

EIR Daily Alert Service

P.O. BOX 17390, WASHINGTON, DC 20041-0390

- A New Year, A New Paradigm
- House of Commons Passes Brexit Trade Deal, and Queen Signs It
- Once Again, Inexplicable Delay in Adoption of a Safe, Inexpensive, and Effective Anti-COVID Treatment
- China's COVID Vaccine Plans, Domestic and International
- Now It's China Paying Bounties to Militants To Kill U.S. Troops in Afghanistan
- U.S. B-52s Make Another Flight To 'Deter' Iran
- Iranian Spokesman Blasts Pompeo's 'Maximum Failure' Policy Against Iran
- Latin American-Caribbean Space Agency To Begin Operations in New Year
- Slovenian Nuclear Plant Resists Strong Earthquake in Croatia
- Saving Money with Nuclear Power's Energy Density
- Helga Zepp-LaRouche Briefs China Radio on EU-China Investment Accord as 'Breakthrough for Whole World'

EDITORIAL

A New Year, A New Paradigm

Dec. 31 (EIRNS)—In the year 2021, we must succeed in creating the new international paradigm Lyndon LaRouche fought for throughout his life, and which his movement continues.

On the global scale, there is an enormous battle being fought out in numerous fields of action—a battle to replace, finally, the oligarchical system that has existed, with ebbs and flows, through human history, a system that exists most prominently today in the form of the British financial-intelligence empire of the City of London, Wall Street, and the Five Eyes intelligence agencies. That oligarchical system’s greatest individual opponent over the recent half-century was Lyndon LaRouche, who identified his enemy with a powerful precision, and organized leaders and people around the world for his perspective and policy proposals. That work was conducted in collaboration with his movement and most notably with his wife Helga Zepp-LaRouche, who leads present efforts to create a new paradigm in the world, in coherence with the outlook of her late husband, who died in February 2019.

The great challenge to that oligarchical system is seen most clearly today in the nation of China, which, by rejecting the British economic orthodoxies to which many trans-Atlantic nations have adhered like barnacles to a sinking ship—and operating instead in a way that reflects many aspects of the LaRouche perspective—has eliminated absolute poverty within its borders, developed a growing technological capability approaching world leadership in several domains, and offered a hand to other nations around the world to collaborate on win-win partnerships in infrastructure, manufacturing, and trade.

This is not China attempting to beat the “West” at the imperial game of geopolitics; it is a different way of approaching international relations.

The signing of the EU-China trade and investment agreements, which Helga Zepp-LaRouche called “a major breakthrough for the world,” expanding the potential to see the rest of the world as useful partners, rather than as threats. Italian economist and China expert Michele Geraci expressed his view that “the main merit of this agreement is that it will help to bring China on Western standards, especially regarding their policies of access to the market and respect for intellectual property.” Working out agreements is the way to address such frictions and difficulties.

Unfortunately, a poison was also injected into the agreement, a poison similar to that included in recent U.S. legislation: proposals for radical reductions in CO2 emissions, in a supposed effort to prevent “man-made” catastrophic climate change.

The suicidal (and murderous) policy of the Paris Climate Accord and the Green New Deal was attacked early, often, and frequently with great humor by President Donald Trump, who stood alone among leaders of the world’s most powerful nations in withstanding the Green cult’s evangelization efforts. Trump’s great potential as a force to restore America’s potential as a manufacturing powerhouse rather than a haven for financial parasitism, and as a partner—not an enemy—of Russia and China, earned him the wrath of the British Empire, which opposed his candidacy, did everything possible to destroy or take over his Presidency, and acted to deny him re-election.

Over the past four years, this took the form of Russiagate and the Ukraine impeachment hoax from without, and the form of such miserable creatures as Chinagate-Kung Flu-promoter Mike Pompeo from within. Today, as Trump’s campaign continues its valiant, necessary, and correct fight against the epidemic of election fraud, opportunists see the chance to inject the absurd lie that China stole the election from Trump. Such people are no friends of Trump, or of the potential his Presidency holds. In less than a week, at the momentous Jan. 6 Joint Session of Congress, Mike Pence, as President of the Senate, will count electoral votes and the Congress will debate objections to be raised by Representatives and at least one Senator, Jeff Hawley. Given the slim Democratic majority in the House, it is not impossible that such a challenge could succeed, if COVID prevents the attendance of some members, and proxy voting is not reinstated. What actions will state legislatures take? What will the courts do? Is the unexpected possible on Jan. 6?

