Center for Countering Disinformation and the Myrotvorets hitlist.

The LaRouche movement is coordinating efforts to expose and render powerless this operation, through events on Oct. 6 and 7, and through a future- and youth-oriented conference next Saturday, Oct 15.

Join us in shaping the “fundamental, revolutionary transformation” of the world!

- Thursday, Oct. 6, 10 a.m., [“We Will Not Be Silenced! Speaking Truth in Times of War”](#)
- Friday, Oct. 7, 10 a.m., Seminar: [“Stop the War Before It Is Too Late; Eliminate the Causes of the War Danger”](#) (in English and Spanish)
- Saturday, Oct. 15, 10 a.m., International Youth Conference: [“Build the New Paradigm: Defeat Green Fascism”](#) (streaming and in-person, Manhattan)
- [“Halt World War III Drive: Abolish New Goebbels Propaganda Ops”](#)
- [Open Letter to the United Nations: “UNGA Must Remove the Causes for the War Danger!”](#)

- Watch [Harley's Updates](#) with Harley Schlanger on Wednesday October 5, 2022: Every weekday morning on The LaRouche Organization, Schlanger will give you the strategic briefing you need to start your day.

STRATEGIC SHOWDOWN

Jeffrey Sachs Hits at U.S. Likely Sabotage of Nord Stream Pipelines

Oct. 4 (EIRNS)—Jeffrey Sachs, Professor of Economics at Columbia University, said in an Oct. 3 interview with [Bloomberg Surveillance's Tom Keene](#), which is circulating widely on social media, that the U.S. had likely sabotaged Russia's Nord Stream pipelines. Speaking from Vienna, he said: "Europe is in a very, very sharp economic downturn. ... the main fact is the European economy is getting hammered by the sudden cutoff of energy. And now to make it definitive, the destruction of the Nord Stream pipeline (which I would bet was a U.S. action, perhaps U.S. and Poland). That is speculation," before he was interrupted by an incredulous Keene, who demanded he produce evidence.

Sachs replied: "First of all, there is direct radar evidence that U.S. helicopters, military helicopters that are normally based in Gdansk, were circling over this area. We also had the threats from the United States earlier in this year that one way or another, we are going to end Nord Stream," he said, referring to the infamous remark by [Joe Biden](#) in a Feb. 7 joint press conference with German Chancellor Olaf Scholz. "We also had the remarkable statement by Secretary Blinken last Friday in a press conference—he says this is also 'a tremendous opportunity.' It's a strange way to talk ... if you're worried about piracy on international infrastructure of vital significance," Sachs went on, pointing to Antony Blinken's Sept. 30 press conference with Canada's Foreign Minister Mélanie Joly.

"I know it runs counter to our narrative, you're not allowed to say these things in the West, but the fact of the matter is all over the world when I talk to people, they think the U.S. did it," he continued.

Sachs responded to co-host Lisa Abramowicz about whether it were possible to work together “when there are such ... feelings of distrust?” with a strong discussion of U.S. provocation: “So we're entering the most unstable geopolitical era in many decades. We're entering the first hyperinflation in more than 40 years. And we're entering the first escalation to the nuclear precipice in 60 years, 60 years ago exactly this month was the Cuban Missile Crisis. This is the most dangerous moment since the Cuban missile crisis. It is an extraordinary overload and we see no attempt to tamp this down or to quiet it down. Every day is about escalation, we're going to defeat the other side.... We have Speaker Pelosi flying to Taiwan. We have so many provocations in the midst of huge instability!” he said, at which point Keene cut him off, ending the interview.

Switzerland Rejects Zelenskyy's Claim to Russian Assets

Oct. 4 (EIRNS)—Switzerland rejected a wild request by Ukraine's President Volodymyr Zelenskyy to turn over Russia's assets to Kiev in order to pay for reconstruction costs. While Switzerland has frozen Russian assets in tandem with other Western nations, Kiev's request was a “bridge too far” for the Swiss. Swiss President Ignazio Cassis said that it was important to protect individuals against the power of the state and to create a legal basis for confiscating funds.

