

EIR Daily Alert Service

P.O. BOX 17390, WASHINGTON, DC 20041-0390

- Humanity's Last Chance: Will World Citizens Achieve a World without Oligarchy?
- Helga Zepp-LaRouche Depicts 1776 American Democracy as Close to Xi's 'Common Future for Humanity' on CGTN Dialogue
- Exclusion of New York U.S. Senate Candidate Diane Sare from the Spectrum News Oct. 30 Debate: 'Mississippi on the Hudson'
- 'The Non-West Coalesces'
- Caitlin Johnstone Denounces 'Fictional Claim' Behind Nuclear War Drive
- Front Man of 'North Atlantic Fellas Organization' Exposed as Nazi
- Medvedev Warns Israel Against Providing Arms to Ukraine
- 'Strategic Empathy' Helped Avoid Nuclear War in 1962. It Should Be a Model for Today
- Indian Diplomat Writes, U.S. and NATO Headed for Defeat as Multipolar World Emerges
- Former U.S. Ambassador to U.S.S.R. John Matlock Insists the U.S. Must Press for a Ceasefire in Ukraine
- AOC's Lame Response to LaRouche Intervention

EDITORIAL

Humanity's Last Chance: Will World Citizens Achieve a World without Oligarchy?

Oct. 17 (EIRNS)—This is a time of contrasts!

As the historical crisis now being enacted on Ukrainian soil brings the world ever closer to the threat of thermonuclear annihilation, the 20th National Congress of the Communist Party of China reflects on peaceful development, the elimination of poverty, and presenting to the world a new paradigm of win-win development.

As the hapless Joe Biden is used to issue threats to a broadening array of nations to stay in line, a growing portion of the planet recognizes that there is a new game in town. Nations such as Turkiye and Saudi Arabia, usually considered allies of the United States, increasingly find that the supposedly isolated nation of Russia has its own benefits to offer.

As the G7 fades into irrelevance, the BRICS, SCO, G20 and other fora and partnerships come into increasing importance for the lives and futures of many of the planet's present and future inhabitants.

As the political institutions that commanded respect (or at least votes) lose their luster in the face of their opposition to the policies needed to secure a future, the LaRouche movement—which has heroically championed the cause of humanity for decades—is growing!

The fantastic conference this past weekend was an inflection point in the formation and growth of a LaRouche Youth Movement, which will play an essential, inspiring role for the years to come: “[Build The New Paradigm, Defeat Green Fascism](#)”

- Watch [Harley's Updates](#) with Harley Schlanger on Tuesday October 18, 2022: Every weekday morning on The LaRouche Organization, Schlanger will give you the strategic briefing you need to start your day.

LAROUCHE

Helga Zepp-LaRouche Depicts 1776 American Democracy as Close to Xi's 'Common Future for Humanity' on CGTN Dialogue

Oct. 17 (EIRNS)—Helga Zepp-LaRouche was one of the panelists today on CGTN's Dialogue special broadcast under the rubric of Global Thinkers,

headlined “[What Is Democracy and How To Evaluate It?](#)” with host Xu Qinduo. Mrs. Zepp-LaRouche provided an extensive discourse on how American democracy has devolved into rank sophistry, which had been attacked by Plato, and, by contrast, she referred to the tradition of John Quincy Adams, who warned against going out in the world and “to seek monsters to destroy,” and sought instead for a community of sovereign nations. Much of this, she explained, is totally consistent with what President Xi Jinping is calling for in his community of shared future for mankind, and in his proposals for the Global Development Initiative and the Global Security Initiative.

In her response to a final question from a member of the online audience, she described the many countries she had visited, in India, in China, in Thailand, throughout Africa and throughout Latin America. In each of these, she said, you could find beautiful contributions to world culture. If the world could unite around the greatest moments in each culture, she said, this would produce a great renaissance for the world. She expressed optimism that the world can overcome this present crisis and realize that future for mankind. On this note, the 44-minute program ended.

Italian economist Michele Geraci was another of the five panelists, including also Prof. Ma Liang from the School of Public Administration and Policy of Renmin University of China; Zoon Ahmed Khan of Pakistan, research fellow at the Center for China and Globalization; Jayanath Colombage, former chief of the Sri Lanka Navy.