Addressing the global fight, through fighting for the exoneration of Lyndon LaRouche, identifying Britain’s role in the Russiagate coup, fighting for the freedom of Julian Assange and Edward Snowden, to reject

the surveillance state and the criminalization of free speech that go hand in hand with social media censorship, and raising the level of discussion to the international fight, provides the best vantage point for Trump's ability to be an effective (and possibly still-in-office) leader.

- **Note to Subscribers:** *EIR Daily Alert* will not be produced on Jan. 1, 2021, skipping the issue for Jan. 2; the next issue, Vol. 8, No. 2, will be on Jan. 3, 2021.

COLLAPSING WESTERN FINANCIAL SYSTEM

House of Commons Passes Brexit Trade Deal, and Queen Signs It

Dec. 31 (EIRNS) —The British House of Commons approved the Brexit trade deal with the European Union, and it has been signed by the Queen. The vote was 521-73. The affirmative votes included most MPs from the leading opposition Labour Party, whose party leader Keir Starmer. Starmer, who had earlier been dubbed as “remainer-in-chief,” instructed the party to vote up the agreement in order to avoid chaos in trade with Brussels if no deal were reached before the Dec. 31 deadline. The House of Lords also backed the deal. The agreement passed just in time, as the transition period ended at 11 p.m. in London (midnight in Brussels), on Dec. 31, thus sidestepping a no-deal Brexit. As usual these days, the Commons deliberated over the 1,200-page document for only five hours, which did manage to upset a few MPs.

On Dec. 29, European Commission President Ursula von der Leyen and European Council President Charles Michel signed the agreement during a brief ceremony in Brussels. It has yet to be passed by the European Parliament, which could take several weeks. Nonetheless, the agreement takes effect on Jan. 1, 2021.

British Prime Minister Boris Johnson told the House of Commons that the deal heralded “a new relationship between Britain and the EU as sovereign equals.”

The agreement will ensure that Britain and the 27-nation EU can continue to trade in goods without tariffs or quotas. Former Conservative Prime Minister Theresa May, who resigned in 2019 after three years of Brexit acrimony in Parliament, said she would vote for Johnson's agreement. But she said it was worse than the one she had negotiated with Brussels, which MPs repeatedly rejected. She pointed out that the deal protected trade in goods but did not cover services, which account for 80% of Britain's economy. "We have a deal in trade, which benefits the EU, but not a deal in services, which would have benefitted the U.K.," May said.

The U.K. must now sign independent trade agreements with all countries.

COVID-19

Once Again, Inexplicable Delay in Adoption of a Safe, Inexpensive, and Effective Anti-COVID Treatment

Dec. 31 (EIRNS)—Following the action of much of the medical community to downplay or even cover up the benefits of vitamin D in improving COVID outcomes, the same has occurred for another treatment.

On Aug. 27, the National Institutes of Health rejected any use of ivermectin for COVID. Since then, dozens of studies indicate that "Such a consistency of benefit amongst numerous trials of varying designs from multiple different countries and centers around the world is both unique in the history of evidence-based medicine and provides strong, additional support to the conclusions" reached by physician members of the Front Line COVID-19 Critical Care Alliance (FLCCC) in their "[Review of the Emerging Evidence Demonstrating the Efficacy of Ivermectin in the Prophylaxis and Treatment of COVID-19.](#)"

Since 2012, a growing number of studies at the cellular and animal level have demonstrated a remarkable antiviral effectiveness of ivermectin, first developed in 1975 for use against parasitic diseases largely in Africa, such as river blindness and filariasis. It has been administered to many millions of people and is extremely safe. It is effective against RNA viruses, such as

influenza and COVID-19. It binds to the spike protein of COVID, among other antiviral points of intervention against viral cell penetration, binding, and replication. These observations, however, fail to account for its great benefit even in advanced stages of COVID, where the disease is sustained by inflammation, not by the replication of the virus. The drug, in fact, inhibits inflammation by multiple pathways.

The NIH's criterion for the A1 strong recommendation of a drug is one or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or related laboratory endpoints. In fact, many such randomized controlled trials of ivermectin have shown great benefit, as manifested in a highly significant reduction in treated persons becoming infected despite heavy exposure; progression from an early to a more advanced stage; and survival when treatment is initiated in a severe illness.