Spokesman for the Swiss Department of Economic Affairs, Education and Research Fabian Maienfisch, told RIA Novosti on Oct. 3: “For the Swiss government, the confiscation of assets solely on the basis of belonging to a state or being included in the sanctions list, and using them to rebuild Ukraine, currently has no support.”

London *Times* Makes Outlandish Claim that Russia Will Conduct a Nuclear Test on Ukraine's Border

Oct. 4 (EIRNS)—*The Times* of London reported yesterday, citing the usual anonymous sources and mischaracterizations, that NATO “is believed” to have warned its members that Putin is set to demonstrate his willingness to use weapons of mass destruction with a nuclear test on Ukraine's borders. “The Kremlin has been signalling its readiness for a significant escalation

as Russia loses ground on the battlefield” in Ukraine, *The Times* claimed. “Fears over Putin’s earlier hints that he might resort to such tactics heightened yesterday with claims that a train operated by the secretive nuclear division was destined for Ukraine.”

Such an outlandish claim is likely aimed at further building up Russian President Vladimir Putin to look like a madman, making all the casual talk in the U.S. about nuclear war and U.S. military moves look good by comparison.

Nine Eastern European NATO Countries Demand Ukraine’s Admission

Oct. 4 (EIRNS)—The countries making up NATO’s eastern front, all of them members only since 1991, when U.S. Secretary of State James Baker promised Russia that NATO would not move “one step east,” apparently want the alliance to be fully involved in the war in Ukraine. The leaders of Czechia, Estonia, Latvia, Lithuania, North Macedonia, Montenegro, Poland, Romania, and Slovakia—but not Hungary or Bulgaria—issued a [joint statement](#) on Oct. 2 expressing full support for Ukraine’s admission into NATO. “We support Ukraine in its defense against Russia’s invasion, demand Russia to immediately withdraw from all the occupied territories and encourage all Allies to substantially increase their military aid to Ukraine,” the statement said.

Kremlin spokesman Dmitry Peskov said yesterday that Moscow is monitoring very closely the situation around Ukraine and NATO. “We are monitoring this decision very closely. And we remember that it was Ukraine’s NATO orientation and confirmation of Ukraine’s future membership in NATO that was one of the reasons for the special military operation,” he pointed out. The Kremlin spokesman also drew attention to the fact that the NATO countries showed different reactions to Kiev’s Sept. 30 statement demanding expedited membership in the alliance. “There are countries there that support this option of accelerated accession, there are countries that do not. In any case, everyone refers to the consensus rule,” he said.

CCD Propaganda on How Ukraine Will Be Accepted into NATO

Oct. 4 (EIRNS)—The Center for Countering Disinformation (CCD), Ukraine’s government censorship and hit list, wrote enthusiastically on Oct. 3 of the fact that 10 of NATO’s 30 members, so far, are now publicly backing its accession to NATO. They cite the joint statement by the nine Central and Eastern European nations, and a similar statement by Canadian Minister of Foreign Affairs Mélanie Joly.

The CCD flatly asserted that “the United States and Germany provided verbal support for Ukraine’s membership in NATO,” without reporting U.S. National Security Advisor Jake Sullivan’s “no.” And while full membership is ostensibly coming, the CCD suggests that “a unique format” for de facto accession is underway: “Ukraine, together with international partners, is preparing a bilateral agreement on security guarantees during the transition period of our country’s accession to NATO. This international document should be multilateral, of a new format with specific actions in response to threats that will arise or arise for the territorial integrity of the countries that are parties to this agreement.”

“Can Ukraine count on a positive change in the position of NATO members, who were previously skeptical of our country joining the alliance? Will all NATO member states agree to the accelerated admission to the alliance of a country on whose territory military operations are taking place and part of whose territory is openly claimed by another state that has a significant arsenal of nuclear weapons? Many leaders of NATO member countries have already answered positively to this difficult question,” they write. They acknowledge that Hungary, with its “pro-Russian position” and “refusal to cooperate in ensuring Ukraine’s defense capability,” may oppose Ukraine’s entry.