Exclusion of New York U.S. Senate Candidate Diane Sare from the Spectrum News Oct. 30 Debate: ‘Mississippi on the Hudson’

Oct. 17 (EIRNS)—The following Fact Sheet was released on Oct. 17 by the [Sare for Senate](#) campaign:

- Diane Sare is listed on the New York State ballot for Nov. 8, 2022 election as a certified candidate for the position of U.S. Senator from New York;

- The Sare campaign gained this position by filing over 66,000 signatures of New York registered voters, gathered in six weeks, and spread throughout the number of Congressional districts required by state election law;

- The Sare campaign achieved this goal after the New York State Legislature had passed changes in election law in 2020-21 to make the threshold for ballot access so difficult that, in their words, “only serious candidates with statewide support would gain a position on the ballot”;

- Only the Sare campaign achieved this goal, with four other parties attempting to gather this number of legitimate signatures—triple the previous requirement from 15,000 to 45,000 signatures—failing in their attempts;

Despite all of the above, on Oct. 3rd, Spectrum News in New York, owned by Charter Communications, announced that they will hold a debate featuring Sare’s opponents—Sen. Chuck Schumer and TV personality Joe Pinion—but without Diane Sare. Clearly, this is meant to rig the vote by denying voters the right to know of Sare’s candidacy.

When contacted concerning this oversight, Spectrum’s New York State Political Director Bob Hardt, glibly explained that Sare would not be invited to join the debate with the rationale that the candidates had to have “enough support to receive an invitation.” He continued: “In this case, it would be whether a candidate achieved 15% of support in at least two polls. Ms. Sare did not meet that criteria in any of the polls conducted this election cycle ... Good luck ... Bob Hardt.”

But in the following polls: [Marist College](#), [Siena College](#), and [Emerson College](#), which polls are used for the criteria of whom to invite, voting for Diane Sare is not an option which voters are allowed to select! (See images.)

Why is the political establishment so afraid to allow Diane Sare to speak? Sare had issued a release on Sept. 26th entitled: “[Is the Suppression of LaRouche Candidate Diane Sare a Prelude to Nuclear War?](#)”

Haven't Americans who saw the Civil Rights Movement fight for the right to vote and the right to run in the United States learned anything? Why not give the people of the world an alternative? Join the call to open up the debate.

The following are the relevant emails and numbers of representatives of Spectrum News; the parent company of Spectrum, Charter Communications; and also of Sen. Chuck Schumer.

Tom Rutledge: Rutledge is the CEO of Charter Communications which owns Spectrum News. Based in Stamford, CT, Charter Communications Headquarters is 400 Atlantic St., 10th Floor, Stamford, CT 06901; phone (203) 905-7801 [[Charter Officially Opens New State-of-the-Art Corporate Headquarters in Stamford](#)]

Bob Hardt: Hardt is the New York Political Director of Spectrum NY1 and can be reached at; the NY1 number is (212) 379-3456

Joe Konig: Konig is listed in the articles from Spectrum on the debate and is a NY1 producer and reporter. ... Phone NY1 (212) 379-3456.

Sen. Charles Schumer: Washington, D.C. 20510; Phone: (202) 224-6542; Fax: (202) 228-3027.

NEW WORLD PARADIGM

'The Non-West Coalesces'

Oct. 17 (EIRNS)—Under this title, [Patrick Lawrence](#) assesses the importance of shifts among a new layer of nations towards partnership with Russia and China, and away from the United States and Europe, in an article for ScheerPost.com on Oct. 16.

Lawrence reflects on the important decision in Vienna by the OPEC-Plus nations to reduce oil production by 2 million barrels per day, a decision made despite pleas from the White House to OPEC-Plus co-chair Saudi Arabia. While simply acting in its own interests, Saudi Arabia is seen by a Washington establishment which, Ptolemy-like, believe the universe

of geopolitics revolves around them, as a belligerent action against the U.S.! “Show me exactly where is the act of belligerence,” asked the Saudi oil minister when asked by an American reporter.