Although some of the reports are in preprint publications, such as have sprung up in the pandemic, many have appeared in peer-reviewed journals. By now, of the 24 controlled studies, 12 have been published in peer-reviewed journals, involving 4,054 patients. No such requirement for prior peer review was applied in the sped-up adoption of hydroxychloroquine, convalescent plasma, remdesivir, corticosteroids, or monoclonal antibodies.

A novel kind of observational study grew out of decisions by health officials in Paraguay, Brazil, and Peru to make "ivermectin distributions," carried out under the guise of anti-worm campaigns to avoid official censure. The results, based on a comparison of states which distributed the drug versus states that did not, show a remarkable drop in reported cases soon after the start and concurrent with the distribution, especially in Peru, where the lack of such a concurrent drop is seen for Lima, which had no distribution.

Given this enormous preponderance of evidence, the authors write: "It is likely that the hydroxychloroquine experience influenced the prevailing and likely harmful reluctance to rapidly and widely adopt the anti-viral drug ivermectin, despite mounting evidence of efficacy. One wonders if providers had instead widely adopted ivermectin instead of

hydroxychloroquine early on in the pandemic, the current state of global health may have been markedly and historically improved.”

China’s COVID Vaccine Plans, Domestic and International

Dec. 31 (EIRNS)—China plans to produce at least 1.6 billion doses of COVID vaccines by the end of 2021, and they are committed to supplying the COVAX operation for distribution of vaccines to poor nations left behind by the commercial market. National Biotec Group thinks it can produce 1 billion doses; Sinovac Biotech, upwards of 600 million; and CanSino Biologics, around 200-300 million. China has five vaccines in Phase 3 clinical trials, and three of those are being used presently under emergency use authorizations. The vaccine produced by Sinopharm, which has already inoculated 1 million Chinese in “key priority groups,” received authorization on Dec. 30 for general use. It has shown a 79% efficacy level in Phase 3 clinical trials. Three million doses just arrived in Turkey, where their previous trial reached a 91% efficacy level.

How much China will be able to contribute to the poor nations depends in part on how many doses China needs at home. Since they have so far controlled local outbreaks and don’t have an epidemic, they have been able to use their doses in a targeted fashion.

China’s first 1 million vaccinations were designated for “key priority groups”: doctors, hotel employees, border inspection personnel, food storage and transportation workers, and Chinese travelers abroad. This primarily dealt with controlling their exposure to other countries.

Another example of the targeted use is China’s current plan to vaccinate, by mid-February, 50 million people involved in such areas as internal public transport—in preparation for handling the voluminous traffic during China’s Lunar holiday. China’s measured deployment of their vaccine suggests that a significant portion of their 1.6 billion or more doses during 2021 will be available for poor nations throughout the year—that is, they won’t have to wait for every Chinese citizen to be vaccinated first.

Up to now, China has run vaccine trials in 16 different countries: National Biotec Group in Argentina, Morocco, and elsewhere; Sinovac Biotech in Brazil, Turkey, the Philippines, and elsewhere; CanSino Biologics in Pakistan, Mexico, and Saudi Arabia. Besides their view that such a practice is good diplomacy, it is also the case that China's excellent control of COVID-19 means clinical trials cannot be conducted inside China. (Even the placebo group would be extremely unlikely to catch the disease, so how could they measure the vaccine's effectiveness?) With such geographically dispersed databases of participants, the Chinese vaccine producers are well-situated to meet COVAX's approval process for distribution around the world.

STRATEGIC WAR DANGER

Now It's China Paying Bounties to Militants To Kill U.S. Troops in Afghanistan

Dec. 31 (EIRNS)—It didn't work against Russia, but maybe if we make China the villain, it'll stand a better chance. This must be the logic of the story that Axios news agency "broke" last night, and that was quickly picked up by other media outlets, in which China is fingered as the "culprit" offering bounties to "non-state actors" to kill U.S. troops in Afghanistan. "The Trump administration is declassifying as-yet uncorroborated intelligence, recently briefed to President Trump, that indicates China offered to pay non-state actors in Afghanistan to attack American soldiers," Axios reported, citing two unnamed senior administration officials as its sources. "The disclosure of this unconfirmed intelligence comes 21 days before the end of Trump's Presidency, after he has vowed to ratchet up pressure on China, and months after news reports indicated that the Russians had secretly offered bounties for Taliban militants to kill U.S. troops in Afghanistan."