“However, there is a belief that against the background of Ukrainian victories, Budapest will radically change its position and support Ukraine’s accession to NATO. Ukrainian diplomats believe that Ankara’s position regarding Ukraine’s application will not be as radical as during the accession of Sweden and Finland to NATO.

“Kiev’s appeal to NATO changes the logic and dynamics of Ukraine’s accession to the alliance. In fact, Ukraine has already become a member of NATO, it remains to consolidate it legally. ... During the six months of the war, Ukraine cooperates with NATO more deeply than some formal members—it has mastered more than 300 NATO standards, successfully uses high-tech NATO weapons on the battlefield.”

Russian Federation Council Unanimously Approves Entry of Four New Territories into Russian Federation

Oct. 4 (EIRNS)—The Senators of Russia’s Federation Council have unanimously approved laws on the ratification of four international agreements on accepting the Donetsk and Lugansk People’s Republics, and the Kherson and Zaporozhye regions to become part of Russia, TASS reports this morning. Lugansk and Donetsk will be republics within the Russian Federation while Kherson and Zaporozhye will be regions, with their heads titled as governors. The Russian President will appoint acting heads of the new constituent entities within ten days from the day of their acceptance into Russia.

Chairman of the Federation Council Committee on Constitutional Legislation and State Building Sen. Andrey Klishas explained that the final step of the process will be to amend the Russian Constitution by President Vladimir Putin’s decree accepting the four entities into Russia. “In accordance with our domestic legislation, the constitutional and legal procedure to accept [new regions] will be completed with the inclusion of these entities in Article 65 of the Constitution, which is within the President’s constitutional powers,” said Klishas at a committee meeting prior to the Federation Council vote.

Klishas described how once the Federation Council approved the federal constitutional laws, they would be sent to the Russian President for signing. After that, the laws will have to be officially published and then, based on the Russian Constitutional Court’s decision, the Russian President will be able to issue a decree to make the necessary amendments to Article 65 of the Constitution. Klishas specified that the presidential decree would add

four new entities—two republics and two regions—to the list of Russia’s constituent entities contained in Article 65.

Biden Tweets Denial of New Russian Territories

Oct. 4 (EIRNS)—President Joe Biden’s Twitter account today stated its support for nuclear war: “Today I spoke with President Zelenskyy to underscore that the U.S. will never recognize Russia’s purported annexation of Ukrainian territory.

“I reaffirmed my commitment to continue supporting Ukraine, including through today’s new \$625 million security assistance package.

“We remain ready to impose severe costs on any entity that supports Russia’s purported annexation and will continue to rally the world behind Ukraine’s efforts to defend its democracy.”

What Would Have Happened If Kennedy Hadn’t Listened to Khrushchev?

Oct. 4 (EIRNS)—“What would have happened if Kennedy had not listened to Khrushchev and if the two had not secretly talked?” asks Ted Snider, in the conclusion of his column today on AntiWar.com. In 1962, the Soviet Union felt threatened by U.S. plans to overthrow Fidel Castro in Cuba, including with U.S. military intervention. The presence of Jupiter missiles in Turkey and Italy, armed with nuclear warheads, were another major concern to the U.S.S.R. The placement of Soviet nuclear weapons on Cuba brought the mutual confrontation to a head.

The world at large owes everything to the decision by the two leaders to talk and listen to each other.

In 1999, writes Snider, the NATO bombing of Kosovo drew a furious phone call from Boris Yeltsin. “Don’t push Russia into this war,” the Russian President warned Clinton. “You know what Russia is, you know what Russia has at its disposal!” That crisis led to a compromise in which NATO and Russian peacekeeping forces were deployed to Kosovo under the banner of the UN.

Is NATO listening to Putin today? Does the threat of potentially civilization-ending nuclear warfare cause reflection?

It hardly seems so.