“Angered by the Kingdom’s decision to team up with Russia,” said the *New York Times*, President Biden “signaled openness to retaliatory measures.”

Another example Lawrence focuses on is Turkiye, whose leader, Erdogan, has met with Putin twice in as many months, and who concluded a conversation with Putin by saying, “We can work together because we are more concerned about the poor countries than [are] the wealthy states. This is how we should envisage this, and if we do it, we will be able to change much—to change the balance in favor of poor countries. Turkiye and Russia are together.”

Saudi Arabia, Turkiye, and India (which recently concluded an agreement to purchase the S-400 missile defense system from Russia) are not the sort of “enemy” nations with which Russia and China have reached many agreements—Cuba, Iran, Venezuela and so on.

A new world is coming into being, as is clear to world citizens. Will those world citizens act to prevent the Anglo-American elites from taking their favored approach of ruling in the hell of nuclear warfare, rather than allowing the creation of a happier paradigm?

STRATEGIC SHOWDOWN

Caitlin Johnstone Denounces ‘Fictional Claim’ Behind Nuclear War Drive

Oct. 17 (EIRNS)—In her Oct. 14 article “[We’re Being Pushed Toward Nuclear War on a Fiction: Notes from the Edge of the Narrative Matrix](#),” available on her website caitlinjohnstone.com (and reprinted on Oct. 15 on *Consortium News*), Australian journalist Caitlin Johnstone issues a powerful call for people to dare to act, to stand up to the warmongers who are driving the world “toward nuclear war on the completely fictional claim

that Russian President Vladimir Putin is a Hitler-like megalomaniac who's just invading countries completely unprovoked, solely because he is evil and hates freedom and won't stop invading and conquering until he's stopped by force."

She charges, the news media are lying and not "telling people about the western aggressions which led to this war. They're not telling people the U.S. is keeping this war [in Ukraine] going with the stated goal of weakening Russia and is rejecting peace talks and refusing to push for peace." To participate in the madness of the fictional account of reality and the drive to war "is indefensible. It is indefensibly immoral to foist a fiction version of events upon a trusting populace in order to manufacture consent for more and more aggressive acts of brinkmanship with a nuclear superpower. These people are depraved."

Johnstone uses to good effect the intervention made against Rep. Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez by LaRouche movement organizers José Vega and Kynan Thistlethwaite, to prove her point that "warmongers don't really like being called warmongers when they support a U.S. proxy war that was deliberately provoked by the U.S. and is being sustained by the funding and facilitation of the U.S." As a matter of fact, she says, those warmongers "get very upset when you point out that they are doing this, and when people's opposition to their warmongering is described as 'anti-war.' "

As an example, she includes the tweet of one Matt Duss, who happens to be a foreign policy adviser for Sen. Bernie Sanders, who complained about the intervention that "calling this deranged nonsense 'antiwar' is giving it way too much credit." The whole thread of 150 replies to Duss's remarks can be accessed in the tweet.

So, Johnstone tells her readers, "don't think that your criticisms of U.S. warmongering makes no difference; if they didn't, the empire wouldn't work so hard to dissuade you from making them." That empire works hard to keep people in a "propaganda-induced coma," she asserts, so it's crucial to circulate ideas and information "which discredit and dispute that propaganda" because it directly threatens that empire. "Your one tweet,

video or public demonstration may not bring down the empire, but it will spread awareness.”

The greatest nightmare that rulers have, she explains, is that the masses will get sick of their rule and “use the power of their numbers to get rid of them. That’s what the propaganda matrix is designed to prevent. The empire depends on keeping its activities obfuscated and unseen while we’re all working to make its machinery visible and transparent. That’s why Julian Assange is in prison. It’s also why internet censorship keeps ramping up, why propaganda is getting more and more blatant.... Those in power are working against the people to keep things dark and unseen.”