By now, of course, everyone knows that the "Russia bounties" story never gained any traction (except among Trump-haters, who never let lack of evidence ruin a good story), as not even U.S. Central Command chief

Gen. Kenneth McKenzie would endorse it, but with the U.S. jacking up tensions with China, maybe someone thought it would work this time.

According to the Axios report, Trump was briefed in this supposed but uncorroborated intelligence on Dec. 17 by National Security Advisor Robert O'Brien. "If this intelligence were to be confirmed, it would represent a dramatic strategic shift for China, and sharply escalate tensions between China and the U.S.," Axios says. "If the intelligence does not prove accurate, it raises questions about the motivations of the sources behind it as well as the decision to declassify it." The unnamed sources were very cheeky about the alleged intelligence, refusing to provide much detail, but claiming that the plot emerged sometime in February, after the U.S. signed the peace agreement with the Taliban. One of the officials pointed out that no U.S. troops have been killed in Afghanistan since. The official also complained about Chinese weapons showing up in the hands of the Taliban, but after 40 years of war, Afghanistan is probably awash with weapons from everywhere.

Already, however, two things are evident: one is that the story looks to have much more to do with politics in the U.S. than anything the Chinese may have allegedly done, and secondly, that at the same time, it's already falling apart. *Politico*, a few hours after the Axios story was posted, reported that "Allegations that China secretly offered bounties to Afghan militants to kill U.S. troops are 'less' credible than previous intelligence reports indicating that Russia embarked on a similar operation," again citing the usual unnamed U.S. officials. "In fact, the intelligence is 'very thin'—thinner even than reports that Russia offered payments to the Taliban to target U.S. and coalition troops, which were never corroborated," one official said. The official went on to describe the recent intelligence as "rumors" and lacking "hard evidence."

But here's the point: "But the allegations involving Chinese operatives in Afghanistan are being handled very differently by Trump officials than those involving Moscow earlier this year." Trump dismissed the rumors about Russia last summer and was denounced for being "soft" on Russian

President Vladimir Putin. Now, the administration is getting ready to run with the same allegations against China, even though the evidence is even thinner. Obviously, one of the targets for this is the Biden transition, which will seek to learn “as much as we can about these allegations,” said a transition official, insisting the news is “another illustration of why we need full cooperation” from the Pentagon.

Politico cites an unnamed former defense official expressing skepticism about the story, however, saying that the allegations don’t fit with China’s international behavior, which he described as “cautious,” and could be disinformation. “I’d be surprised but not shocked,” the person said. “In my experience, the Chinese have been less willing to put U.S. service people in direct harm’s way because of its escalatory implications.”

U.S. B-52s Make Another Flight To ‘Deter’ Iran

Dec. 31 (EIRNS)—U.S. Central Command “loudly” announced yesterday that a pair of B-52 bombers, flying from Minot, North Dakota, made a round trip flight to the Persian Gulf yesterday for the third time in a month, in what was an obvious warning to Iran. “The two-ship deployment also delivers a clear deterrent message to anyone who intends to do harm to Americans or American interests,” the statement said.

An unnamed senior military officer told Associated Press that the flight was deployed in response to signals that Iran may be planning attacks against U.S. allied targets in neighboring Iraq or elsewhere in the region in coming days, in the run-up to Jan. 20.

CNN, however, reports that defense officials are divided over the Iranian threat. This is indicated by acting Secretary of Defense Christopher Miller’s decision, made yesterday, to let the aircraft carrier *USS Nimitz* return home, disregarding a request from Gen. Kenneth McKenzie, the commander of Central Command, to extend its stay in the region (the *Nimitz* has been supporting the pullout of U.S. troops from Somalia for the past week or ten days, or so), “sending it out of the region in an explicit de-escalation signal to Iran.”

The CNN report then goes through a confusing roundabout over whether the threat said to be emanating from Iran is real or not. One unnamed official said that the threat from Iran is being exaggerated, telling CNN that there is “not a single piece of corroborating intel” suggesting an attack by Iran may be imminent. On the other side are three other, unnamed sources saying the opposite, including one citing meetings between Shi’ite militia leaders in Iraq and elements of the IRGC Quds Force as “proof” that the militias are planning “complex attacks” on U.S. troops that they could not carry out without IRGC support.