Vladimir Putin's [December 2021 requests](#) for security treaties were spurned by the U.S. and NATO. After the February 2022 commencement of the Russian military operation, negotiations between Ukraine and Russia progressed, until NATO leaders Britain and U.S. ordered Ukraine to stop negotiating. Foreign Minister Mevlut Cavusoglu of Turkey, the nation which was hosting talks, said in April 2021, "There are countries within NATO that want the Ukraine war to continue. They see the continuation of the war as weakening Russia. They don't care much about the situation in Ukraine,"

Putin repeated that in his Sept. 21 [address to the nation](#) motivating the partial mobilization: "But a peaceful settlement obviously did not suit the West, which is why, after certain compromises were coordinated, Kiev was actually ordered to wreck all these agreements." Putin assessed the current conflict as Russia "fighting ... actually the entire military machine of the collective West."

After his summary of the Ukraine war, Snider writes, "The war has now escalated to the brink." With Putin's call for Kiev "to immediately cease fire, all hostilities, to stop the war that Kiev started back in 2014, and return to the negotiating table," there is hope, he says. "There is a brief window for Russia, Ukraine and the U.S. to act on that moral responsibility and turn back from the brink and return to talks," concludes Snider. "What would have happened if Kennedy had not listened to Khrushchev?"

THOUGHT POLICE EXPOSED

U.S. Government-Run Censorship Violates Constitution

Oct. 4 (EIRNS)—"The United States government is unconstitutionally targeting millions of Americans for their political speech by directly contracting with private 'third parties' that flag their viewpoints for alleged

‘misinformation,’ ” wrote [Kyle Becker](#) in the El American platform on Oct. 2. Building on reporting by John Solomon and Greg Piper at JusttheNews.com, Becker points to five private companies or non-profits that U.S. government institutions contract with to take down “misinformation.” These include the Stanford Internet Observatory, the University of Washington’s Center for an Informed Public, the Atlantic Council’s Digital Forensic Research Lab, and the social media analytics firm Graphika, all of which are part of the Election Integrity Partnership (EIP). These four have worked with the Department of Homeland Security and/or the Department of State.

The EIP set up “a concierge-like service in 2020,” write Solomon and Piper, “that allowed federal agencies ... to file ‘tickets’ requesting that online story links and social media posts be censored or flagged by Big Tech.” The Democratic National Committee was also able to file tickets. To this list Becker adds NewsGuard, which also partners with U.S. agencies.

But the U.S. Constitution demands the freedom of speech and freedom to consider information freely, elaborates Becker:

“The right to speak and the right to publish under the First Amendment has been interpreted widely to protect individuals and society from government attempts to suppress ideas and information, and to forbid government censorship of books, magazines, and newspapers, as well as art, film, music, and materials on the internet,” writes the American Library Association, which quotes an opinion of then-Supreme Court Justice William Brennan in 1965 that: “I think the right to receive publications is such a fundamental right. The dissemination of ideas can accomplish nothing if otherwise willing addresses are not free to receive and consider them. It would be a barren marketplace of ideas that had only sellers and no buyers.”

But that is precisely what these entities are doing, under government contract—creating lists of information and publishers that may not be heard, or whose viewpoint will be suppressed. Then-Biden White House

press secretary Jen Psaki in 2021 explained how it works, said Becker, quoting her description of how that the White House would express “where we have concerns about information that’s inaccurate, that is traveling out there in whatever platform it’s traveling on.”

Government pressure on, and direction to, social media companies plainly violate the protections Americans enjoy under the First Amendment.

In *Rolling Stone* Interview, “Roger Waters Says He Is on ‘a Ukrainian ‘Kill List’ ”

Oct. 4 (EIRNS)—Under the above headline, today, *Rolling Stone* published a “wide-ranging” interview with British rock star Roger Waters, who is now on both Ukraine’s Myrotvorets death list and Kiev regime’s updated National Security and Defense Council (NSDC) Center for Countering Disinformation (CCD) list of would-be “information terrorists,” for his outspoken opposition to NATO, the West’s policy of arming Ukraine to the teeth, and the “extreme nationalists” who have taken over Ukraine.