Front Man of ‘North Atlantic Fellas Organization’ Exposed as Nazi

Oct. 17 (EIRNS)—The “North Atlantic Fellas Organization” (NAFO) functions as a “public-private” adjunct in NATO’s social media operations. But the narrative is that a bunch of “good ole boys” who love democracy and hate Russian autocracy are just harnessing their social media skills to troll, harass, and otherwise do their keyboard warfare thing against anything that deviates from the “destroy Russia” mission. It all supposedly started with a Polish Twitter user, one Kamil Dyszewski, using a catchy Shiba Inu avatar.

That narrative took a hit last week with the exposure of Dyszewski as a raving Nazi anti-Semite. Dyszewski’s tweets featured praise of Hitler and other infamous Nazis and racist attacks on Jews. However, a history of vile tweets and snide jokes about genocide was bad enough, but the online defenses of Dyszewski’s past may be as bad or worse. First, Dyszewski, who has since locked his Twitter account (@Kama_Kamilia), explained his Nazi postings:

“So as is the tradition. These very helpful individuals have combed through my timeline and found some overly edgy and incredibly inappropriate ‘jokes’ I’ve made couple of years back, to fit in, just so I can mess with people further. None of those are acceptable, and they do not represent me as a person. Some of you have grown to know me better over the last few months, and understand that I don’t believe, endorse, or support

any of the views represented in those ‘jokes’. NAFO is a welcoming and inclusive community. Everybody is welcome... And no one is judged by their race, creed or beliefs. I hope that you understand, and that we can all move on together.”

On his account, he had a need to “fit in” with Nazi elements. So, if it wasn’t his real thoughts, then it would appear he was recruiting online Nazis to his operation. But has his supposed fooling of the online Nazis resulted in exposing his current neo-Nazi operation? Indeed not. His NAFO operation aggressively undermines anyone inside or outside of Ukraine who works for peace, sending its internet warriors out to swarm contrary messages. It also raises funds for Ukrainian militias. Further, were it actually the case that he was just fitting in, “just so I can mess with people further,” how is his present explanation not just messing with people further?

However, the string of online comments defending Dyszewski indicate his collaborators either:

a) have their own neo-Nazi pasts, which they excuse as youthful excesses. One supporter, finding that Dyszewski was only 24 when his Nazi postings were made, pronounces that as ancient history—but, in fact, it was two years ago. (Not so ancient, but importantly, it indicates that he was building his neo-Nazi network in 2020.) Or,

b) excuse his Nazi rantings on account of all his excellent internet war-fighting since then.

So, the cover narrative has got mud all over it. More material to come will address what NAFO really is.

Medvedev Warns Israel Against Providing Arms to Ukraine

Oct. 17 (EIRNS)—Former Russian President and current Deputy Chairman of the Russian Security Council [Dmitry Medvedev](#) warned Israel against providing weapons to Ukraine in response to claims that Iran is selling ballistic missiles and combat drones to Russia. “Israel seems to be about to supply arms to the Kiev regime. A very reckless move. It will destroy all

inter-state relations between our countries,” he said on his Telegram channel. “To say nothing of the fact that the Bandera scum were Nazis and still are. Just look at the symbols of their modern lackeys. If Israel supply them with weapons, then it is time for Israel to declare Bandera and Shukhevych their heroes....”

About three and-a-half hours earlier, Medvedev posted an image of a delta winged drone with the following text: “New Monday—new attack. Missile and UAV strikes are all over Ukraine. Waiting for official comments. On the picture: Iranian UAV Shahed 136 in Kiev this morning.”

‘Strategic Empathy’ Helped Avoid Nuclear War in 1962. It Should Be a Model for Today

Oct. 17 (EIRNS)—In their Oct. 12 article published in *Responsible Statecraft*, “[How Did We Avoid a Cuban Missile ‘Armageddon’? Strategic Empathy](#),” columnists James Carden and Katrina vanden Heuvel offer a useful review of how President John Kennedy and Soviet Premier Nikita Khrushchev were able to peacefully resolve the Cuban Missile Crisis of 1962 through a number of factors, chief among which was “strategic empathy in the formulation and practice of foreign affairs.”