Iranian Spokesman Blasts Pompeo’s ‘Maximum Failure’ Policy Against Iran

Dec. 31 (EIRNS)—Yesterday, Iranian Foreign Ministry spokesman Saeed Khatibzadeh gave U.S. Secretary of State Mike Pompeo “what for” for resorting to “cheap propaganda” to cover up the disaster caused by the Trump team’s policies. On Dec. 29, Secretary Pompeo’s official Twitter site boasted of U.S. sanctions (“maximum pressure”) against Iran, which has prevented Tehran from being able to purchase treatments and vaccines against COVID-19 and other deadly diseases. Khatibzadeh said in a tweet on Dec. 30: “After 4 years of ‘Maximum Failure’, @SecPompeo is desperately turning to cheap propaganda to dress up the disaster he has promoted and instigated as a success. News flash: People aren’t naive. And the world has refused to be held hostage to your bullying. Good riddance.”

SCIENCE AND INFRASTRUCTURE

Latin American-Caribbean Space Agency To Begin Operations in New Year

Dec. 31 (EIRNS)—In a tweet issued Nov. 16, Mexican Foreign Secretary Marcelo Ebrard reported that, together with Mexico and Argentina, which had issued a joint declaration on Oct. 9 announcing the creation of a Latin American and Caribbean space agency (ALCE), Bolivia, Ecuador, El Salvador, and Paraguay would be officially joining the new agency, while Colombia and Peru would join as observers. Now, he enthusiastically

tweeted, “we are eight countries, together with Mexico and Argentina, building the ALCE for 2021! We’ve quadrupled our size in just a month!”

A [Nov. 16 communiqué](#) issued simultaneously by Mexico’s Foreign Ministry reported on a virtual meeting held one day earlier, in which foreign ministers, heads of space agencies and other government officials from the eight countries wholeheartedly endorsed the Oct. 9 declaration. In emphasizing the importance of creating the new agency, Ebrard underscored in his remarks to that meeting that “if Latin America and the Caribbean don’t participate in the space race, we will likely increasingly be at a disadvantage in terms of science and technology which will translate into weakness and an inability to resolve problems we have in the area of social welfare.”

But, he continued, by “cooperating together, we can have better results for our populations.... This is not just as a short-term political exercise, but [one in which] we can effectively open the way, together with other countries from Latin America and the Caribbean, toward scientific and technological progress.” Mexico’s Communications and Transportation Secretary Jorge Arganis also declared that through the new space agency, the region “will no longer be marginalized from great projects, such as man’s return to the Moon in 2024 (Artemis), or the exploration of Mars through manned and unmanned flights. With the talent of our united scientific youth,” the region can contribute to this tremendous effort, engaging in such projects as jointly building “small, medium-sized and large satellites, share segmented space infrastructure, develop Earth stations and terminal equipment with important economies of scale.”

Slovenian Nuclear Plant Resists Strong Earthquake in Croatia

Dec. 31 (EIRNS)—A strong earthquake, of 6.4 Richter magnitude, struck Croatia yesterday, claiming at least seven lives in Petrinja some 50 km southeast of the capital Zagreb. It was the most severe earthquake to hit the country since 1880. While houses, public buildings, and hospitals were demolished by the tremor, in neighboring Slovenia, the Krsko nuclear reactor, 80 km northwest of the epicenter, withstood the shock with flying

colors. The Krsko plant, a pressurized water reactor was built by Westinghouse, and has been in operation since 1981.

Despite hysterical news articles that the Krsko NPP shut down, the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) reported “Krsko’s safety systems had operated as expected, and that detailed inspections yesterday had shown no damage to the power plant’s systems and equipment due to the earthquake.” Slovenia’s Nuclear Safety Administration (SNSA) briefed the IAEA on Dec. 30, “that reactor start up procedures were initiated at the Krsko nuclear power plant today, and that the plant is scheduled to resume connection to the grid at 21:00 UTC tonight. The power plant automatically shut down yesterday following an earthquake” in Petrinja, Croatia. SNSA is now back online, and was expected to be at full power production by afternoon yesterday. “This year, the Krsko NPP has produced more than 6 billion kilowatt-hours of electricity and supplied to shareholders more electricity than originally planned,” SNSA declared.