Rolling Stone interviewer James Ball was clearly shocked at Waters’s continued refusal to bow to the rabid anti-Russia narrative, but when he asked Waters if he really was denying all the accounts of “Russian war crimes,” Waters responded by pointing to Ukrainian government “kill lists”: “Maybe.... Don’t forget, I’m on a kill list that is supported by the Ukrainian government. I’m on the fucking list, and they’ve killed people recently.... But when they kill you, they write ‘liquidated’ across your picture. Well, I’m one of those fucking pictures.

“And when I read stuff, which I have done in blogs and things, criticizing me ... I always go and look and see where it came from. And it’s amazing how often when I’ve done the hunt and hunted it down, it is da, da, da.ukraine.org,” he said, referring to a hypothetical Ukrainian web address.

Rolling Stone admits that such a list exists, but downplays it, by denying it is a “kill” list, making no mention of its publishing its victims personal information or those who have been attacked and killed:

“Waters’s claim isn’t true, but it isn’t completely false, either. There is a list maintained by a far-right Ukrainian organization that contains hundreds of thousands of enemies of Ukraine, from alleged members of the Wagner private military company to journalists accused of cooperating with puppet governments in the Donbas region. The site, which has been roundly internationally condemned—but not taken down by the Ukrainian government itself—claims not to be a kill list but rather ‘information for law enforcement authorities and special services.’ ”

Waters’s charge has become big news, picked up by other media.

Waters published a succinct summary of his own view for how to end the “slaughter” of both the Ukrainian and Russian peoples in this war, identifying the fascists (“extreme nationalists”) and Western warmongers as key problems, in an [Open Letter to Ukrainian First Lady Olena Zelenska](#) on Sept. 4, which he titled: “Did You Exchange a Walk On Part in the War for a Lead Role in a Cage?”

COLLAPSING WESTERN ECONOMIC SYSTEM

Tens of Thousands Demonstrate Across Britain Over Cost of Living

Oct. 4 (EIRNS)—On Oct. 2 more than a 100,000 protesters demonstrated throughout the U.K. in rallies organized by “Enough Is Enough” campaign and in over 50 cities, including London, Glasgow, Brighton, Manchester, and Liverpool. The protests coincided with railway and postal workers’ strikes over pay and working conditions. At the same time, the Conservative Party held its congress in Birmingham and the government announced it will withdraw its tax-cut plan, that cut taxes for the wealthy.

A rally outside King’s Cross station in London in support of striking rail workers was addressed by RMT assistant general secretary Eddie Dempsey: “We live in a country where ... energy companies are taking

billions off us and £150 billion more is given to them by this government. The Tories are offering tax cuts for the rich, while the poor are expected to tighten their belts. We're saying enough is enough—we are not going to put up with this anymore! This is the sixth richest economy on the planet, it does not have to be like this.” Enough Is Enough, newly founded by trade unions and community organizations, is demanding pay rises for workers, a reduction in energy bills, and a tax on the rich.

OTHER

Almost 90% of the World Are Not in Sync with U.S. on Russia

Oct. 4 (EIRNS)—A Sept. 15 op-ed in *Newsweek* by former diplomats Michael Gfoeller and David Rundell, entitled “Nearly 90% of the World Isn’t Following Us on Ukraine,” expresses great concern that the majority of the world is not onboard with U.S. policy against Russia. Many countries that allied with Washington or were non-aligned are moving in the direction of groups like BRICS or Shanghai Cooperation Organization. In terms of energy, while the U.S. European allies are for the most part adhering to restrictions on Russian oil and gas, Saudi Arabia is buying Russian oil for their own consumption in order to sell more of their oil on the export market. China is selling LNG produced in Siberia and importing Russian oil which it refines and sells on the open market.

The authors also bemoan the popularity of China’s Belt and Road Initiative in Africa, while pointing out that Sergey Lavrov had a friendly reception from African nations during his July 24-27 visits. The authors further report that the rising dollar caused by the interest rate hikes and the sanctions on Russia are also forcing countries to move toward currency swaps, threatening the dollar’s preeminent position in the world financial system.