The authors note the relevance for today the fact that the two leaders were able to empathize with the position of the other, enabling “both countries and the world, to weather the storm.” This stands in stark contrast to the U.S., the U.K., and NATO’s warmongering approach toward Russia today in their conflict in Ukraine against Russia, where there’s no sign of strategic empathy, only a drive to nuclear war.

In 1962, Kennedy was under enormous pressure by hardline civilian and military advisers to act militarily, which he resisted. Robert Kennedy’s memoirs, published posthumously, reported that the President’s controversial decision to allow the Soviet tanker, the *Bucharest*, to proceed past the U.S. naval blockade was made because, “against the advice of many of his advisers and of the military, he had decided to give Khrushchev more time. ‘We don’t want to push him into a precipitous

action—give him more time to consider. I don't want to push him into a corner from which he cannot escape,' ” the President said.

There was also the issue of the “near unanimous pressure” exerted on President Kennedy from the ExComm (Executive Committee of the National Security Council, set up at the height of the crisis) to launch a retaliatory strike against Soviet surface-to-air-missile sites in Cuba. Back-channel meetings between Bobby Kennedy and Soviet Ambassador to the U.S. Anatoly Dobrynin communicated to Khrushchev the kind of military pressure being exerted on the U.S. President, including Kennedy's fear that if the situation continued, the military would overthrow him and seize power.

Khrushchev's son Sergei reported that his father told his Foreign Minister Andrei Gromyko that he saw Kennedy's back-channel message as a call for “help.... Yes, help,” Khrushchev said. “We have a common cause, to save the world from those pushing us toward war.” In the resolution of the crisis, Khrushchev's agreement to keep secret the U.S. decision to remove Jupiter missiles in Turkey, so it wouldn't appear that the U.S. was selling out a NATO ally, “is another example of how strategic empathy played a role in ending the crisis.”

Taken together, the authors conclude, “the embrace of strategic empathy by Kennedy and Khrushchev paved the way for the peaceful resolution of the most dangerous crisis of the Cold War.” The lessons are clear, they say, but worry whether the current American and Russian leadership are “inclined to learn from them.” The more relevant question would be if the West and NATO are inclined to learn from them.

Indian Diplomat Writes, U.S. and NATO Headed for Defeat as Multipolar World Emerges

Oct. 17 (EIRNS)—In a column today in the *Tribune* of India, former career diplomat [M.K. Bhadrakumar](#) writes insightfully, under the headline “A War Russia Set To Win,” that in the war in Ukraine “India should expect the defeat of the U.S. and NATO, which completes the transition to a multipolar world order.” Sadly, he asserts, “Indian elites are yet to purge

their ‘unipolar predicament.’ ” The former diplomat advises that “New power centers are emerging in India’s extended neighborhood, as the OPEC’s rebuff to Washington shows.” Indo-Pacific strategy “is hopelessly adrift.” Thus, “a profound adjustment is needed in the Indian strategic calculus.”

Mr. Bhadrakumar is an experienced diplomat, whose 30-year career included postings in the nations of the former Soviet Union, as well as Pakistan, Afghanistan, Iran, Germany, South Korea and Turkey. In discussing the current situation, he analyzes the shift that has occurred as a result of two major events: the attack on the Kerch Strait bridge in Crimea and the sabotage of the Nord Stream 1 and 2 gas pipelines, and what Russian strategy looks like for the future.

Noting that the head of Ukraine’s military intelligence service has been identified as the mastermind of the Crimean Bridge attack, he warns of the serious implications of that attack. Zelenskyy crossed a red line that Moscow had repeatedly warned against, and were Russia to continue in attacking Ukraine’s critical infrastructure, it could disrupt the “entire logistics of the Ukrainian military.”

As for Nord Stream 1 and 2, he points to Vladimir Putin’s offer to use an undamaged part of Nord Stream 2 pipeline to make 27 billion cubic meters of gas available to Europe should they choose to accept it. Bhadrakumar didn’t identify anyone responsible for the Nord Stream 1 and 2 sabotage, but it’s notable that ten days earlier, on Oct. 8, he tweeted the video of Col. Richard Black’s presentation, “[Did U.S./NATO Blow Up Nord Stream Pipelines?](#)” at *EIR*’s Oct. 6 Press conference “We Will Not Be Silenced,” commenting “Never knew U.S. politics is not all sleaze. What an honest man, a TRUE patriot!”