Saving Money with Nuclear Power’s Energy Density

Dec. 31 (EIRNS)—The operation of the first unit of Belarus’s nuclear power plant is saving the nation some \$750,000 a day in natural gas purchases, announced Belarus Prime Minister Roman Golovchenko on Dec. 29, according to BelTA.

Golovchenko pointed out that the first unit is in pilot commercial operation, with the reactor unit operating at roughly 75% of its output capacity. The Belarusian nuclear power plant is being built using a Russian design featuring two VVER-1200 reactors with a total output capacity of 2,400 MW.

THE NEW GLOBAL ECONOMIC ORDER

Helga Zepp-LaRouche Briefs China Radio on EU-China Investment Accord as ‘Breakthrough for Whole World’

Dec. 31 (EIRNS)—Schiller Institute founder Helga Zepp-LaRouche gave an interview today to China Radio International’s “[World Today](#)” broadcast

coverage of the EU-China Comprehensive Agreement on Investment (CAI). She said that the Dec. 30 agreement was “extremely beneficial to the larger companies,” but said it needed to be extended also to small- and medium-sized enterprises. The fact that French President Emmanuel Macron was at the press conference announcing the agreement, she felt, was significant, since he had not been involved in the direct negotiations. “And not one EU ambassador was against it. Therefore, the prospects for the final signing are good. ... This is a major breakthrough for the whole world,” she stressed.

When the moderator mentioned Macron’s saying that he would visit China soon, asking “Will this mean stronger EU-China ties?” Mrs. Zepp-LaRouche replied, “I hope so. The world is faced with great challenges. I hope that European leaders will turn to Leibniz.” She went on to explain that in the late 17th century Gottfried Wilhelm Leibniz had said that the most advanced cultures are situated at each end of Eurasia, which “should join hands and develop the region in between.” Europe should be more favorable to the Belt and Road Initiative, “that would create a framework to address the challenges, such as the underdevelopment of the developing sector.... But China and the EU should work together to industrialize Africa, Southwest Asia.... I would hope European leaders would turn to Leibniz, who was a major admirer of Chinese culture. This agreement could open the way for a new renaissance in relations between the two.”

And what about U.S. objections? asked CRI. “The ‘concerns,’ so-called, are purely ideological and motivated by geopolitical thinking,” Zepp-LaRouche replied. “There has been an unprecedented anti-China campaign in the United States ... which is completely hysterical. There is no way how the rise of China can be stopped! ... China coming back as one of the most important nations in the world, people should be happy about it.” She also observed that not many U.S. companies, or U.S. governors and elected officials share the anti-China sentiment.

Will the U.S.-EU relationship now be changing with more independent moves by the Europeans? the moderator asked. “There is a general

tendency now to assert more sovereignty, also within the different nations in Europe, there is a growing sense that national sovereignty is important. I hope this will lead to a new paradigm ... in international relations, where geopolitics must be overcome,” she said, citing President Xi Jinping’s policy for a “ ‘shared future of mankind,’ is a very important expression of this new paradigm. ... In the post-pandemic world, either we find new ways of relating to each other or we will be doomed together. This is a branching point in history, where people will be open about new visions about the future of humanity.”

“World Today” asked whether the CAI will lead to an EU-China Free Trade Agreement. “Hopefully it will be a stepping stone for an even larger conception, because we need, really, a new world economic order,” Zepp-LaRouche asserted, and that the most challenging question is development of the developing countries. She quoted the World Food Program figures that world famine is threatening 270 million people with death by starvation in 2021. “There needs to be a crash program to overcome that. The most important economic powers have to work together, and I really think the combination of pandemic and danger of world famine should be a motivation to go for a completely different design, where the common good of the people is being put first and not the maximization of profit. This is a very important challenge to humanity ... that we go away from what has been the financial system, where only the maximizing the profit of the speculators was what counted. I think this is a challenge to the sovereign governments of the world to respond to the need for the common good of their people. That is what people should think about,” she concluded.

- Watch [Harley’s Updates](#) with Harley Schlanger on Monday, January 4, 2021: Every weekday morning on [The LaRouche Organization](#) website, Harley will give you the strategic briefing on what you need to know to start your day.