The Indian diplomat skewered the EU for refusing Putin’s offer. “It’s a profoundly embarrassing moment for the EU,” because it is “threatened by years of recession caused by the blowback from sanctions against Russia, where the U.S. insisted on the cut off of energy ties with Moscow. The EU has now become a captive market to Big Oil, and is left to buy LNG from

the U.S. at the asking price,” compared to what it paid to Russia. Europe, including Britain, is devastated and there “is palpable discontent over the U.S.’s ‘transatlantic leadership.’ ” The Europeans “have been nicely played by the Americans,” he observes. “India should take note of the U.S.’s sense of entitlement.” The Biden administration created a contrived energy crisis “whose real aim is war profiteering.”

As for the Americans, Bhadrakumar charges they “are cocooned in a surreal world of their self-serving narrative that Russia ‘lost’ the war.” Reality says otherwise, he observes, pointing to Russia’s troop strength in the war zone, use of advanced weaponry, etc. He estimates that a turning point will come in the period of November to February of next year. Indian strategists should take note of this timeline, because Russia is looking for “an all-out victory and will not settle for anything less than a friendly government in Kiev.”

Yet Biden keeps at it, “obsessed with exhausting the Russian military—even at the cost of Ukraine’s destruction.” Bhadrakumar doesn’t underplay what the Russians will have to contend with on the battlefield either, as areas that Russia controls or largely controls are expecting that Ukraine will try to “liberate” them, with all that implies. Don’t look to Biden as someone suitable for serious negotiations either, he warns. Biden’s not suitable for that because he “has gone too far. Suffice it to recall his statements to Russian President Putin.”

Former U.S. Ambassador to U.S.S.R. John Matlock Insists the U.S. Must Press for a Ceasefire in Ukraine

Oct. 17 (EIRNS)—“The only practical way to stop the actual fighting [in Ukraine] would be to agree on a ceasefire,” writes former U.S. Ambassador to the Soviet Union [Jack Matlock](#), today in *Responsible Statecraft*. “As principal arms supplier to Ukraine, the U.S. should encourage the Ukrainians to agree to a ceasefire. As the sponsor of the most punitive sanctions on Russia, the U.S. should use its leverage to induce Russia to agree to genuine negotiations during a ceasefire.”

Matlock says the negotiations must be private, and must involve a revival of U.S.-Russia diplomacy that have been hampered by the expulsions of diplomats from both nations.

Matlock's proposal comes from his assessment that we must reject the reasoning that Ukraine knows best, both because Ukrainian leaders don't know what's best for the American people, and because "under the stress of war, [they] may not be the best judges of their own ultimate security interests."

For example, on the issue of "whether the U.S. should support the Ukrainian goal to restore its control over all the territory it received when the Soviet Union broke up," Matlock reasons that "If pursuit of that goal precipitates the progressive destruction of Ukraine, it is obviously not in Ukraine's interest."

UNITED STATES

AOC's Lame Response to LaRouche Intervention

Oct. 17 (EIRNS)—Alexander Ocasio-Cortez ("AOC") responded to Kynan Thistlethwaite and José Vega's confronting her with her responsibility to prevent nuclear war by writing a pathetic Instagram post.

"They were actually not anti-war protestors," she explains. "They were right-wing Trumpers and some were LaRouche cult members." That's right, ignore the anti-nuclear-war content of what they actually said, and make an appeal to tribal identifiers.

"This time they were parroting pro-Putin talking points," the sage continues. "It is not 'anti-war' to support Russia's imperialist project to invade and seize neighboring countries either."

Have you noticed how the word "neighboring" has been inserted into the discourse to draw attention away from U.S. policy over the past decades?

AOC completed her demonstration of immorality by repeating the claim that the intervention was timed to interrupt a deaf person, causing the footage to make it “look like everyone was mad at their words instead of the fact that they were harming a person with a disability.”

Sure sounds like *someone* is mad about the words of these two courageous activists!